# Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 407.423.9900 Fax 407.841.2779

# TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

# MILESTONE I REPORTING COMPANY



1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	
2 IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA	
3 CASE NO.: 2019-CA-013714-0	
4	
5 NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART	
6 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.,	
7 PLAINTIFF,	
8	
9 VS.	
10	
11 LISA MASON AND LYNN SANDFORD,	
12 DEFENDANTS.	
13/	
	15
13/	15
13/ 14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I	15
13/ 14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I : DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ  17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ  17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18  19	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ  17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18  19 20	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ  17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18  19 20 21	15
13/  14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME I :  DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022  16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ  17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18  19 20 21 22	15

### ORLANDO, FL 32801 CORPORATE

# JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 2

1 APPEARANCES

2

- 3 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART 4
- HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.:
- 5 Jennifer A. Englert, Esquire
- 6 Orlando Law Group, PL
- 7 12301 Lake Underhill Road
- 8 Suite 213
- 9 Orlando, Florida 32828
- 10 Telephone No.: (407) 512-4394
- 11 Facsimile No.: (407) 982-7250
- 12 E-mail: jenglert@theorlandolawgroup.com
- 13 (Appeared via videoconference)

14

- 15 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, LYNN SANDFORD:
- 16 Matthew B. Bernstein, Esquire
- 17 Vernis & Bowling of Central Florida, P.A.
- 18 1450 South Woodland Boulevard
- 19 Floor 4
- 20 Deland, Florida 32720
- 21 Telephone No.: (386) 734-2505
- 22 Facsimile No.: (386) 734-3441
- 23 E-mail: mbernstein@florida-law.com
- 24 (Appeared via videoconference)



### MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

### TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 3

1	INDEX									
2	Page	3 PR	OCEE	EDING	S 5	4	DIRECT	EXAMINATION	ВҮ	MS
El	IGLERT	6 5	1							
6	EXHIB	ITS								
7	(None	mar	ked	in V	olu	me	I.)			
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										



25

MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

1 STIPULATION
2
3
4 The videoconference deposition of Lynn Sandford taken 5
remotely on Wednesday the 19th day of January 2022 at 6
approximately 9:59 a.m.; said deposition was taken 7
pursuant to the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. It is 8
agreed that Michelle Vasquez, being a Notary Public and 9
Court Reporter for the State of Florida, may swear the
10 witness and that the reading and signing of the 11
completed transcript by the witness is not waived. 12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 5

2 COURT REPORTER: All right. On record. Will 3 all parties except for the witness please state your 4 appearance, how you're attending, and your location? 5 MS. ENGLERT: Jennifer Englert for the

6 plaintiff. I am attending by Zoom and my office in 7 Waterford Lakes in East Orlando.

8 MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Matt Bernstein on 9 behalf of defendant, Lynn Sandford. I'm appearing 10 via Zoom from DeLand, Florida.

11 COURT REPORTER: All right. And Ms. Sandford, 12 would you please state your full name for the 13 record?

14 THE WITNESS: Lynn Sandford.

15 COURT REPORTER: And Ms. Sandford, would you 16 please hold your ID up to the camera? A little 17 further back.

Just a tiny bit. Thank you so much. 18 Do all parties agree that the witnesses, in fact, 19 Ms. Lisa Sandford?

20 MS. ENGLERT: Lynn Sandford. Yes.

21 COURT REPORTER: Lynn Sandford. Sorry. Okay. 22 MR. BERNSTEIN: Agreed.

23 COURT REPORTER: Ms. Sandford, would you please 24 raise your right hand? Do you solemnly swear or 25 affirm that the testimony you're about to give will



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 6

1 be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 2 truth?

3 THE WITNESS: I do.

4 COURT REPORTER: All right. You may begin. 5 DIRECT

EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. ENGLERT:

7 Q Okay. Thank you. Have you ever had your 8 deposition taken before, Ms. Sandford?

9 A No.

10 Q All right, I'll go over a couple ground rules. 11 If you need to take a break at any time, please let us 12 know. You just can't take a break while a question is 13 pending. If you do not understand my question, please 14 ask me to repeat it or rephrase it. Otherwise, I'm 15 going to assume you understand it. Is that okay? 16 A Yes.

17 Q And then if you want to answer yes or no to a 18 question, please say yes or no, as opposed to nod your 19 head or do something else. I'll be asking you to 20 clarify if necessary. It's for the court reporter, and 21 it's even more challenging by Zoom. So, won't be 22 picking on you, but we need to have a clear record. Have 23 you taken any medications today that would potentially 24 impair your ability to answer my questions?

25 A No.



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

ROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - Vol. I Page 7

- 1 Q Okay. Where do you currently reside?
- 2 A 9621 Pacific Pines Court, Orlando, Florida, 3 32832.
- 4 Q How have you lived there?
- 5 A Since November 20, 2003. 18, almost 19 years. 6 Q Okay. And you are the deeded homeowner? 7 A I'm one of them. Yes.
- 8 Q Who's the other?
- 9 A Edwin Smith.
- 10 Q Can you briefly tell me your educational 11

### background?

- 12 A High school, some college.
- 13 Q What was your college major?
- 14 A Interior design.
- 15 Q Okay. Do you currently have any professional 16

licenses?

- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q What are they?
- 19 A I'm a Florida notary public and I'm a licensed 20 insurance agent.
- 21 Q What type of insurance?
- 22 A Health and life.
- 23 Q So, that's two different licenses?
- 24 A No, it's one license for health/life and fixed 25 annuities.



# MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

- 407.423.9900 www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 VOL. I Page 8
- 1 Q Okay.
- 2 A It's a Florida 215.
- 3 Q And how long have you had that?
- 4 A Since 2015.
- 5 Q What do you currently do for work?
- 6 A Insurance agent.
- 7 Q And have you done that since 2015?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q Are you self-employed?
- 10 A Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q And do you have a company that you operate or 12 are you sole proprietor?

- 13 A I'm not clear on the question.
- 14 Q Do you own a company or -- do you own a 15 company?
- 16 A I have registered -- well, are you talking 17 about just for insurance?
- 18 Q Yes.
- 19 A Okay. So, I registered a company in case I 20 ever needed or wanted to go and become a broker, but 21 it's not active. I do have my license parked with 22 Florida Retirement Group.
- 23 Q Okay. And has that been since 2015?
- 24 A Has what been since 2015?
- 25 Q That your license was with Florida Retirement



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 Group as your broker.
- 2 A No. I've had several -- I've been with 3 several different brokers.
- 4 Q What did you do before you sold insurance? 5 A I was an office administrator, executive 6 assistant.
- 7 Q Any other types of work?
- 8 A Not that I can think of off the top of my 9 head.
- 10 Q Have you ever worked in a law firm?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Okay. As part of your deposition today, you 13 were asked to gather some documents. How did you gather 14 the documents that were provided to us?
- 15 A I have to see what was provided. Matt e 16 mailed that to me. Hold on.
- 17 Q All right. Yeah. Take your time and look at 18 it. We

can screen share if you wish, but if that's 19 easier, if you want to print them -- take a minute to 20 print them out, that's fine, too. You tell me what 21 works the best.

22 A Nope. I can just look at them here on my 23 screen.

24 Q All right. Yeah, if you've got two screens, 25 that's usually the easiest. So, take a minute to look



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 10

- 1 at them and then you can answer.
- 2 A Okay. I recall. And what was your question 3 again on this?
- 4 Q How did you compile these documents?
- 5 A I followed the instructions you sent. I don't 6 honestly recall how it's done, but you sent me
- 7 instructions. I followed those instructions.
- 8 Q Okay. Were you instructed to do this
- 9 previously pursuant to a court order when we did a
- 10 discovery request?
- 11 A I'm not sure. I don't under -- I'm not -- 12 Q Did you compile these another time for us when 13 we asked for documents, not as part of your deposition? 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Did you do it in the exact same way? 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. Did the documents that you produced the 18 first time match the second set of documents?
- 19 A I'm very confused by that. First set of 20 documents --
- 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Let me interject.
- 22 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah.

23 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. I'll -- and if you 24 want her to testify to this, obviously, because I'm 25 not testifying, that's fine, but to clear it up, so



## MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 11

1 what we did was I -- Lynn went through any new 2 Facebook documents since that production, provided 3 those to me. I then combined those with the

4 previously produced Facebook documents. So, it is a 5 combination. It is not the same, in other words,. 6 There's a few additional documents along with some 7 of the same documents.

8 MS. ENGLERT: Well, I mean just, they don't, 9 and it's not since the production, there's some 10 other stuff that should have been in the production. 11 We could take that up later, but --

### 12 BY MS. ENGLERT:

13 Q So, did you provide -- so, you used this 14 Facebook download tool and you downloaded everything. 15 You did that yourself physically as opposed to having 16 someone in your attorney's office do it?

17 A Yes.

- 18 Q And then did you go through it and take out 19 documents, or did your attorney do that for you? 20 A I redacted it.
- 21 Q Okay. So, do you have all the documents that 22 you did not send to your attorney?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. Do you remember doing this another time 25



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801

### TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Fr 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 12

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Did you keep the documents that you 3 redacted that time, as well?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And you did it the exact same way as you did 6 it just recently?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Do you remember when you did the download for 9 this deposition?
- 10 A I do not.
- 11 Q Okay. Is there any record you would have to 12 show when you did that?
- 13 A Probably. Hold on. December 21, 2020. 14 Q 2020?
- 15 A That's what it says.
- 16 Q Okay. So, is that when you would've done it 17 for the request for production?
- 18 A That was the first time I did the download. 19 Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. So, the first time you did the 21 download, we did a request for production on July 17, 22 2020, so you did that download December 21st, 2020. Did 23 you keep on your computer, all the documents that you 24 did not provide to your attorney?
- 25 A Yes.

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

407.423.9900 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 13

- 1 Q Okay. And they're still in electronic form on 2 your computer?
- 3 A I believe I put them on a flash drive. 4 Q Okay. But is the flash drive in your
- 5 possession?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Okay. When did you do the second download for 8 the subpoena for this deposition?
- 9 A December 22, 2021.
- 10 Q Okay. And are those documents that you culled 11 out also on a flash drive?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. All right. We'll talk about the 14 documents a little bit later on. How many lawsuits are 15 you currently involved in? 16 A Define lawsuit for me.
- 17 Q A case that has been filed in Orange County 18 Circuit Court where you are either a plaintiff or a 19 defendant.
- 20 A Two.
- 21 Q And what are they?
- 22 A This case and the -- oh, I'm sorry, three. 23 This case, the election arbitration case, and the case 24 handled by Bruce Burtoff.
- 25 Q Okay. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a



MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 defendant in a lawsuit prior to those three cases? 2 A There was a traffic incident a long time ago. 3 Would that count? I don't know that that counts. 4 Q Like a personal injury case or just like a 5 civil traffic thing?
- 6 A No, it was a personal injury case.
- 7 Q Okay. And you were the plaintiff?
- 8 A No, I was the defendant.
- 9 Q Okay. Yeah, technically that would count. Any 10 other ones besides that?
- 11 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 12 Q Okay. Were you a board member of the North 13 Shore at Lake Hart Homeowners Association?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q For what years?
- 16 A March of '17 through '19 sometime.
- 17 Q Besides being a board member, did you hold any 18 other volunteer positions at North Shore in the entire 19 time that you lived there?
- 20 A Yes. I served on the ARB. I served on this 21 social committee. I served on the communications 22 committee.
- 23 Q Were you ever a chair of any of those? 24 A I was -- was I the chair? No.
- 25 Q Okay. What years were you on the ARB



### MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 committee?
- 2 A I don't recall specifically. It was off and 3 on. I know that I initiated -- was initially on the ARB 4 in 2004. I

don't remember what year I came off the ARB 5 for a period of time. And then I became the ARB liaison 6 once I was on the board in 2017.

- 7 Q Okay. And were you the ARB liaison from 2017 8 to 2019 when you came off the board?
- 9 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- 10 Q Did you have a vote on the ARB committee at 11 that point?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Did you go and inspect properties as the ARB 14 liaison?
- 15 A Inspect properties? For what purpose? I'm 16 not understanding.
- 17 Q For ARB compliance.
- 18 A For compliance. Not -- no.
- 19 Q Did you go inspect properties for any reason 20 while you were the ARB liaison from the board?
- 21 A Yes. At the direction of Mr. Gordon. 22 Q Did you go inspect properties when you were on 23 the ARB committee and you were not a board member? 24 A We did do ARB follow-up inspections in the 25 early days. Yes.

- 1 Q Who took that over after the early days? 2 A I don't recall.
- 3 Q Was it somebody from the management company? 4 A I don't recall.
- 5 Q Okay. As a board member, did you always 6 follow

your fiduciary duty?

- 7 A To the best of my ability.
- 8 Q Are there times you think you were not able to 9 follow your fiduciary duty?
- 10 A I don't know how to answer that. No. 11 Q Okay. So, you believe you followed your 12 fiduciary duty as a board member?
- 13 A As I understood it, yes.
- 14 Q The lawsuit that you are the plaintiff in that 15 is handled by Mr. Bruce Burtoff, who compiled the 16 information that's in the lawsuit? And we'll start with 17 the first complaint and then we'll talk about the second 18 complaint because they do differ.
- 19 A I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
- 20 Q Sure. You know the lawsuit that you filed 21 where you're the plaintiff, where Bruce Burtoff's your 22 attorney, right?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. There's two different complaints. There 25 was a complaint that got dismissed and then there was a

- 1 second complaint. I only want to talk about the first 2 one, later we'll talk about the second one. There is -- 3 I think this complaint is about 40 pages long. Who 4 compiled the information in this complaint?
- 5 A You mean who wrote it? Who wrote the
- 6 complaint?
- 7 Q No. Who compiled the information? There are 8 40 pages

- of allegations against various individuals, a 9 lot of facts or purported facts. Where did they come 10 from?
- 11 A The facts, I provided some, and other people 12 provided others.
- 13 Q Who helped you provide facts for this 14 complaint?
- 15 A No one.
- 16 Q You just said other people helped you. Who 17 were they?
- 18 A I do not know.
- 19 Q How do you not know?
- 20 A Because they were anonymous plaintiffs. Mr. 21 Burtoff did not tell me who they are. I don't know who 22 they are, what they got, or what they gave him other 23 than what's in the complaint.
- 24 Q So, you allowed your name to be on a complaint 25 from with facts on it that came from people who you

- 1 didn't know who they were or whether they were verified? 2 A Yes.
- 3 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can 4 answer.
- 5 Q So, you don't know who the John -- the Jane, 6 and Joe Doe are?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q You had no concern being plaintiffs in a case 9 when you had no idea who the people were?
- 10 A No.

- 11 Q Why are they not plaintiffs anymore?
- 12 A I don't know.
- 13 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection.
- 14 Q Okay. Besides the Jane and Joe Doe and 15 yourself, who else provided information for this 16 complaint?
- 17 A I do not know.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you know what information you 19 provided? Do you recall that?
- 20 A I do not. I'd have to go back. I wasn't 21 prepared for questions about a different case today. 22 Q All right. Well, we'll be going over it at 23 length so -- the -- do you have --
- 24 A May I ask why that case has anything to do 25 with this case?

- 1 Q No, you cannot. You don't get to ask the 2 questions.
- 3 A Okay. Okay.
- 4 Q Do you have a file of information that you use 5 to put this case together?
- 6 A This case that we're on right now or the 7 Burtoff case?
- 8 Q We're talking about the Burtoff complaint, the 9 first complaint. When we move to something else, I 10 promise I'll tell you. But assume and know all we're 11 talking about is the first complaint in the -- the case 12 that Bruce Burtoff represents you in.

- 13 A Okay. Okay.
- 14 Q So, do you have a file of information that you 15 used to compile this case, the part that you provided? 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay, perfect. And is -- where is that kept? 18 A On a flash drive.
- 19 Q Okay. Are these all different flash drives 20 that we're talking about, or do you just kind of have 21 one master one for everything about North Shore? 22 A No, I have them on different -- well -- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A -- back up. The Facebook downloads are on one 25 because it's this case. The Burtoff case is a different

- 1 flash drive.
- 2 Q Okay. Did anyone help you compile the 3 information that you provided that you are aware of? 4 A No.
- 5 Q Okay. Okay. In this case you made a variety 6 of allegations and we're going to talk about it. How 7 did you decide which members of the board you are going 8 to have as defendants in this matter?
- 9 A I did not decide.
- 10 Q Who decided?
- 11 A Mr. Burtoff.
- 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: And I'm going --
- 13 MS. ENGLERT: I don't want you to -- that's 14 okay. I won't ask anything for --
- 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- to object --
- 16 MS. ENGLERT: I know. I know. I don't want to 17 attorney/client -- anything that you talked to your 18 attorney about, but I have

to ask. And then if it's 19 something that Mr. Burtoff said, we'll stop right 20 there.

- 21 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 22 Q Do you have a personal opinion of what David 23 Bauer did in order to be sued in this lawsuit?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Do you believe that Dave Bauer did anything

- 1 that rose to the level that he should be sued in this 2 lawsuit?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What? What did he do? I wasn't sure that you 5 heard me.
- 6 A I do.
- 7 Q Yes.
- 8 A Can I verbalize why? No.
- 9 Q So, you believe he should be sued but you 10 can't say why?
- 11 A I would have to go back and refer to notes to 12 give you an answer.
- 13 Q Did you decide to dismiss him as a person who 14 was in this lawsuit, a defendant in the second? 15 A No.
- 16 Q Do you agree that he should have been 17 dismissed?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you talk to the Jane and -- or the Jane 20 and Joe Doe about that?
- 21 A No. Don't know who they are.

22 Q Okay. Who's the Joe Doe that's a defendant, 23 individual and officers? Why was there a Joe Doe 24 included?

25 A I don't know.

- 1 Q Not as a plaintiff, as a defendant?
- 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form.
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q Okay. Are there some individuals that you're 5 aware of that you believe should have been defendants in 6 this lawsuit that were not included?
- 7 A I'm sorry, ask that again.
- 8 Q Sure. Are there some -- you know who the 9 defendants were, Dave Gordon, John Dick, Steve Smith, 10 Mike McCauley, Randolph Pinna, Rob Taub, Burt Pearsall, 11 Dave Bauer. Who was not included that you believe 12 should have been?
- 13 A I don't have an opinion on that.
- 14 Q Okay. What did Burt Pearsall do that you 15 believe was wrong that had him be included in this 16 lawsuit?
- 17 A I do not know.
- 18 Q Okay. So, for him, you don't know?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Okay. How about Rob Taub?
- 21 A I do not know.
- 22 Q How about Randolph Pinna?
- 23 A I do not know.
- 24 Q How about Mike McCauley?

- 1 Q How about Steve Smith?
- 2 A I'm not sure how you want me -- you want me to 3 say what he did?
- 4 Q Yes. What do you believe -- I mean, this 5 complaint goes on for 40 pages about breaches. I mean, 6 there's multiple complaints, breach of fiduciary duty, 7 financial misfeasance, misrepresentation. What wrongful 8 acts do you believe Steve Smith engaged in which caused 9 him to be a defendant in the suit?
- 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can 11 answer to the extent that you know.
- 12 A Do I have to disclose my evidence in answering 13 this question?
- 14 Q You have to answer the question.
- 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: You have to -- to the extent 16 that you understand it. Yes.
- 17 A Okay. Could you re-ask the question, please, 18 Jennifer.
- 19 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 20 Q Sure. You filed a lawsuit against several 21 people and you are the plaintiff. You understand that, 22 right?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q Okay. So, I want to know why you filed a 25 lawsuit against Steve Smith. What did he do wrong?

- 1 A Fiduciary breach. Financial malfeasance. 2 Q How so? How so?
- 3 A Mr. Smith was the treasurer board member. And 4 I asked some questions, I obtained some documentation, 5 and Mr. Smith was not having -- he was instructing 6 people to miscode invoices.
- 7 Q Who was he instructing to do that?
- 8 A Staff --
- 9 Q Who's staff?
- 10 A -- that had to with accounting.
- 11 Q Who would that be?
- 12 A Lisa Mason, for one.
- 13 Q Who else?
- 14 A I don't know the name of the accounting person 15 with the management company that Steve talked about a 16 lot.
- 17 Q Are you a CPA?
- 18 A No, ma'am.
- 19 Q Anything else he did besides miscode invoices? 20 A Regarding his fiduciary breach?
- 21 Q Yeah. I'm trying to get everything that you 22 think he did.
- 23 A I would have to refer to notes again. That's 24 -- I did not refamiliarize myself with the Burtoff case. 25 I'm sorry.

1 MS. ENGLERT: Well, Matthew, this is all 2 related and it's related to our case, so it's fine 3 that she wants to answer this way, but we won't be 4 able to conclude the deposition today. So, it's 5 fine. I mean, I'm going to keep going forward, but 6 it goes right to -- what they did wrong --

7 MR. BERNSTEIN: Well, I would say --

8 MS. ENGLERT: -- goes right to our case. It 9 does. So, that's, like I said, we'll keep going. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: I disagree that it has anything 11 to do with this case. Your complaint in this case 12 is specific and narrow enough so that it doesn't 13 seem to relate to that case. At the very least, you 14 didn't include that in any topics or areas of 15 inquiry or notice to deposition to be able to 16 discuss today. So, to the extent that she's going 17 to answer that she doesn't know or is not prepared 18 for that -- if you want to abate the deposition so 19 that we could -- she could be familiarized with 20 that, or you could take it up to the court, we could 21 do so, but it seems to be a waste of time now to 22 have her answer, "I don't know," or, "I'm not 23 prepared," go through it all, then have us do it 24 again later. So, if you're going -- if you want to 25 do it again, you should probably short circuit it

- 1 today so we don't waste that time.
- 2 MS. ENGLERT: That is not happening. I don't 3 have to give a designation of what I'm asking her. 4 This is not a corporate rep. She's a party. And it 5 does go right to the

heart of the case. She -- we 6 did an injunction for her against her as one of the 7 counts for false and defamatory. One of your 8 affirmative defenses is truth plus unclean hands. 9 So, everything she thinks that every one of these 10 people did is part of this case. So, I'm going to go 11 forward, you're not going to get to get out of this 12 depo today, but I am not going to be finished. 13 Every time she says she's got to look back at notes, 14 that's fine, but we're going forward because there's 15 a lot to cover and we'll just have to come back. So, 16 it's definitely related. There's no way you can say 17 it isn't.

### 18 BY MS. ENGLERT:

- 19 Q Okay. What else did Steve Smith do?
- 20 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just going to put on the 21 record that we do disagree with that. That's all. 22 MS. ENGLERT: That's fine. That's what I'm 23 saying. I mean, it does go right to the heart of 24 it. We can agree to disagree.

  25 BY MS. ENGLERT:

- 1 Q What else do you think that Steve Smith did 2 wrong?
- 3 A Acting as part of an executive committee that 4 was not authorized by the board, making decisions, and 5 preparing actions without board discussion. He also 6 provided multiple e-mails for closed meeting -- closed 7 board meeting agendas that included items that cannot be 8 discussed in a closed meeting, to which I objected each 9 time.
- 10 Q How did you object each time?
- 11 A In e-mail to you and the other board members. 12 Q Okay. And

you have all those e-mails? 13 A I would think so. I don't know.

- 14 Q Okay. What else?
- 15 A That's all I can think of off the top of my 16 head.
- 17 Q Okay. Is Lisa Mason an accountant?
- 18 A I do not know.
- 19 Q What is the documentation that you saw that 20 showed that Mr. Smith was miscoding invoices?
- 21 A An invoice from the management company with 22 instructions from Steve to move a management company 23 charge to an unrelated GL code.
- 24 Q And what was the charge?
- 25 A What do you mean, what was the charge?

- 1 Q You said an -- it was not related to
- 2 management, so what was it related to?
- 3 A No, it was a management labor charge on an 4 invoice, and Mr. Smith had instructions on that invoice. 5 Apparently there was probably a back and forth exchange 6 of the invoice. But his instructions on that invoice 7 were to move that management labor charge to a website 8 GL code.
- 9 Q And how did you get that information?
- 10 A I asked Lisa for a copy of the invoice. 11 Q How did you know to ask for it?
- 12 A I don't remember.
- 13 Q What other information did Lisa provide to you 14 besides a copy of an invoice while you were
- 15 investigating your allegations in this case?
- 16 A The GL codes list that I asked for.

- 17 Q What else?
- 18 A I can't remember anything else.
- 19 Q Did she provide you that electronically? 20 A No.
- 21 Q How did she provide it to you?
- 22 A Hard copy.
- 23 Q Do you remember when that happened?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Was -- were you still a board member?

- 1 A Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q What other hard copies of documentation did 3 she provide you?
- 4 A Regarding Mr. Smith? I cannot think of 5 anything else.
- 6 Q Anything else regarding accounting?
- 7 A Other than the financial statements she 8 distributed to the board on a regular basis, no. 9 Q Why was John Dick included as a defendant in 10 this lawsuit?
- 11 A I do not know.
- 12 Q How about David Gordon?
- 13 A Multiple and various acts.
- 14 Q Okay. We're going to go into that in a 15 second. Why did you put your name on a lawsuit where 16 there were multiple individuals that you don't know why 17 they were included?
- 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can 19 answer.
- 20 A I don't know how to answer that. Why? 21 Originally, I

believe I was going to be a defendant on 22 that case, and I cannot remember who I spoke with, but 23 eventually got connected to Mr. Burtoff directly. We 24 discussed it. I believe Mr. Burtoff discussed it with 25 Matt. It was decided that it would be better for the

### 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 30

- 1 community if I were a plaintiff on that case rather than 2 a defendant.
- 3 Q Okay. That wasn't my question, though. Why 4 did you become the plaintiff and sue people when you are 5 sitting here today and you cannot tell me why they were 6 sued?
- 7 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can 8 answer to the extent that you understood the 9 question. I would just caution you to not divulge 10 any attorney/client communication.
- 11 MS. ENGLERT: You got to just object. Keep it 12 to form, please. But yes, I do not want you to tell 13 me any attorney/client, and I will stop her if I 14 think she's doing that. But please keep your 15 objections to form.

  16 A So, please re-ask the question.

### 17 BY MS. ENGLERT:

- 18 Q Sure. Why did you sue people and you, as you 19 sit here today, can't tell me why they were sued? 20 A Well, number one, I was not the only plaintiff 21 so I can only answer to those for which I had documented 22 evidence that I believed they needed to be held 23 accountable for.
- 24 Q Okay. So, who were those people again? 25 A Steve Smith and Dave Gordon.

- 1 Q How about David Bauer?
- 2 A I didn't have anything to do with that. 3 Q Okay. You did tell me earlier, though, you 4 thought he should have been sued.
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q The documented -- go ahead.
- 7 A That's opinion.
- 8 Q The documented evidence is all on the flash 9 drive you told me about?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And where did the documented evidence 12 come from? I know you told me about Lisa Mason giving 13 you some GL codes. Where else did the evidence come 14 from?

  15 A From e-mail exchanges as a board member, board 16 e-mails. Upon inquiry, Ms. Mason shared with me some 17 text messages.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you have copies of those?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And how did you get copies of those?
- 21 A I requested them from Ms. Mason.
- 22 Q Did she give you screenshot pictures or -- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And those are all on your flash drive? 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Okay. What other evidence do you have? 2 A Again, my conclusion that Mr. Gordon and Mr. 3 Smith were acting as an unauthorized executive
- 4 committee, making decisions, taking actions that were 5 not discussed in board meetings.
- 6 Q When did you come to this conclusion?
- 7 A I don't remember.
- 8 Q Was it a year before you were no longer on the 9 board? Six months?
- 10 A I know it was prior to the meeting at which I 11 asked them to resign from the board.
- 12 Q How much prior?
- 13 A A few -- well, six months maybe.
- 14 Q And then what did you do to investigate before 15 the meeting where you asked them to resign?
- 16 A Collected -- I went back through e-mails, 17 board, shared e-mails. I requested the GL codes list 18 from Ms.

  Mason. I requested the invoice copy from Ms. 19 Mason. That was pretty much it. Just pretty much going 20 back through communications I had received as a board 21 member.
- 22 Q Okay. Do you think that Lisa Mason breached 23 any duty that she had to the community or as a licensed 24 community association manager?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q How about anyone at any of the management 2 companies or any of your community managers?
- 3 A Do -- I'm sorry. Do I think what of any of 4 them?

- 5 Q Did any of them breach their fiduciary duty to 6 the community or their duty as a CAM?
- 7 A I do.
- 8 0 Who?
- 9 A Mr. Lasseter.
- 10 Q Michael Lasseter?
- 11 A Yeah. Is it Lasseter? I thought it was 12 Laster.
- 13 Q No, I'm pretty sure it's Lasseter. I'll get 14 it for you, Michelle. How did he breach his duty? 15 A In e-mails, concurring that certain items 16 should be discussed in a closed meeting. Because there 17 were things that -- and I don't remember his exact 18 language. I know I have the e-mail, but he believed 19 that there were things that needed to be discussed 20 without community involvement, that were not related to 21 pending litigation or personnel matters.
- 22 Q Okay. And that's in your opinion, correct? 23 A Yes, ma'am. And I stated so in reply e-mail 24 to all. I objected.
- 25 Q Any other breaches by any other -- well, first

- 1 Michael Lasseter or anything else?
- 2 A Not that I can recall at this point.
- 3 Q Okay. Any other breaches of -- from any other 4 community association manager who was working at North 5 Shore?
- 6 A Not that I can think of at this point. 7 Q Okay. What do you think that Dave Gordon did 8 wrong that made him a defendant in the suit?

- 9 A He breached his own conflict of interest 10 policy. He breached the vendor policy. He acted as an 11 unauthorized executive committee. He -- I can't think 12 of the specifics at the moment.
- 13 Q Okay. Well, we'll be going through that 14 complaint. How was the community damaged by a GL code 15 being miscoded, according to you?
- 16 A It's, to the best of my understanding, it is 17 an accounting practice that could cost a CPA their 18 license, to intentionally miscode labor charges to a -- 19 another item.
- 20 Q My question was, how did that damage the 21 community?
- 22 A It's bad acts of the person in control of the 23 money.
- 24 Q How did it damage the community?
- 25 A I don't know how to answer that.

- 1 Q Okay. All right. You allege in this
- 2 complaint that the board failed to abide by an orderly 3 budget process. What years did that occur?
- 4 A At least 2018, 2019.
- 5 Q How -- okay. Let's start with 2018. How did 6 they fail to -- how did the board fail to abide by an 7 orderly budget process?
- 8 A In multiple meetings, Mr. Smith provided 9 excuses why financials were not current, why financials 10 were not being available to the community. He, again, 11 on multiple occasions in open board meetings, when Mr. 12 Smith was asked about the budget or the financials, he 13 did not have answers.

- 14 Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for 15 the community?
- 16 A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the 17 financials, and he was the one who decided when they 18 would be distributed.
- 19 Q Why do you think that he is the one who 20 decided when they would be distributed?
- 21 A Because he told us so.
- 22 Q Wasn't it the job of the community association 23 company, the manager on-site and the people in corporate 24 to put the financials together?
- 25 A I do not know. I know that Mr. Smith, at

- 1 every board meeting, closed and otherwise, gave reasons 2 why he was working on things with the accounting team. 3 And he was deciding when things would be available to 4 the membership.
- 5 Q Didn't the financials come to you as a board 6 member from the management company?
- 7 A I -- we did not always get financials. And 8 when we did, it was in preparation of a board meeting. 9 To the best of my knowledge, yes. We got them in an e
- 10 mail from Ms. Mason, who got them at the instruction of 11 Mr. Smith.
- 12 Q Okay. That's what you believe to be true? 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. How about in 2019? Is your answer the 15 same? Is that why you -- there was not an orderly 16 budget process because of the financials not being 17 current?
- 18 A There had not been finance committee meetings. 19 There

had not been a budget process that had been done 20 for the history of the community. When questioned at 21 the town hall meeting, Mr. Smith didn't even know who 22 was on the budget or finance committee.

23 Q Is it your testimony that the budget -- the 24 finance committee didn't meet in 2019?

25 A No.

- 1 Q You don't believe they met in 2019?
- 2 A That's not my answer.
- 3 Q Okay. Well, that's what I'm trying to 4 understand.
- 5 A Okay. Mr. Smith at the town hall meeting, if 6 I recall correctly, said that the finance committee had 7 not met since April. So that was 2019. I don't know 8 that they did. I don't know that they didn't. That was 9 Mr. Smith's words. When asked who was on the committee,
- 10 Mr. Smith told the membership present he did not know 11 who was on the committee.
- 12 Q Any other reason to say that there wasn't a 13 budget or a finance committee besides that?
- 14 A There were no -- there's no history of 15 announcements of budget committee meetings.
- 16 Q For 2019.
- 17 A That I can recall.
- 18 Q How about for 2018?
- 19 A That I can recall.
- 20 Q Okay. There's also allegations that the 21 defendants engaged in self-dealing and misappropriation. 22 Can you tell me how each

one of these defendants engaged 23 in self-dealing or misappropriation?

24 A No.

25 Q Do you know how any of them engaged in self-

- 1 dealing and misappropriation?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Okay. So as far as you're concerned, there's 4 -- was no self-dealing or misappropriation from the 5 defendants in the lawsuit?
- 6 A I don't -- I'm not the one who has the 7 evidence for that.
- 8 Q So do you believe -- okay, so you put your 9 name on a lawsuit where you accused all of those 10 individuals of self-dealing and misappropriation. As 11 you sit here today, do you have any evidence that any of 12 them engaged in self-dealing or misappropriation? 13 A Self-dealing.
- 14 Q All right. How about Dave Gordon? Because 15 you just told me earlier that he did.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q So how did he engage in self-dealing or 18 misappropriation?
- 19 A I'm sorry. I'm not prepared to answer that 20 today.
- 21 Q Okay. We'll get to that another day, then. 22 The misappropriation about facts relating to the South 23 Florida Water Management District. How did the 24 defendants misrepresent that?

1 Q Do you have any recollection of it whatsoever? 2 A I recollect discussions about it. I don't 3 recollect -- I don't have the documented facts on that. 4 Q Well, I'm not asking for documents. What do 5 you recall? Why did you sue people for misrepresenting 6 material facts regarding compliance to the South Florida 7 Water Management District? What do you remember as you 8 sit here today?

9 A I don't recall specifics. I recall

10 discussions. I recall Mr. Gordon's name, being that 11 which signed off on things related to that. I don't -- 12 I'm not prepared to answer that. Other than when you 13 say I sued, I sued, I sued. I was one of number of -- 14 whoever the Jane and Joe Does are, I'm one of who 15 provided -- apparently provided evidence to Mr. Burtoff. 16 Q Right. But you're the only plaintiff now, 17 correct?

- 18 A To the best of my knowledge, yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So are there some things in this 20 lawsuit that probably -- well, strike that. We'll get 21 to it later.

  Okay. You -- in this suit, it says the 22 defendants engaged in misrepresentation to the
- 23 directors' and officers' insurance carrier. How did 24 that happen?
- 25 A I'm sorry, ask that again.

- 1 Q Sure. It says that the defendants engaged in 2 misrepresentation of material facts, pertinent to the 3 directors' and officers' insurance carrier. What do you 4 know about that?

  5 A I'm not prepared to answer that today. I -- 6 again, I'd have to go back and look at notes on that 7 case.
- 8 Q So you have to go back and look at all of your 9 notes on that flash drive, right?
- 10 A To find -- to look for the insurance, the 11 reason for the insurance. I do remember that I had an 12 opinion, when the board announced this new legal gap 13 fund policy that they had purchased, I remember 14 commenting that I wanted to find out from the insurance 15 company if there were any misrepresentations on the 16 application. I wanted to know what the questions were 17 on the application. I have not ever received that 18 information.
- 19 Q So you don't know whether there were
- 20 misrepresentations or not?
- 21 A Not factually yet, no.
- 22 Q Okay. There's a claim that the defendants 23 were negligent and reckless in the maintenance and 24 repair of association property. How so?
- 25 A I believe that that refers to the sidewalks

- 2 Q What else?
- 3 A I believe that part of that was that the 4 replacement of the dock was unnecessary, had the dock 5 been maintained properly along the way.
- 6 Q What did you do to make sure that the dock got 7 maintained as a board member?
- 8 A What did I do?
- 9 Q Yeah.
- 10 A I don't recall it ever becoming a subject 11 while I was a board member.
- 12 Q Were you concerned about the maintenance of 13 the dock while you were a board member?
- 14 A Specifically, no.
- 15 Q So do you feel that you did something wrong by 16 not making sure that the dock got maintained as -- when 17 you were a board member?
- 18 A It's a possibility.
- 19 Q Okay. What conflict of interests were failed 20 to be disclosed by any of the board members?
- 21 A The first and foremost is that Mr. Gordon had 22 two separate contracts with Orlando Law Group, one 23 personally, to represent him in all matters related to 24 the association. And the second was with Orlando Law 25 Group representing the association. The second conflict

1 was Mr. Gordon's personal assistant, Deborah Lapin was 2 also apparently handling the invoicing for a vendor for 3 the HOA. GL Brothers Outdoor, I believe, is the name of 4 that group. Those are

the two I can think of off the 5 top of my head, without going and looking at notes. But 6 it was Mr. Gordon who insisted on a conflict of interest 7 policy and disclosure. And when we had the meeting 8 about the conflict of interest, Mr. Gordon did not 9 disclose that. And over the years that he has been on 10 the board and has been asked specifically about his 11 history with Orlando Law Group, his response has always 12 been that Jennifer Englert handled some estate work for 13 him prior to his being on the board.

- 14 Q What -- you said there's two contracts, that 15 Dave Gordon has a contract with the Orlando Law Group 16 for what?

  17 A For representation in all matters regarding 18 the
- 17 A For representation in all matters regarding 18 the association.
- 19 Q With him and the Orlando Law Group only? 20 A Yes. Yes.
- 21 Q Have you seen this contract?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q When have you seen it?
- 24 A I have a copy of it.
- 25 Q So are you talking about the HOA contract?

- 1 A No, that's a separate contract.
- 2 Q Okay. I'll be asking you for that because 3 I've never seen it. Now Deb Lapin invoicing for a 4 vendor. How is -- first of all, how is that a conflict 5 of interest?
- 6 A Mr. Gordon's personal assistant was providing 7 invoices to the HOA on behalf of an HOA vendor who was 8 not registered with the HOA at the time, who did not 9 produce a business license under Mr.

Gordon's own vendor

- 10 policy, produced an insurance policy under a name 11 different from the tax document provided.
- 12 Q Did they actually do the work that they were 13 paid for?
  14 A I have no idea.
- 15 Q How is that a conflict of interest, what 16 you're telling me?
  17 A A board member's personal assistant, being the 18 invoicing
  person for an HOA vendor, where the vendor has 19 violated the
  Association's vendor policy and the board 20 member did not
  disclose to the membership that his 21 assistant was also the
  invoicing person for this vendor. 22 Plus he used that vendor
  personally. I'm sure he paid 23 them separately, but you know, I'd
  don't know. That's 24 all -- that is all unseemly.

## 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 44

1 were paid for work they didn't do, correct?

25 Q All right. You have no evidence that they

- 2 A I'm sorry, ask that again.
- 3 Q You have no evidence that this vendor got paid 4 for --wrongfully paid -- paid for something they didn't 5 do, or overpaid or anything like that, right?
- 6 A Correct. I do not know.
- 7 Q OK. The unknown person, the John Doe who's a 8 defendant, did you try to find out who they were? 9 A No.
- 10 Q Do you have any idea why there's an unknown 11 defendant at this point?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q There were other board members during this 14 time

period that are not defendants in the case, right? 15 A

There were other board members during this 16 time that were
not defendants. I believe so. Yes. 17 Q Why were they not
sued?

- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q Did you have any say on who was sued in this 20 case?
- 21 A No. I expressed objections to a couple of 22 people that were originally named, and Mr. Burtoff 23 removed those.
- 24 Q Who were they?
- 25 A Bob Schoneman. And Mike McCauley.

- 1 Q Mike McCauley is a defendant -- in the first 2 case. You are aware of that, right?
- 3 A I thought he was taken off.
- 4 Q Okay. Anyone else you expressed an objection 5 to?
- 6 A Not that I can recall at this moment.
- 7 Q Why did you have an objection to Mike McCauley 8 being included?
- 9 A I did not feel that he had been on the board 10 long enough to have done any wrongdoing at the time. 11 Q Okay. Would you agree that somebody would 12 actually need to participate in board meetings in order 13 to be sued by yourself?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Anybody else that you recall being 16 mentioned that you specifically vetoed?

- 17 A I do not recall at this time.
- 18 Q Okay. There -- was Jim Timko mentioned? 19 A I don't remember.
- 20 Q Okay. How about Sarah Crawford?
- 21 A I don't remember.
- 22 Q How far back does this lawsuit, like from what 23 years does this lawsuit cover, the allegations in here? 24 How far back do we go?
- 25 A I'm not sure. I don't know.

- 1 Q Well, in your mind, when do the problems 2 begin, that made you want to file this case?
- 3 A Probably 2016.
- 4 Q The annual meeting that was held on October 5 22, 2019, you believe that there were -- how many people 6 do you think that were at that meeting?
- 7 A Oh gosh, 100 plus. I don't know.
- 8 Q Do you think there were over 200?
- 9 A Inclusive of proxies or in person?
- 10 Q Your complaint says that there were over 200 11 voting members at the meeting attending.
- 12 A That would have included proxies.
- 13 Q Do know if the Jane and Joe Doe were at the 14 meeting?
- 15 A I do not know.
- 16 Q Okay, Mr. Burtoff wasn't at the meeting, 17 right?
- 18 A I don't know.
- 19 Q You don't remember if he was there or not? 20 A I don't remember.

- 21 Q Okay. You believe that the HOA board members 22 were holdovers in the years that there were not an 23 election, correct?

  24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Did you, since the DBR -- DBPR ruled that an

1 election was not necessary, if there were -- as long as 2 you had the exact number of people running, that there 3 were the number of open seats. Did you agree with that? 4 A I don't think that's what they ruled.

- 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Form.
- 6 Q What do you think they ruled?
- 7 A That if there were the same number of seats 8 available that there were candidates, you still had to 9 hold an election meeting and take nominations from the 10 floor.
- 11 Q Your initial belief was that if -- that 12 everybody was a holdover, if they weren't elected in an 13 official meeting with a quorum, correct?
- 14 A If they had served out their elected term, if 15 they had served out the three years in which they were 16 duly elected and there was not another election, then 17 yes, they would become holdover board members.
- 18 Q Okay. You will -- you -- in this complaint, 19 it says that they -- that the board members included at 20 least -- breached their fiduciary duty by a variety of 21 different things. The first one is an unapproved dock 22 project. So let's talk about the dock. Do you believe 23 that the HOA did not have to maintain the dock?

- 1 go ahead.
- 2 A The HOA needed to be maintaining the dock. 3 Q Okay. Do you agree that the dock project was 4 delayed because of people, including Bruce Burtoff, 5 making complaints regarding it to different agencies 6 that were going to allow the permit to go through? 7 A I'm sorry. I don't understand the question. 8 Q Sure. Do you have any idea how long the dock 9 project was in process? 10 A No, I do not.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge regarding how 12 or why it might have been delayed?
- 13 A No, I do not.
- 14 Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge about the 15 price of lumber going up during the pandemic?
- 16 A Only what I've read in news stories.
- 17 Q Okay. So you have no idea regarding why the 18 dock project took as long as it did?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And you have no idea regarding why the price 21 of that might have gone up?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q Have you seen the bids for the dock project? 24 A No.
- 25 Q Okay. Have -- you haven't taken the chance to

- 1 review them?
- 2 A I have not received them.
- 3 Q Is it your testimony that nobody has received 4 those bids?
- 5 A That is not my testimony. I have not received 6 those bids. I have not seen it.
- 7 Q You said that the board also allowed
- 8 unapproved management contracts. Tell me about that. 9
  A Access management was hired without board 10 discussion,
  without open discussion. And all of a 11 sudden, we were
  just informed by Mr. Smith and Mr. 12 Gordon that we were
  hiring this Access Management 13 Company to replace, I
  believe it was Artemis at the 14 time.
- 15 Q Okay. You don't recall seeing the contract 16 before it was signed, or any discussion about a 17 potential change in management company when you were on 18 the board?
- 19 A There was a discussion in a closed board 20 meeting that they were going to hire Access Management. 21 They being Steve and Dave.
- 22 Q Do you remember John Dick being involved? 23 A I remember a conversation with John Dick when 24 I said we had to go out for bids. And John Dick said 25 that he had taken the quote that they had from Access

1 Management, put it on an old RFP form. And if anybody 2 asked, they were just going to use that. But I don't 3 believe he was involved in the -- in interviewing or 4

investigation or search for, I don't know.

- 5 Q Okay. Do you know where Access Management 6 came from?
- 7 A I do not.
- 8 Q Now the landscaping project, do you take issue 9 with the landscaping that was redone at North Shore? 10 A What landscaping project?
- 11 Q It's in the lawsuit.
- 12 A I don't know.
- 13 Q What landscaping project do you take issue 14 with as a breach of fiduciary duty?
- 15 A I did not. That would not have been one of 16 the things I provided to Mr. Burtoff.
- 17 Q Okay. So do you have any issue with how the 18 board handled landscaping?
- 19 A I have not looked into it, so I can't tell you 20 whether I do or don't.
- 21 Q Okay. How about the dog park project? 22 A What about the dog park project?
- 23 Q That's also in the lawsuit as a reason why you 24 believe the board breached its fiduciary duty. So you 25 tell me.

- 1 A Again, I was not involved in that part of the 2 complaint.
- 3 Q Okay. Did you read this complaint before it 4 got filed?

- 5 A The first complaint? Yes.
- 6 Q Yeah. Did you agree with everything in here? 7 A I agreed with the parts that I provided to Mr. 8 Burtoff.
- 9 Q Okay. And the only way we're going to be able 10 to see that is by looking at the documents that you have 11 gathered together, correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. What issue do you take with how the 14 board handled gate violations?
- 15 A What issue do I take?
- 16 Q Yeah.
- 17 A That they were putting up the same arms that 18 had been knocked down, but charging people for a new 19 arm. That the gates have malfunctioned frequently, that 20 there has -- I just take a lot of issues with the gate 21 issues.
- 22 Q Did you watch any of the videos of people who 23 had gate violations to see why they were being violated? 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q How many?

- 1 A Five or six, probably.
- 2 O Which ones?
- 3 A I don't remember.
- 4 Q When did you see them?
- 5 A I don't remember when. I went -- would go 6 down to the office and ask to look at a particular one 7 that nobody knew was mine. But when I saw it, I went 8 down to the office to look, it was my guest. And I paid 9 for the damage to the car

that came in behind my guest 10 privately.

- 11 Q And who did you watch the videos with? 12 A Amber -- I can't remember her last name. 13 Q Okay. Do you have any idea what percentage of 14 the gate violations are commercial vehicles as opposed 15 to people, either residents or guests of residents? 16 A I do not.
- 17 Q Do you not recall having a discussion in board 18 meetings regarding how much the fines would be for 19 hitting a gate arm?
- 20 A I do.
- 21 Q Do you remember them being being prorated? 22 A I do not recall.
- 23 Q Okay. Do you remember the discussion where it 24 was discussed that hits would weaken the hardware and 25 after a hit or two, everything would need to be

- 1 replaced?
- 2 A I do not recall that.
- 3 Q Okay. So do you believe that the association 4 should not have been fining people who hit gates? 5 A That is not what I believe.
- 6 Q Okay. Do you believe that doing -- fining 7 people for hitting the gates would have been a breach of 8 the fiduciary duty of the board members?
- 9 A It depends on the amount and whether a 10 replacement had to be done or whether they put up the 11 old arm and how much it was.
- 12 Q Okay. So how much do you think was

- 13 appropriate?
- 14 A I don't have a dollar amount, but if you put 15 up the same arm that just was knocked off, and you 16 charged somebody for a full new arm, I don't believe 17 that that is fair.
- 18 Q Okay. How did that damage the association? 19 A I don't have an answer for that question. 20 Q Okay. When did the association engage in 21 selective and basically retribution for over-citation of 22 members' homes for petty yard infractions?
- 23 A Ask that again, please?
- 24 Q Yes. Do you believe that the compliance 25 committee basically committed retribution on certain

- 1 people for petty yard infractions?
- 2 A I don't think the word retribution fits in 3 there. Do I think that the compliance committee issued 4 petty infractions? Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. When you were on the ARB, did you think 6 that anything the ARB committee did was petty?
- 7 A Not in its decisions, no.
- 8 Q Okay. So do you believe that anything that 9 the ARB committee did while at least you were on it and 10 were able to go to all the hearings had any issue 11 whatsoever?
- 12 A I believe there were some things that the ARB 13 members discussed that may have been at odds, but they 14 always resolved the issues, but not in final policy or 15 determinations.

16 Q Okay. So did you take issue with the 17 compliance committee fines? Not any specific fines, 18 just the chart of fines that the community had. 19 A I'm sorry. Will you please ask that again? 20 Q Sure. You said you agreed that there were 21 some petty yard infractions in the community.

22 A Yeah.

23 Q So my question is is do you believe that a 24 chart of fines which were applied to the community, that 25 there was anything on there that was a petty yard

- 1 infraction?
- 2 A Well, I would have to see what the infractions 3 were to remember whether I thought they were petty or 4 not.
- 5 Q Okay. So then off the top of your head as you 6 sit here today, what petty yard infractions did you not 7 agree with?

  8 A I don't recall.
- 9 Q Okay. So when you -- when that was included 10 in the lawsuit, was that something that you wanted 11 included or was that something that was related to the 12 Jane and Joe Doe?
- 13 A I don't recall making that an issue, so I 14 don't believe it was me.
- 15 Q Okay. And as you sit here today, do you 16 remember any of your friends or neighbors, do you 17 believe had a petty yard infraction that they were fined 18 for?
- 19 A Yes. Oh, that they were fined for? No. 20 Q Okay. Who were -- when you said yes, who were 21 you thinking of?

Apparently they didn't have a fine, 22 but who were the individuals you were thinking of? 23 A My neighbors had moved in, and the first week 24 they lived here, they received a violation for their 25 grass. They were from overseas, and they didn't know

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 56

- 1 how to deal with it. So they paid a lot of money to 2 have their entire yard resodded.
- 3 Q And who was that?
- 4 A That was Bruce and Shelly Zimmerman. And I 5 think violating someone the first week they live here 6 rather than a nice conversation about how to manage it 7 was pretty petty.
- 8 Q Okay. Was that worth filing a lawsuit over? 9 A Oh, no. That was way, way before. That was 10 in like 2006.

  11 Q Oh, okay. So these people weren't even on the 12 board
- 13 A No.

then?

- 14 Q Okay. So do you think that -- so basically 15 you're going way back -- strike that.
- 16 A You asked me a question. I answered it. 17 Q Yeah, yeah. No. I appreciate it. I 18 appreciate it. I appreciate the completeness. Do you 19 believe that the board failed to maintain the entryway 20 monuments?
- 21 A Entryway monuments? No. I don't know. That's 22 not part of what I provided.
- 23 Q Okay. How about the sprinkler system? 24 A I don't recall providing anything about the 25 sprinkler system.

- 1 Q Okay. Did you provide anything about the 2 dock?
- 3 A Did I provide anything what?
- 4 Q Well, I mean, there's many allegations in here 5 regarding the dock. Was that something that was a 6 concern to you? Or was that something that was a 7 concern to the Jane and Joe Doe plaintiffs?
- 8 A Oh, it was a concern to me because in the 9 board meetings when they talked about the cost and then 10 they talked about the cost going up again, and then it 11 went up to like \$240,000 in one of the meetings that Mr. 12 Gordon specifically stated, that put it at a dollar 13 amount that required a membership vote.
- 14 Q Do you know how much the price ended up being? 15 A I do not know.
- 16 Q Okay. Do you -- and you've already testified, 17 you don't recall or you have no knowledge about why the 18 price went up?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Okay. It says that the landscaping is in need 21 of up to \$500,000 in repairs. Did that number come from 22 you?

  23 A No.
- 24 Q In this lawsuit, the first one, how much money 25 were you seeking for the association?

- 1 A I think it was around \$500,000.
- 2 Q How were those damages calculated?
- 3 A I'd have to look at my notes and go back and 4 look at the lawsuit. I don't recall.
- 5 Q Okay. I mean, you already told me about the 6 self-dealing contracts that you believe Dave Gordon had, 7 right, just the two, right?
- 8 A I didn't call those self-dealing. I call them 9 conflict
  of interest.
- 10 Q Okay. Well, in the complaint, it says that he 11 repeatedly engaged in clandestine and self-dealing 12 contracts with contractors and consultants. Did that 13 come from you?
- 14 A That came from someone else. No.
- 15 Q Okay. What non-compliance of regulatory 16 requirements did the board engage in?
- 17 A Can you define that for me, please?
- 18 Q It's right in your complaint. So non 19 compliance of regulatory requirements.
- 20 A So off the top of my head, I can't think of 21 what that would be. Again, I'd have to go back and look 22 at my notes. I'm sorry. I'm going to turn the sound 23 off on my phone, because it keeps beeping.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 A Okay.

increase by 35 percent?

- 4 A That was not part of my input, so I don't 5 know.
- 6 Q Okay. Did you have any concern about budget 7 increases?
- 8 A Yes. I didn't --
- 9 Q But not enough to -- go ahead.
- 10 A I didn't provide any evidence to the budget. 11 Just that the increases were bothersome to me.
- 12 Q Do you know how much the increases actually 13 were?
- 14 A I do not know. I know that for this year it 15 was more than the statutorily allowed 15 percent. I 16 think it was like 15.3 or 15 point something.
- 17 Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge about the 18 reserve study that was done?
- 19 A Only the copy I received as a board member. 20 Q Well, you weren't a board member when the 21 reserve study was done? Correct?
- 22 A I was. There was a reserve study done while I 23 was a board member, yes.
- 24 Q Okay. That's something -- in 2018, you 25 believe there was a reserve study done?

- 1 A I don't know what year it was. I don't 2 remember.
- 3 Q Who --
- 4 A But I know that I was a board member when we 5 reviewed the last reserve study. Now what year that 6 was,

- I don't know, but I got it when I was a board 7 member for discussion by the board.
- 8 Q Okay. Is it your memory that that was a full 9 out reserve study or just basically an audit at the 10 time?
- 11 A I believe it was the full reserve study. 12 Q Okay. So that's just your recollection? 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Did North Shore get audited every year? 15 A Every year?
- 16 Q Yeah.
- 17 A I do not know. I don't know.
- 18 Q Okay. So you don't recall that North Shore 19 got audited, a full audit every year?
- 20 A I don't recall.
- 21 Q Okay. So in here, in this complaint where it 22 says there was no oversight of the homeowner's million 23 dollar budget, do you believe that's true?
- 24 A I don't know. Those are not my words. 25 Q Okay. Do you believe that the board diverted

- 1 hundreds of thousands of dollars on wasteful projects? 2
  A My personal opinion, yes.
- 3 Q Which ones?
- 4 A Well, the dock, for one. That would be the 5 most recent that I can think of. I can't think of 6 specifics for other projects.
- 7 Q What was wasteful about the dock?
- 8 A I believe that the stained glass gate was 9

wasteful and unnecessary.

- 10 Q Stained glass, did you say?
- 11 A Whatever that Heron design, fancy gate that 12 they put on the dock is.

13 Q Okay.

14 A I've only seen pictures of it. I was not part 15 of the group that investigated that. So the big deal to 16 me on -- the big waste to me on that was the gate. 17 Q Okay. Do you have any idea what that gate 18 cost?

19 A Nope.

20 Q Okay. And you haven't even gone down to look 21 at at the dock that you've made these allegations about? 22 A I went to the dock one time in 18 years. 23 Q Okay. Do you believe that the sprinklers in 24 the association needed to be repaired?

25 A I have no idea.

- 1 Q Okay. So nothing about the sprinklers would 2 be something that came from you?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q How about remedial COVID protections for the 5 pool and gym? Do you believe that that was wasteful? 6 A I don't even know what those are.
- 7 Q Okay. So you don't have any evidence that the 8 board spent 100,000 to 300,000 on COVID protections? 9 A Correct. I don't.
- 10 Q Okay. Do you have any evidence that they 11 spent over \$40,000 on pillar repairs?

- 12 A I do not.
- 13 Q Okay. Do you have any recollection of how 14 much the landscaping contract was for the board each 15 year?

  16 A I do not. I'd have to look at the old 17 budgets.
- 18 Q Okay. Do you agree that -- okay, strike that. 19
  Whatever year there was, what you believe was a reserves 20
  study, do you agree that more money needed to be put in 21
  reserves?
- 22 A I don't recall.
- 23 Q Okay. Would you agree that expenses are going 24 up for things?
- 25 A I have no idea.

1 Q Okay. We touched on this, but I just want to 2 make sure. Do you have any specific thing that you can 3 say now regarding the South Florida Water Management 4 District and the board mishandling anything?

5 A No.

- 6 Q Is there anything in your notes about that, 7 and you just might not recall it? Or is that just a 8 non-issue for you?

  9 A Oh, it's not a non-issue for me, but I wasn't 10 involved in any of that. So other than my listening to 11 Mr. Gordon talk about it, the board talk about it, 12 people in the community talking about it, I don't have 13 any firsthand knowledge.
- 14 Q Okay. And who was involved in getting 15 information regarding that or anything else that you 16 weren't involved? You just told me a bunch of stuff you 17 weren't involved in. Who was?

- 18 A Regarding what, the South Florida Water 19 Management District thing?
- 20 Q Yeah. Let's start with that one.
- 21 A Well, I know Mr. Gordon was.
- 22 Q No, people who helped compile information for 23 this lawsuit.
- 24 A Oh, I don't know.
- 25 Q Did you have any discomfort putting your name

- 1 on a lawsuit you don't know where all the information 2 came from?
- 3 A Apparently not.
- 4 Q Okay. The CAM who worked and oversaw some 5 projects from Executive Management, an advisory service, 6 LLC, do you know who that is?
- 7 A I'm sorry. What?
- 8 Q All right, in your complaint, there's
- 9 somebody, an executive management and advisory service 10 who was paid by the association for about six months. Do 11 you remember?

  Do you know anything about that? 12 A Only that it was announced that the board had 13 hired an executive manager, and I thought that was a 14 waste of money.
- 15 Q Okay. Did you realize that she was a 16 community association manager?
- 17 A She? I thought it was a guy. Wait, are you 18 -- clearly I'm not understanding who you're talking 19 about.
- 20 Q Yeah. I mean, I think it's misnamed in the 21 lawsuit. I think that's the problem. There's a name, 22 John Daley, in here. Is that

the person that you're 23 thinking of? 24 A Yes.

25 Q Okay. That's not actually the person who was

- 1 hired. Carla Daley, do you remember her?
- 2 A Nope. Never met her. I remember Mr. Gordon 3 announcing hiring John Daley as an executive manager. 4 Q Do you not recall Carla Daley participating in 5 board meetings and talking about the landscaping project 6 and other things which she did in open board meetings? 7 A Not when I was on the board.
- 8 Q That you would've attended as an attendee 9 after you were on the board.
- 10 A I do not recall that.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you recall attending the budget 12 meeting where she also spoke?
- 13 A I have not attended a budget meeting. 14 Q Okay. How about -- and I'm talking about the 15 open --
- 16 A I'm sorry. I have not attended a budget 17 meeting since I was on the board.
- 18 Q So the open meetings that were open to 19 homeowners, you did not attend regarding the budget? 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q Okay. Do you have any evidence of actually 22 commingling of expenses for board members between the 23 board and member individually in the HOA?
- 24 A I'm not sure I understand the question. 25 Q

- 1 commingling of expenses between board members and the 2 HOA. What evidence do you have of that?
- 3 A I don't believe I provided that information. 4 Q Okay. So do you personally have any
- 5 information regarding that?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Where did Mr. Gordon on at least one occasion 8 instruct an ARB request to be squashed?
- 9 A I am not aware of that.
- 10 Q Okay. And you already testified that while 11 you were the ARB liaison, nothing untoward happened, 12 right?

  13 A Not that I can recall.
- 14 Q Do you have any problem with board members 15 being involved in the compliance process?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q How so?
- 18 A I'd have to look it up, but I believe that the 19 statute says that board members nor their families nor 20 any, you know, household person is allowed to conduct 21 compliance inspections, but I'd have to look that up 22 again.
- 23 Q So you took issue with a board member being 24 involved in any inspection, even if it was done by the 25 CAM?

- 1 A Again, I have to look up the wording, but 2 specifically board member.
- 3 Q Are you aware of any board member who went out 4 without either a compliance committee member or a member 5 of the management company to do inspections?
- 6 A Yeah. Prior to my knowledge or understanding 7 of the statute at Mr. Gordon's instruction, I did. 8 Q When?
- 9 A I don't remember. It had to been in '17, '18 10 or early '19 of the Galeno property on Hart Branch 11 Circle.
- 12 Q Were there times when the board went out to 13 investigate a potential variance that they were going to 14 be asked to make?
- 15 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 16 Q You don't remember ever being asked to 17 potentially give a variance?
- 18 A I don't recall. It's possible. I don't 19 recall.
- 20 Q Okay. Why did you say that Dave Bauer was 21 beholden to Dave Gordon?
- 22 A I don't believe I said that.
- 23 Q Okay. So it says that in this complaint, but 24 that didn't come from you?
- 25 A No.

1 Q Do you believe that Dave Bauer got some sort 2 of benefit from being the chair of the compliance 3 committee and volunteering so many hours of his time 4 while he and his wife had cancer?

5 MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the form.

6 A No.

7 Q Do you think Mr. Bauer benefited from being 8 the chair of the compliance committee?

9 A I'm sorry. I said no.

10 Q Okay. Thanks. Did you think the gate 11 violations themselves were harassment?

12 A No.

13 Q Okay. Do you believe that the value of 14 properties in North Shore have been diminished as a 15 result of the issues that we've talked about so far in 16 your deposition?

17 A The values? No.

18 Q Okay. So in this complaint, it says that the 19 real property values have been diminished in excess of 20 \$5 million. Do you have any idea where that number came 21 from? 22 A No.

23 Q Have property values in North Shore been going 24 up the past couple years?

25 A I do not know.

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. I Page 69

1 Q So the -- the damage figuring in here of  $$6.2\ 2$  million in this lawsuit, do you have any idea where that 3 came from?

4 A It's not that total amount. No.

- 5 Q Okay. You told me you thought about half a 6 million?
- 7 A I believe that what I provided toward the 8 lawsuit came to about 500,000, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. And how was the breakdown of that 10 500,000?
- 11 A I'd have to go back and look at my notes. I 12 don't recall.
- 13 Q Do you recall any of the things that even went 14 into the budget?
- 15 A I do not. I'm sorry. I'd have to look at 16 notes.
- 17 Q Okay. So the people who were sued, the 18 defendants in this lawsuit, including John Dick, Steve 19 Smith, and Dave Gordon, do you believe that they 20 violated their duties the entirety of the time they were 21 on the board?
- 22 A The entirety of the time they were on the 23 board? 24 Q Yes.
- 25 A I don't know how to answer that. I don't

- 1 believe that every single thing they did was a
- 2 violation. I believe that -- I believe that the -- I 3 don't know how to answer that.
- 4 Q Okay. Well, what is --
- 5 A Not every single thing they did was a
- 6 violation, no.
- 7 Q What time period does this complaint cover? 8 Maybe that's -- that's probably a better question. 9 A I guess my personal suspicions and starting to 10 ask questions started probably in early 2019.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you have any issue regarding -- 12 strike that.

There's allegations in here that people 13 were fined for compliance value violations so that they 14 could not vote. Do you have any person that you can say 15 received a compliance violation and then was not able to 16 vote?

17 A Compliance violation? No.

- 18 Q Okay. Okay. And so for the year of 2020, 19 basically 2019, 2020, do you have any evidence that tens 20 or scores of homeowners had their voting rights 21 impaired?
- 22 A I'm sorry. Ask that one more time.
- 23 Q Okay. And I'm just reading it verbatim. I 24 wouldn't have probably written it like this.
- 25 A Okay.

- 1 Q Tens or scores of homeowners have had their 2 voting rights impaired.
- 3 A I believe that's true.
- 4 Q How so?
- 5 A Well, I know, for example, that bylaws that 6 were passed improperly preclude me from running for the 7 board. I know that under -- I know that it appeared 8 that a lot of fines were issued in a very short period 9 of time from what I saw on social media, that people
- 10 were receiving fines right and left just before the 11 election. And if you have an outstanding fine, you 12 can't vote. It just seemed -- again, it appeared that 13 there was a lot of activity at a time right before an 14 election that would've precluded people from voting. 15 Q Do you know any person -- actually the name of 16 anyone who was precluded

from voting because of a fine? 17 A Fine. I personally do not.

18 Q Okay. And then you said that the bylaws were 19 passed improperly so that you're not able to run that. 20 Isn't really part of the question, but I do want to talk 21 about it. Why were the bylaws done improperly, in your 22 opinion? 23 A The bylaws were changed without proper 24 notification, dissemination to the membership, open 25 meetings for discussion. All of a sudden, we just were

- 1 informed the bylaws had been changed and recorded. 2 Q
  You don't recall that the bylaws of North 3 Shore
  allowed the board to change the bylaws in that 4 manner?

  5 A I know that the bylaws are allowed to be 6 changed by
  the board, but under Florida statute, there's 7 a certain
  process that they had to follow that they did 8 not. And do
  I know it off the top of my head? No. I 9 would have to go
  back and look at the statutes.
- 10 Q Okay. Are you aware that the bylaws also 11 allowed the board members to replace a board member when 12 they resign or leave, for whatever reason?
- 13 A I, under legal counsel, would disagree with 14 that.
- 15 Q Okay. Are you paying Mr. Bertholf by the 16 hour?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Is it a contingency fee matter?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q How is he being compensated?
- 21 A Retainer? I don't know. I don't know how it 22 is

dwindling down at this point.

23 Q Are you solely responsible now that the other 24 two plaintiffs are not plaintiffs any longer?

25 A I presume so.

- 1 Q Okay. But is he billing by the hour then? 2 A I have not received any other bills.
- 3 Q But that's your understanding of what's 4 happening?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. Now we're going to talk about the 7 amended complaint where you are the only plaintiff, 8 okay?
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q Why was Steve Smith dropped?
- 11 A He should not have been. I have to look. 12 Q So do you know who the defendants are anymore 13 on this lawsuit?
- 14 A I would be the only -- oh, wait the defendant. 15 I have not --- I'm sorry. I have not read the amended 16 complaint, so no, I do not know.
- 17 Q So you allowed your name to be on a complaint 18 and you didn't read it?
- 19 A It's an amended complaint, correct?
- 20 Q Yeah. Uh-huh.
- 21 A No, I have not read it yet.
- 22 Q Okay. The defendants now, the only board 23 members that are left are Dave Gordon and John Dick. Why 24 is only Dave Gordon and John Dick the defendants for 25 board members?

- 1 A I have not had a chance to discuss any of this 2 with Mr. Burtoff. I don't know.
- 3 Q So was this done without your consent? 4 A No.
- 5 Q Okay. So you either would know about it, or 6 you wouldn't know about it. So did you know that 7 everybody was being dropped except for Dave Gordon and 8 John Dick?
  9 A No.
- 10 Q Okay. So then it was done without your 11 consent?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q How could it be done with your consent, yet 14 you say say you don't know about it?
- 15 A Mr. Burtoff called me, said he needed to file 16 an amended complaint and was I  $\,$
- 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't want you to di --- 18 Lynn, do not disclose any conversations --
- 19 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, Billy, I mean, I know we're 20 -- you don't have to tell me what he said to you, 21 and I really don't want to know that. So I just -- 22 suffice it to say that.
- 23 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 24 Q Well, is today the first time you're finding 25 out that the only board members who are defendants are

- 1 Dave Gordon and John Dick?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. So did you agree -- so sitting here 4 today, do you agree that Steve Smith should have been 5 dropped?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q How about Mike McCauley?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q How about Randolph Pinna?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q How about Rob Taub?
- 12 A I don't know.
- 13 Q Why don't you know?
- 14 A Mr. Taub has been on the board long enough now 15 to have taken actions or prevented actions. So I -- 16 until I know what he has or has not done, I don't know 17 that he should or should not have been dropped. 18 Q Okay. So it was kind of -- it's your opinion 19 that you're kind of guilty until proven innocent? 20 A No.
- 21 Q But you, as you sit here today, do you know 22 that Rob Taub did anything that is a violation of any 23 fiduciary duty or anything that should have him be a 24 defendant in this lawsuit?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what would that be?
- 2 A I don't know how to put it into words. 3 Behaviors and actions that he has taken as a board 4 member, of which I am aware? That I can't specify 5 without going back to notes and looking. But if he

- was 6 dropped, I was not aware of that. Should he have been? 7 Again, I don't know. I'd have to go look.
- 8 Q Okay. How about Burt Pearsall? Do you agree 9 that he should have been dropped?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q How about Dave Bauer?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q There's no more --
- 14 A I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Back up. No, Dave 15 Bauer should not have been dropped.
- 16 Q Okay. But you've already told me everything 17 that you could think of that you believe that he might 18 have done wrong, that would require him to be included 19 in this lawsuit, right?

  20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Okay. How about John Doe? That first, that 22 got dropped. So do you feel that everybody -- or are 23 there some other people that you think still should be 24 included in this lawsuit?

  25 A Other than Steve Smith, not with certainty.

- 1 Q Okay. So in regards to any of the allegations 2 that are in this new complaint, since you haven't read 3 it, you don't know whether they're valid or not, right? 4 A I don't know that, correct.
- 5 Q Is there a reason you didn't read it before 6 you allowed it to be filed?
- 7 A I did not have time.
- 8 Q Why haven't you read it since it was filed? 9 A I

have not had time.

- 10 Q Have you told me all of the knowledge that you 11 have regarding any improper personal benefit that any of 12 the board members have received?
- 13 A I don't believe I've talked about any personal 14 benefit. I don't -- I don't have evidence to personal 15 benefit.
- 16 Q Okay. So you do not have any evidence of any 17 personal benefit that a board member has received? 18 A By my definition of personal benefit, no. 19 Q Have you -- how do you define personal 20 benefit?
- 21 A Receiving favors or compensation in exchange 22 for something.
- 23 Q Okay. How -- do you recall from your time on 24 the board how checks were signed for expenses of the 25 association?

- 1 A I do not.
- 2 Q Do you believe that checks were signed by 3 board members?
- 4 A I believe it's possible. Yes.
- 5 Q Was it your recollection that the community 6 association management company paid all the bills of the 7 association?
- 8 A I'm sorry, ask that again, please.
- 9 Q Yeah. Was it your recollection that the 10 community association management company provided -- 11 that they paid all the bills, basically?

- 12 A I would say the great majority, yes. I 13 believe that there were some checks that were, or could 14 be, signed separately by one of the board members or 15 however it was set up, separately from the association 16 management company. But I believe the management 17 company paid the lion's share of the bills. Yes. 18 Q Okay. So can you say, as you sit here today, 19 for sure that checks could be signed separately by board 20 members, not through the association management company, 21 or you just think that that's possible?
- 22 A No, I can say that with certainty.
- 23 Q Okay. So how many times did that happen while 24 you were on the board?
- 25 A Oh, I don't know.

- 1 Q Do you have any instance that you can say for 2 sure where that occurred?
- 3 A I can't. I recall Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith, 4 and I don't recall who, talking about they could sign a 5 check or Lisa could bring a check down for them to sign. 6 And I don't remember what it was for or why, but I do 7 remember that I was kind of surprised that, you know, 8 that we could in fact sign checks to pay for stuff. 9 Q Okay. But still, the check had to come from 10 the manager, correct?
- 11 A From what?
- 12 Q From the community association manager. 13 A I don't know. I presume.
- 14 Q How many years did the association negligently 15 fail to

oversee and properly conduct annual meetings and 16 elections?

- 17 A Well, at least since 2016, that I can think 18 of.
- 19 Q How about -- how many years did the
- 20 association negligently fail to oversee and properly
- 21 conduct budgeting?
- 22 A I don't recall.
- 23 Q How about -- how many years did they fail to 24 oversee and properly conduct daily operations and 25 maintenance?

- 1 A Not sure I understand that question. How many 2 years? I don't think it's a matter of years. I think 3 it's a matter of incidents.
- 4 Q Okay. What incidents can you recall where the 5 association negligently failed to oversee and conduct 6 the daily operations and maintenance of the association? 7 A With maintenance, the sidewalks and the dock 8 are the two issues that come to mind.
- 9 Q Do you believe that the community association 10 management company had any responsibility for that? 11 A I'm sorry. Will you ask that again?
- 12 Q Sure.
- 13 A Do I believe --
- 14 Q That the community association management 15 company had any responsibility for overseeing the daily 16 operations and maintenance of the association?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So what part did the community association 19

manager have in problems relating to the daily
20 operations or maintenance of the association?
21 A Well, daily operations would be just what 22 daily
operations are. Addressing members who come in to 23 ask
questions or need something. You know, daily 24 operations is
daily operations. Maintenance -- I know 25 that the
association manager -- I wouldn't call them

# Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS Fax 407.841.2779 407.423.9900

# TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

# MILESTONE I REPORTING COMPANY



1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT						
2 IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA						
3 CASE NO.: 2019-CA-013714-0						
4						
5 NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART						
6 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.,						
7 PLAINTIFF,						
8						
9 VS.						
10						
11 LISA MASON AND LYNN SANDFORD,						
12 DEFENDANTS.						
13/						
14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME II 1						
DATE: JANUARY 20, 2022						
16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ						
17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE 18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

# ORLANDO, FL 32801 CORPORATE

# JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 171

1 APPEARANCES

2

- 3 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART 4
- HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.:
- 5 Jennifer A. Englert, Esquire
- 6 Orlando Law Group, PL
- 7 12301 Lake Underhill Road
- 8 Suite 213
- 9 Orlando, Florida 32828
- 10 Telephone No.: (407) 512-4394
- 11 Facsimile No.: (407) 982-7250
- 12 E-mail: jenglert@theorlandolawgroup.com
- 13 (Appeared via videoconference)

14

- 15 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, LYNN SANDFORD:
- 16 Matthew B. Bernstein, Esquire
- 17 Vernis & Bowling of Central Florida, P.A.
- 18 1450 South Woodland Boulevard
- 19 Floor 4
- 20 Deland, Florida 32720
- 21 Telephone No.: (386) 734-2505
- 22 Facsimile No.: (386) 734-3441
- 23 E-mail: mbernstein@florida-law.com
- 24 (Appeared via videoconference)



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801

# TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 172

1	Т	Ν		₽	V
_	_	TA	$_{\nu}$	ند	$\Delta$

2 Page 3 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT

173 4 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BERNSTEIN 213 5

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT 230 6

- 7 EXHIBITS
- 8 Exhibit Page 9 Plaintiff's
- 10 1 Facebook post and comments 223 11 2 Copy of

Email 223 12

- 13 Defendant's
- 14 3 Complaint 223 15 4 August 27, 2019, Minutes

229 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



# MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 173

- 1 (Proceedings continued from Volume I.)
- 2 COURT REPORTER: All right, back on the record. 3 BY MS.

## **ENGLERT:**

4 Q Okay, this first page, it's up on the screen 5 but I believe you have it as well. It's

6 electnewhoaboardagain@gmail.com. We talked about it a 7 little bit earlier. Does this help refresh your 8 recollection regarding this e-mail address?

- 9 A It does not, I'm sorry. I don't recall that 10 address.
- 11 Q Okay, do you have -- do you recognize this e 12 mail at all?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Who put this e-mail together?
- 15 A The core team discussed it and came up with 16 this collaborative effort.
- 17 Q And again, just for clarity, that core team is 18 the people that we've already talked about a couple 19 different times?
- 20 A Yes, Dr. Mathison, Kurt Kuhl --
- 21 Q Kurt Kuhl.
- 22 A Kelly Clavijo, Tom Garland, and myself. 23 Q Okay. The document that is attached, that 24 starts, "Dear neighbor" --

25 A Okay.



# REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 MILESTONE TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 **TAMPA, FL 33602** 

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 174

- 1 Q Do you want to take a look at that? Because 2 I'm going to ask you some questions about it.
- 3 A Yeah, so let me read it. Hold on.

- 4 Q Sure. It's pretty long. You do not have to 5 read it all, but I can -- if that's what you would like 6 to do.
- 7 A Yeah, I would only because I need to refresh 8 my memory with it, so please.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A Can you scroll a little bit for me? I don't 11 have one.
- 12 Q Oh, I -- oh, I -- you don't have it in front 13 of you?
- 14 A No. You sent it to Matt, right?
- 15 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, could you send it to her, 16 it's six pages --
- 17 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, and I'm just --
- 18 MS. ENGLERT: -- long.
- 19 MR. BERNSTEIN: I -- you actually just sent me 20 back what I published to you before. You didn't 21 send me that.
- 22 MS. ENGLERT: No, it's -- if you click on the 23 link, it's two different things.
- 24 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, maybe I'm -- hold on. 25 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, if you click on the link,



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 175

- 1 there's two. One is --
- 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: I -- yeah. Okay. That was my 3 bad. I just
- 4 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, no problem.
- 5 MR. BERNSTEIN: -- opened the attachment in 6 time.
  Yeah.

- 7 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah. It's six pages long, so 8 scrolling is not -- I mean, we could do it that way, 9 but it would probably be much easier.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on for me. Let me 11 know when you've sent it, Matt.
- 12 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, will do.
- 13 COURT REPORTER: Would you like me to go off 14 record momentarily?
- 15 MR. BERNSTEIN: No, it's okay. I just sent 16 those to her, so Lynn, you should have those. 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on. Let me go do a 18 refresh and see if I can make it come in sooner. 19 There we go. It just came in. Hold on. Ooh, 20 that's teeny tiny. Okay. I have it now.
- 21 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 22 Q Okay. Do you want to scroll through it and 23 read it or you want me to just start asking questions? 24 A Hold on, give me just a second. I'm on the 25 one that was apparently the attachment.



# MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

**407.423.9900**200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 176 **Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS** 

CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A All right. Hold on just a second.
- 3 Q Yeah, no problem.
- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q All right. I can start asking questions about 6 it?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q Okay. Is this the fact sheet that you 9 referred to? 10 A No.
- 11 Q Okay. How did this document get put together? 12 A I know we

discussed it with the core team. I 13 believe I drafted the body, and I believe other -- and I 14 don't know who, but I believe the other core team 15 members put the bullets together. I'm just looking 16 through the bullets. Hold on. Yeah, I did not -- I beg 17 your pardon. I did not put the bullets together. I'm 18 sorry. All of a sudden I have hiccups.

19 Q Whose computer was this typed on?

20 A I don't know. Well, the base letter from the 21 "Dear neighbor" down to the starting of the list, I know 22 that I drafted the initial draft and sent it to the core 23 team. 24 Q Okay.

25 A I don't know who did the rest of it. And I



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 don't know if it was one person or if it was a
- 2 collaboration of the other core team members. Yeah. 3 That's lengthy.
- 4 Q And you have no idea who sent out the e-mail? 5 A I don't know who's in control of that e-mail 6 address.
- 7 Q Who would know?
- 8 A I guess the person who owns it. I don't know. 9 Q What e-mail addresses was this e-mail sent to? 10 A I don't know.
- 11 Q Did you compile a list of e-mail addresses 12 that you were using?
- 13 A The only e-mail address -- the only e-mail 14 addresses I had were from the directory in the North 15 Shore website, and then any that I had just because they 16 live here and they're friends.

17 Q Did you share that list with other people? 18 A I don't recall.

19 Q Did you share the text message -- the text 20 numbers that you got together with other people? 21 A Did I share the phone numbers?

22 Q Yeah. The 30 to 50 phone numbers you put 23 together?

24 A No. No, I would not have shared that. 25 Q Okay. How about the addresses? Did you share



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 178

- 1 the list of addresses with anyone besides MBI?
- 2 A Yes. When I -- when we were preparing the 3 tracking sheet for who had signed recalls, I shared that 4 with the other core team and I believe they probably 5 shared it with street captains, but I don't know that. 6 Q Okay. So there was a check off sheet that you 7 had somewhere?
- 8 A Yes, so that we could keep count.
- 9 Q Do you have those?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Is that on the thumb drive or you have 12 the actual hard copies?
- 13 A There's no hard copy of the tracking sheet. I 14 have all of the original recall petitions. And then the 15 tracking is on the thumb drive for the recall.
- 16 Q You have the original -- you have copies of 17 the originals?
- 18 A No, I have the original recall ballot. 19 Q You mean from the first one that was done 20 improperly?

21 A Yes. I'm pretty sure I have those as well. 22 Q Okay. The original ones didn't get sent on as 23 part of the package?

24 A Correct. They -- I just -- I have not 25 destroyed them. I don't know if you're allowed to or



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

**407.423.9900**200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 179

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

- 1 not, so I didn't, but they're in a separate folder from 2 the recall ballots that were used for the petition 3 submission.
- 4 Q Okay. So do you have checkoff sheets that are 5 physically on pieces of paper for the recall?
- 6 A No, it's electronic only. It's an Excel 7 spreadsheet.
- 8 Q Okay. And it's on your thumb drive?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q Okay. Did Lisa Mason or any CAM provide any 11 information for this letter with your neighbor? 12 A Not to me.
- 13 Q You said something earlier about Tracy Durham 14 providing you some information that helped you kind of 15 compile your thoughts about what went on in the 16 association. What information did she provide to you? 17 A It was just a conversation that she felt that 18 they were being micromanaged.
- 19 Q Okay. So it was about micromanaging, nothing 20 else?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 Q Was John Baker ever part of the core team? 23 A Yes. Yes. Before he moved out of the 24 community, yes.
- 25 Q Okay. So what part of all of this helped you?

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32801 TAMPA, FL 33602

## www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 180

1 A I'm sorry?

407.423.9900

- 2 Q What part did John Baker help you with, of all 3 the stuff we've gone through?
- 4 A I don't recall. Nothing jumps out that's 5 specific.

  Different people had different pieces. We 6 all just kind of shared them together. John was really 7 more instrumental in providing initial guidance, 8 procedural guidance, historical guidance. John and I 9 really had lived here the longest, so from an historical
- 10 perspective, you know, just verbally sharing with the 11 group how things had been through the history of the 12 community and that kind of thing. John helped me a lot 13 with the history of the board seats. He and I reviewed 14 that document a lot, that I had put together.
- 15 Q All right. So this letter, is there anything 16 -- well, do you need to take a look at it to say whether 17 there's anything in here that you do not agree with? 18 A I'm sorry. Is that a question? What did you 19 just say?
- 20 Q Is there anything in this letter that you do 21 not agree with?
- 22 A I would have to really go at it line by line. 23 I'm sorry.
- 24 Q All right. Well, I'm going to ask you a bunch 25 of questions about it, so we'll have our chance. The

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

407.423.9900 www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 181

1 meeting -- the town hall meeting that took place that's 2 mentioned here. How do you know the questions weren't 3 addressed that were asked?

- 4 A Because you said so at the end of the meeting, 5 that we couldn't get to all of the guestions.
- 6 Q Were there some that needed some additional 7 looking into?
- 8 A My understanding from comments on social media 9 were that people had submitted questions that did not 10 get answered.
- 11 O So you believe everything that's on social 12 media?
- 13 A Not everything. I believe that.
- 14 Q Okay. How do you know what to believe and 15 what not to believe?
- 16 A When someone says, "I asked a question that 17 did not get answered," I believe them. If somebody is 18 speculating, then it's speculation.
- 19 Q The fifth paragraph says your fellow residents 20 have been researching and documentation is in hand. 21 Which fellow residents?
- 22 A The core team.
- 23 Q Okay. And then -- well, was John Baker part 24 of that core team?
- 25 A I don't remember when John moved out. I



REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 MILESTONE

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

1 really don't remember when he moved out, so I don't 2 remember if he was part of this or -- well, wait a 3 second. Let's think it through. So this October 7th, 4 was that 2019?

5 Q Yes.

- 6 A So the town hall was before the October 22nd 7 meeting, correct?
- 8 Q We're talking -- the town hall meeting was 9 October 7th.
- 10 A Right, which was before the October 22nd 11 election meeting. Am I correct?
- 12 Q Yes.
- 13 A Okay. So John Baker was a part of the core 14 team at that time.
- 15 Q Okay. And he continued -- didn't he continue 16 to comment on social media and make his own posts, even 17 after he moved out of that community?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q What's the documentation that was in hand? 20 A I believe that that's referring to the 21 financial reports that had been requested, like I know 22 that a couple of people on the core team had made formal 23 records requests. I made a formal records request for 24 financial statements. And since I'm not remembering 25 what questions were not answered, I know that in that



# REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 MILESTONE TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 183

1 discussion, in preparing this, different people had 2 different documentation.

3 Q Okay. Let's go under management companies. 4 The first bullet point says, "Mr. Gordon made a comment 5 at a public board meeting that the prior management 6 company was going down the tubes." So was it discussed 7 or was it not discussed in board meetings, the problems 8 with Artemis and Aegis?

9 A I don't -- I don't have a specific

10 recollection of a board meeting where we discussed 11 specific issues. And I didn't write this sentence, so I 12 don't know who made this code or what it was about. 13 Q Okay. And you already testified that you 14 didn't remember when either Dave Berman or Domingo 15 Sanchez came in and talked about the problems with 16 Access and Artemis, right? 17 A See, you're using the word problems. I 18 remember them coming in and discussing the merger and 19 the growing pains of a merger. I don't remember the 20 word problems.

21 Q Was -- for bullet point number 4, was the 22 second CAM -- it's -- I don't really understand what 23 this is referring to, but was a second cam ever hired at 24 North Shore?

25 A I believe that refers to Tracy.



# MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 184

1 Q Do you believe that she was hired as a full 2 time person with full benefits?

3 A Do I believe she was hired -- do I believe 4 Tracy was hired as a full-time employee with full 5 benefits? Yes.

- 6 Q How long did she work there?
- 7 A I don't recall.
- 8 Q Was she replaced?
- 9 A Was Tracy replaced? I don't recall. I was 10 not on the board when she left.
- 11 Q All right. Do you remember there being two 12 CAMs after Tracy didn't work there any longer?
- 13 A I do not recall.
- 14 Q Okay.
- 15 A I have not been to the office since before 16 Tracy left.
- 17 Q Okay. If you'll -- the second page, five 18 bullet points down.
- 19 A Which one?
- 20 Q It's -- so the second page of this letter, 21 five bullet points down, it says the board has
- 22 disparaged former CAM.
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q It says that all the meeting minutes were 25 available, right.

- 1 A That's what it says.
- 2 Q Is that your recollection or was that not your 3 recollection?
- 4 A That's not my writing. I don't know.
- 5 Q I'm asking what your recollection was. 6 A Oh. Says, "minutes of the ARB and other 7 committees were available on community website and/or 8 available to members who requested them." But that's 9 only referring to ARB and other committees, not to board

- 10 meetings.
- 11 Q Well, the first line is about meeting minutes. 12 A Right. But then the second sentence only 13 refers to minutes of ARB and other committees.
- 14 Q Do you have any idea where any of these people 15 got any of the information in this letter?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Did anybody make sure that what's in this 18 letter was correct?
- 19 A I don't know how to answer that. I did not. 20 Q Was it anyone's job that you were aware of, to 21 make sure that everything in this letter was correct? 22 A I am not aware.
- 23 Q Do you think that's a problem?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q Do you believe that the 2019 budget was not

- 1 put together by the budget committee?
- 2 A Do I believe that? Yes. I believe that. 3 Q Based upon what?
- 4 A Mr. Smith didn't even know who was on the 5 finance committee, which he admitted at the town hall to 6 the community at large.
- 7 Q Was that said in the context, because there 8 hadn't been a new -- a recent meeting. Anybody could or 9 could not be on it anymore?
- 10 A I have no idea why he said it.
- 11 Q Do you -- but that's enough of a basis for you 12 to

believe that the budget was completely put together 13 by him?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Did you -- do you know the people who have 16 been historically on the finance committee?

17 A I know some. I don't know all.

18 Q Did you ask any of the ones that you knew 19 whether there had been -- whether they helped put 20 together the budget for 2019?

21 A I did not.

22 Q Why not?

23 A I wasn't involved in that part of this. 24 Q But you've testified a lot today about how you 25 had problems with the financial documents. So based

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 187

1 upon that, you didn't make an effort to find out how 2 budgeting was done and whether Steve Smith did this all 3 on his own?

4 A I didn't get that far in my investigation 5 before everything started -- I don't know what the right 6 word for it is. Become challenging or I was removed 7 from the board, or you know, so, no.

8 Q The landscape contract that was redone. Do 9 you have any personal evidence that bids were not taken 10 for it?

11 A Well, it'd be more of a lack of evidence, is I 12 never received any document that showed bids were 13 received from X, Y, and Z or -- I don't recall bids 14 being discussed in a board meeting.

15 Q Just because you don't recall it doesn't mean 16 it didn't happen, right?

- 17 A I don't have an answer for that.
- 18 Q Okay. And you said you had no recollection of 19 Carla Daley ever discussing the landscaping project or 20 the people who came out and bid, or them going around 21 the community on golf carts looking or any of that, 22 right?
- 23 A I have no recollection of ever being in the 24 presence or in a meeting with Carla Daley.
- 25 Q Okay. Does that mean it didn't happen?

- 1 A To the best of my recollection, it did not 2 happen.
- 3 Q But just because you can't remember it doesn't 4 mean it didn't happen, correct?
- 5 A I don't believe she was a whatever she was 6 while I was a board member. And I did not attend any 7 board meetings after I was not a board member.
- 8 Q Why didn't you?
- 9 A I don't know.
- 10 Q Why haven't you attended board meetings since 11 you were no longer on the board?
- 12 A I just have not. I don't have a reason. 13 Q Do people from the core team attend and then 14 share notes about what occurred?
- 15 A Sometimes. Not every time.
- 16 Q Did any lawyer help prepare this letter? 17 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 Q Okay. There's some discussion in there about 19 SLAPP suits and Florida statute 720.304. Do you know 20 who put

together all of the information regarding laws 21 and whether laws were violated?

22 A I'm sorry, can you point to that in here for 23 me?
24 Q It's on -- I think it's the fourth page of the 25
letter, toward the bottom. It talks about SLAPP actions

- 1 and Florida statute 720.304.
- 2 A Hold on, please. Oh, legal services. Legal 3 services? No.
- 4 Q Sorry. No, the next page, all the way at the 5 bottom.
- 6 A The next page after legal services?
- 7 Q Correct.
- 8 A Okay. Hold on. Amenities --
- 9 Q It's before amenities, the paragraph before. 10 A Okay, hold on. Ah, there, I see it. Okay. 11 "These cases were filed by Ms. Ingram on behalf of the 12 HOA." I did not have anything to do with this.
- 13 Q Do you know who -- do you know who makes the 14 comments, the legal comments, on behalf of the core 15 group?
- 16 A I do not. I don't know who did that. 17 Q Okay. Now let's go into amenities. There's 18 -- did you think there was a problem that the buildings 19 got removed from the buildings and ground committee? 20 A The buildings got removed? What building? 21 Q Yeah. It's this letter. Look at the first 22 bullet point. It starts, "The community has a buildings 23 and grounds committee."
- 24 A Oh, wait. That's not the first bullet. Okay. 25 First

bullet on the next page. Okay.

- 1 Q Yeah. Yeah.
- 2 A "That has researched in many programs and 3 policies to promote a better community, yet Mr. Gordon 4 announced at the town hall meeting that there is only a 5 grounds committee now." Okay. I didn't prepare this. I 6 don't know.
- 7 Q Do you have a problem with them removing the 8 word "buildings" from the buildings and ground
- 9 committee?
- 10 A I don't know that the word problem is 11 appropriate. I don't know why there would be a 12 necessity to remove the word buildings when we have 13 buildings.
- 14 Q What buildings do the HOA own?
- 15 A The rec center. Do we not own that? I'm 16 sorry. I'm not allowed to ask questions.
- 17 Q Well, the letter says that it's not, so it's 18 -- well, the letter is kind of all over the place as to 19 what the problems were. It's hard to determine what 20 problem was being discussed here that would be
- 21 malfeasance by the board. But is there anything in this 22 section that you believe the board did wrong from your 23 standpoint?
- 24 A Okay. Hold on. Former management -- "Former 25 management employees have informed members that

1 consultants have been contacted to examine those" -- 2 This is all unknown to me, Jennifer. I'm sorry. 3 Q Okay. No, that's fine. I don't understand it 4 either, so I wanted to know if you understood. Under 5 elections, there's some mention about what Michael 6 Laster said regarding the meeting and when it would be. 7 Is it your position that the HOA board decides when the 8 meeting is, or that Access decides when the meeting is? 9 A Okay. I'm sorry. I was reading. Ask me 10 again, please.

11 Q Sure. Under elections, there's mention that 12 Michael Laster -- well, I think that's wrong, but said 13 that the meeting was being moved. Is it your position 14 that it is up to the HOA when the meeting is, or it's up

15 to Access?

16 A No, it's up to the board. I believe this is 17 referring to Mr. Lassiter or Laster, whatever his name 18 is, announcing something that he had been told by the 19 board, I would presume.

20 Q Do you understand that Access has to make sure 21 they have adequate staff and that they have time to send 22 out the mailers, and that's all stuff that they do, not 23 what the board does?

24 A Yeah.

25 Q Do you understand that they have a say in when

they say?

- 3 A No, I did not know.
- 4 Q Have you ever been part of discussions as a 5 board member about the Access needing to have specific 6 people present to help work the election and also have 7 enough time to send out the mailers?
- 8 A Yes. I recall that.
- 9 Q Okay. The last bullet point is regarding an 10 error, regarding how many people were put on the ballot 11 in 2018. Do you remember that?
- 12 A Hold on. The last bullet?
- 13 Q On the same page.
- 14 A Okay. I'm sorry. So ask your question again? 15 Q Look at that -- okay, so there was an error 16 and only one person was put on for 2018 instead of two. 17 Do you recall that?

  18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And who was the person who told the board and 20 set up the election package?
- 21 A Who was the person that told the board what? 22 Q Who was the person who let the board know how 23 many people were up for election?
- 24 A In 2018 or 2019?
- 25 Q This is 2019. It says right there.

- 1 A I -- okay. I believe I'm the one who pointed 2 out to the board the error.
- 3 Q Do you recall it being Lisa Mason's error? 4 A No, I

do not.

- 5 Q Whose error was it?
- 6 A Whoever the management company prior to Lisa 7 was initiated the wrong error. Lisa inherited it 8 incorrectly. It never got corrected until I caught it 9 in 2019.

10 Q So you believe she was not the CAM in 2018? 11 A Yes.
But again, the history of the board 12 stems back to before —

- the errors on the history of the 13 board stem back to
before Lisa was CAM. When Sarah 14 Crawford in 2018 announced
that her term was up, Lisa 15 didn't have a correct history,
so Sarah was incorrect 16 that her term was up. It wasn't. It
was up — it was 17 still to go for another year, but nobody
caught that in 18 '18. That's when Mr. Smith came on the
board, and it 19 was in '19 that things had just been in my
head, so 20 confusing, and so — just — I knew something was
wrong, 21 I just didn't know what. And that's when I went
back 22 and looked at each of the years since the 2014 reset
23 election, so that I could know in my own head what was 24
correct and what was not. I brought that to your 25
attention. You found a couple of things I had made a

## 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 194

1 mistake on and we got that straightened out. And then I 2 thought we had what was a clear history of the board. 3 Q Did the CAM in 2018 who ran the 2018 election 4 make the error?

5 A No.

6 Q Who made the error?

- 7 A Whoever the CAM -- and I don't remember who we 8 had before 2014. Do you -- I don't remember who the -- 9 Q You think the 2017 CAM made the error?
- 10 A No, I think the 2013 CAM made the error and it 11 just carried forward, and nobody ever caught it and 12 nobody ever corrected it.
- 13 Q Okay. That's your recollection?
- 14 A That is my recollection. And then when the 15 2014 reset election occurred, it carried that error 16 over, and it shouldn't have, from 2014. Whoever was the 17 CAM in 2014, I believe had the responsibility 18 because we had a reset. We had five new board members: 19 two at three years, two at two years and one at one 20 year. That CAM in 2014 should have initiated an 21 accurate and correct board history log.

  22 Q Okay. The next page should be a postcard, an 23 address
- 22 Q Okay. The next page should be a postcard, an 23 address on a postcard.
- 24 A Hold on. No, that's way down. That's page 25 11. Okay. I've got it.

- 1 Q All right. Yeah. So it's for North Shore 2 Home Owners at the top?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q All right. I'm looking at this. Find this. 5 A Oh, hold on. Hold on.
- 6 Q It should -- I think you might be on the wrong 7 package, but -- okay, perfect. So these are -- this is 8 what you sent to MBI to be sent out?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.

- 10 Q Okay. So every one of these addresses, you 11 pulled off the property appraiser?
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q So every one of these addresses should match 14 exactly with what's on the property appraiser?

  15 A No.
- 16 Q Why would they not?
- 17 A So it -- so I'm not sure how MBI does it, but 18 they sent back to me -- so they took my list, they put 19 it into their system. Their system will shorten things. 20 It will abbreviate things. It'll do stuff for their 21 labeling system. So no, it's not going to match exactly 22 what I downloaded from the property appraiser's office. 23 So I have the list that I downloaded, that I sent to 24 MBI, but I do not have the list as it ended up with what 25 MBI used to send out the postcards.

- 1 Q Okay. Do you know if MBI changes the name of 2 the addressee?
- 3 A I don't believe they -- I don't know. I don't 4 believe they should or could, because I provided them 5 the name from the property appraisers. Well, I'm trying 6 to think. If they were -- huh?
- 7 Q Who did you speak to at MBI about this to know 8 this information?
- 9 A I'd have to look up the guy's name. I don't 10 recall.
- 11 Q Okay. Let's go to the postcard itself. Who 12 put that together?

13 A I did.

14 Q Okay. On that, there says e-mail N, that e 15 mail address, NSLH2019election@gmail.com. I asked you 16 earlier if you knew what that e-mail was and you told me 17 no. What is that e-mail?

18 A That's a good question. I mean, clearly I 19 used it, so somebody set it up. I'd have to go back to 20 that time and - I don't know. And if -- and honestly, 21 if I'm the one who at that up, which I don't recall, but 22 if I did, I took it down after this was all done because 23 it's not something that I would've kept. I don't use 24 that. It's certainly not current, but I'd have to go 25 back and look. I don't recall doing this.

- 1 Q So you're not sure if you put it up, but if 2 you did, you would've taken it down?
- 3 A After this was all over, I wouldn't have 4 needed that e-mail address anymore. Correct.
- 5 Q What does this being all over mean to you? 6 A After I sent the postcard out, I would've been 7 done with it because I would've put the message out it 8 wasn't -- oh wait, wait, wait, wait, wait. I'm sorry. 9 That's after I put the postcard out. I don't know. I
- 10 can go look and see if there's an e-mail address for 11 that I have access to. I don't recall it. I'm 12 sorry.

  13 Q Okay.
- 14 A I can --
- 15 Q If it wasn't you, would it be the members of 16 the

core team?

- 17 A -- I would believe, yes. I would think it 18 would be one of them.
- 19 Q Okay. Are these thumb drives that you put 20 together? You did them for yourself, right?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am. Or for my attorneys. I didn't do 22 it on thumb drives until my attorneys on the different 23 cases asked for the records.
- 24 Q Okay. So it's all stuff that's still on your 25 computer?

- 1 A No. It's all off my computer and on thumb 2 drives.
- 3 Q Okay. So you erased everything on your 4 computer and put it on a thumb drive?
- 5 A I didn't use the word -- I didn't use the term 6 erase. I moved it from the computer to the thumb drive 7 so it's no longer on the computer. It's on thumb 8 drives.
- 9 Q Do you have duplicate thumb drives in case 10 something happens to one?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Are the thumb drives in the possession of your 13 attorney?
  14 A No. I have them.
- 15 Q Okay. Failed parking and towing policies. Did 16 you have anything to do with the parking and towing 17 policies being developed?
- 18 A I believe I voted on them, yes.
- 19 Q Did you have people's vehicles towed as a 20 board member?
- 21 A Yes, I did.

- 22 Q Was John Baker's daughter one of them? 23 A No.
- 24 Q You don't recall that happening?
- 25 A I recall it happening. I was not the board

- 1 member who had it done.
- 2 Q Who was it?
- 3 A I don't know.
- 4 Q Then how do you know -- then how do you even 5 know it happened?
- 6 A Because John Baker called me and said, "My 7 daughter's car was towed. Who's the towing company?" 8 And I told him.
- 9 Q Okay. What are the ridiculous violations and 10 fines?

  11 A I have always believed that if the HOA is not 12 going to power wash the common area sidewalks and keep 13 the mold off the sidewalks, it's hard for the HOA to 14 violate a homeowner for the same thing. So I find that 15 ridiculous. The fines, which I was verbal with the 16 board about, we were violating our own governing 17 documents with the amount of the fines and that you 18 can't do immediate fines. You have to do the notices, 19 14 day notice, 14 day second notice before you can issue 20 a fine, and I objected to the immediate fines.
- 21 Q Wasn't it the CAM's job to make sure that 22 common area sidewalks got power washed?
- 23 A No. I specifically remember conversations 24 about how we could accomplish it and the board never 25 approved it.

- 1 Q So you remember actual Lisa Mason coming to 2 the board with bids for power washing and the board said 3 no?
- 4 A No. I remember the board discussing solutions 5 and we never resolved it, so there were no motions that 6 ever -- solutions were offered. The board never voted 7 on them that I recall.
- 8 Q I'm talking about power washing the sidewalk. 9 The solution to power wash the sidewalk is to power wash 10 the sidewalk. So is it your testimony that the board 11 was brought for -- and the common area -- so you said 12 that you believe the board was in -- that because the 13 common area sidewalks were not being power washed, that 14 nobody could violate anybody for not power washing their 15 sidewalk. Right?
- 16 A I -- correct. That's my belief.
- 17 Q So who -- you remember Lisa Mason coming to 18 the board with bids to power wash the sidewalk of the 19 common areas and they said no?
- 20 A I do not recall that.
- 21 Q So is it the CAM's responsibility under the 22 contract to make sure that the power -- that the common 23 areas get taken care of?
- 24 A Again, not the CAM. The CAM brings the issue 25 to the board. The board decides or requests bids. We

- 1 never did.
- 2 Q Okay. The next page -- I'm not sure what this 3 is exactly. It's like a half page flyer kind of thing. 4 A I did not do this.
- 5 Q Okay. Was it attached to the front of the 6 recall ballots?
- 7 A None of the ones -- I did it. I attached 8 nothing to any of the recall ballots.
- 9 Q Okay. Did some -- some people received this 10 attached to recall ballots. Do you know how that 11 would've happened?
- 12 A I do not.
- 13 Q Do you know where any of this information came 14 from?
  15 A I do not.
- 16 Q Was this December 5th, was that for the picnic 17 that you talked about?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. So this was basically the invitation to 20 the picnic?
- 21 A I had nothing to do with this. I'm -- this is 22 -- I'm trying to think if I've ever even seen it before. 23 I know we were going -- what I thought it was just -- 24 no, it was December 5th. But I didn't do any -- the 25 only thing we did was the Facebook invitation was the

- 1 only thing I knew about.
- 2 Q Okay. Do you think that the people in the HOA 3 get to vote on whether the dock was going to be
- 4 maintained or not?
- 5 A The membership get to vote on whether the dock 6 is maintained or not? No,
- 7 Q Yeah. It says the board was spending your 8 money without asking your opinion.
- 9 A -- that was on replacing the dock, not 10 maintaining the dock. And --
- 11 Q What do you think replacing is?
- 12 A Replacing is taking up the existing boards and 13 putting down new docks and new railings and everything 14 that they did. And maintaining would have been an 15 annual or bi-annual inspection and if a board or two 16 here or there needed to be replaced, that's maintenance 17 and that would've been an ongoing thing. But when -- 18 Q According to you.
- 19 A -- okay.
- 20 Q Do you understand that the community documents 21 require the dock to be there as an amenity?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Okay. It says, "That's just the tip of the 24 iceberg." What was the rest of the iceberg that was 25 discussed at that picnic?

- 1 A I -- again, I was sitting at a table talking 2 with individuals coming up asking for a recall ballot. I 3 was not out amongst the people conversing.
- 4 Q Did any of them come up to you and say, "Well, 5 what -you were on the board, Lynn. Can you tell me 6 about the
  transparency?"
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Did any of them --
- 9 A Nobody asked me anything like that.
- 10 Q -- okay. How about them asking you about the 11 dock? Did they ask you about the dock?
- 12 A Nobody asked me anything except about a recall 13 ballot.
- 14 Q Do you believe that Lisa Mason's an honest and 15 credible person?
- 16 A I do.
- 17 Q Why do you believe that GL Brothers, that was 18 the vendor that you said Deb Lapin was doing invoices 19 for, had no certificate of insurance or 1099 or 20 anything?

  21 A No, I didn't say he didn't have any 1099 or 22 certificate of insurance. The certificate of insurance 23 was in a name different from the 10 -- from the W-9. And 24 when I was inquiring about the invoicing and why was 25 Dave's assistant doing the invoicing and -- I said --

1 and I specifically asked if they were registered per the 2 vendor policy. And both -- that was one of the things I 3 asked Amber. And she said no. And then I asked Lisa. 4 And then I asked Amber if they had submitted anything 5 like their business license or anything else, because 6 one of Ms. Lapin's e-mails said that they were getting 7 paid under the guy's name and not under the business 8 name. And Deb said, "Well, I'll send you this." And 9 then Amber said she never got the business license or

- 10 the business -- the only thing she got was the business 11 insurance certificate.
- 12 Q Were you -- you talked about going to Mr. 13 Gulino's house to review some things for ARB. Wasn't 14 that because you were the liaison between the board and 15 the ARB?
- 16 A I was the liaison between the board and the 17 ARB. And it was at Mr. Gordon's direction that I was 18 inspecting his house.
- 19 Q Didn't the board liaison for both the ARB and 20 compliance at times go and inspect because things were 21 going to come before the board?
- 22 A I never did, other than at Mr. Gordon's 23 request.
- 24 Q Right. Because he's the president of the 25 board and sometimes things are going to come before the

- 1 board, right?
- 2 A I have no idea.
- 3 Q You don't remember Mr. Gulino's house being 4 discussed before the board?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Do you have a problem with going to inspect it 7 so you can say what you observed and tell the board 8 about it?

  9 A No.
- 10 Q So you're saying you've never met either Jane 11 or Joe Doe?
- 12 A No. Not that I'm aware of. I may have, I 13 don't know who Jane or Joe Doe are, so I presume that 14 they are residents, which means I could possibly know 15 them.

  16 Q And you've never discussed the lawsuit with 17 them
- 18 A No.

and Mr. Burtoff?

- 19 Q Did you -- did Mr. Burtoff consult with you 20 before he filed the amended complaint?
- 21 A Only that he was going to have to file an 22 amended complaint and was I still good with my name on 23 it?
- 24 Q Okay. And you didn't ask to see it
- 25 beforehand?

- 1 A No, ma'am.
- 2 Q Knowing that it was -- some of the things were 3 file --
- I mean, I talked to you about what was in it. 4 You agreed

with everything that was in it?

- 5 A I haven't read the amended complaint.
- 6 Q Okay. Do you have any problem with Mr. 7 Burtoff not consulting with you before he filed the 8 complaint?
- 9 A I think that's my fault, not his.
- 10 Q Do you think he had an obligation to do so? 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
- 12 A I think he probably did.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A I think he did consult with me and I didn't 15 ask the right questions.
- 16 Q Okay. Do you believe that the lawsuit still 17 has merit?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Can you tell me why the damages sought went 20 down so much between the first lawsuit and the second -- 21 the second, the amended complaint?
- 22 A I don't know what the new amended complaint 23 amount is.
- 24 Q So you filed the lawsuit and you don't know 25 what the actual damage amount should be?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q Do you think that's appropriate?
- 3 A In this particular situation, yes.
- 4 Q How so?

- 5 A I'm not the one who sought to file a 6 complaint.
- 7 Q So who did?
- 8 A Mr. Burtoff. He needed plaintiffs. He sought 9 plaintiffs. He asked if anybody had any records. 10 Q So he solicited you to file to be a plaintiff 11 in this lawsuit? 12 A No. He was talking apparently with someone 13 else who said to me that I was going to be a defendant 14 in the lawsuit. I don't remember the chronology of 15 events, but I remember talking to Matt about it, and I 16 remember eventually getting to talk to Mr. Burtoff. And 17 then I asked Mr. Burtoff and Matt to confer and the 18 answer came back that it would be in the better interest 19 of the membership if I were a plaintiff than if I were a 20 defendant.
- 21 Q Did you look for -- did you solicit Mr. 22 Burtoff or did he come to you?
- 23 A I solicited Mr. Burtoff.
- 24 Q But you knew he was looking for plaintiffs for 25 the lawsuit?

- 1 A I know that there had been discussion among 2 community members. I don't know if somebody approached 3 him first or if he was just part of a bigger discussion 4 and it ended up being that he was going to be the 5 attorney to file the complaint. I don't know.
- 6 Q Who told you -- who told you that you were 7 going to be a defendant in the lawsuit?

8 A I'm trying to remember who called me. It 9 might have been Dr. Mathison, but I don't recall 10 specifically. I'm sorry.

11 Q Okay. But somebody called and told you you 12 were going to be a defendant in a lawsuit filed by Bruce 13 Burtoff?

14 A Again, I don't know that it was Dr. Mathison. 15 I believe --

16 Q Yeah. I just said someone.

17 A -- that he -- whoever called me said a bunch 18 of us are talking about suing the board. Because you 19 were a board member, your name came up to be one of the 20 board members named in the lawsuit. And I said, "Okay." 21 And then after I thought about it and you guys had 22 kicked me off the board, I realized that I was going to 23 be a better plaintiff than I was a defendant. And I 24 reached back out and said, "Who can put me in contact 25 with Mr. Burtoff?" And somehow I got --

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 209

1 Q And you were told --

2 A -- and somehow I got his contact information 3 and I called him and said, "How about if I'm a plaintiff 4 instead of a defendant?"

And we talked, and then again, 5 I connected him to Matt. He and

Matt conferred and then 6 at the end of the day, I'm the plaintiff.

And then 7 whoever the other plaintiffs were all of a sudden became 8 anonymous.

9 Q Were they anonymous before you got involved? 10 A Oh yeah.

Well, I don't know. Before I was 11 involved, I don't know who all

Mr. Burtoff spoke with. 12 Q All right. You were told he was

looking, 13 though, for plaintiffs for this lawsuit?

- 14 A Yeah. Well, no. I'm sorry. Let's back that 15 up and get it correct. Apparently a number of members 16 of the community were looking for a way to sue the 17 board, engaged Mr. Burtoff in that discussion, and then 18 because he's an attorney, he said he would take it on. 19 That was my understanding.
- 20 Q And you decided because you were going to be a 21 defendant, to just be a plaintiff instead?
- 22 A Not decided. I thought it would be better for 23 the membership since I was no longer a board member, to 24 be part of the membership.
- 25 Q The meeting that we talked about after your

- 1 statement in August of 2019, where you said that there 2 was a settlement discussion, do you remember saying -- 3 asking what would it take to not sue you?
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 Q Do you remember being the one who actually 6 brought up the lawsuit issue?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. You had testified that everybody was 9 threatening you, but in fact, aren't you the one who 10 said, "What will it take not to sue me bringing that 11 up?"
- 12 A I brought that up because the tone and the 13 insinuations were that -- and based on the history of 14 suing members of this community, I knew that that's what 15

was coming so I decided to head it off at the pass and 16 just boil the water out of the pot and ask.

- 17 Q So you --
- 18 A Clearly in my head, it was their intention to 19 sue me if I didn't do what they wanted me to do, so I 20 said, "What's it going to take for you not to sue me?" 21 Q -- and then --
- 22 A And without --
- 23 Q -- a letter was done with some things that the 24 board would require since you asked, "What would it take 25 to not have a lawsuit?"

- 1 A -- they verbalized it in that meeting without 2 hesitation, clearly pre-prepared and it's the same thing 3 that they've done before.
- 4 Q Okay. So all of these are assumptions on your 5 part? You -- and you say you did not --
- 6 A All of what are assumptions? All of what are 7 assumptions? I don't -- clarify, please.
- 8 Q You would not make us -- you deny saying that 9 you would make a simple apology?
- 10 A I'm sorry, say that again.
- 11 Q Do you admit that you said maybe you misspoke 12 or said some wrong things when you made your statement 13 in front of the whole community at that board meeting in 14 August of 2019?
- 15 A I don't recall that. I may have.
- 16 Q Okay. Do you believe as you sit here today 17 that maybe you misspoke or said a few wrong things? 18 A It's possible.

- 19 Q You're -- you're not sure one way or the 20 other?
- 21 A I'm not sure.
- 22 Q Okay. Do -- and you don't remember saying 23 that you would apologize for what you said wrong? 24 A I absolutely did not say that.
- 25 Q Okay. You don't believe that you said that

- 1 maybe you misspoke?
- 2 A It's possible.
- 3 Q Okay. Had you misspoke with anything that you 4 said, do you think that anybody was owed an apology? 5 A No.
- 6 Q Okay. So it was okay to do that and not 7 apologize or set the record straight in any way? 8 A I think setting the record straight is 9 different from apologizing.
- 10 Q How would you set -- well, then, do you think 11 that anything should be -- that the record should be set 12 straight regarding anything that you said on that board 13 meeting in August of 2019?

  14 A Okay. So even though I typed up my thoughts, 15 it was -- I did not read specifically from my notes. The 16 notes no longer exist. There's, to the best of my 17 knowledge, no recording of that meeting. I thought that 18 what Tracy's minutes captured were accurate and fair. So 19 if in that September 24th meeting, I said that I may 20 have misspoke, I was nervous that night. I wasn't going 21 strictly by what I had typed up. So is it possible I 22 misspoke? Yes. If I did, should I set the record 23 straight? Yes. Does that involve an apology? Not 24 necessarily.
- 25 Q So is it your testimony that you don't know

- 1 what you said so you don't know whether you could set 2 the record straight or not?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 MS. ENGLERT: All right. I -- that's all I 5 have, I believe. Give me one -- I have one other 6 question, a quick clarification.
- 7 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 8 Q I know you said John Baker has kind of been in 9 the core group and not been in the core group. When's 10 the last time you spoke with him?
- 11 A Oh, John?
- 12 O Yeah.
- 13 A I spoke with him this week. We're still 14 friends.
- 15 Q Okay. When's the last time that he assisted 16 you with anything regarding any of the lawsuits or any 17 of the stuff that you post on social media?
- 18 A That would've been back when we filed the 19 election arbitration. Up until John was removed from 20 the case, we were conferring with John.
- 21 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. That's all I have. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- 24 Q Okay. I have some follow up questions for 25 you. When -- the case that you're the plaintiff in

1 against the several directors that you were asked 2 extensively about, that's a separate case from this one 3 in which you're being sued by the association, correct? 4 A Correct.

5 Q All right. Is it your understanding as a 6 plaintiff in that case that that case pertains to a lot 7 more issues than this lawsuit against you?

8 A Yes, sir.

9 Q Okay. Are you represented by counsel in that 10 other case?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Who is your counsel in that other case? 13 A Bruce Burtoff.

14 Q Am I your counsel in that case?

15 A No.

16 Q Is Bruce Burtoff present today for this 17 deposition?

18 A No.

19 Q Okay. You talked or you were asked a lot 20 about that October 27th -- actually, let me just make 21 sure I get the date right here.

22 A October 22nd?

23 Q Yeah. October 27 -- no, I'm talking about -- 24 never mind. The August 27, 2019 board meeting. 25 A August 27th? Yep.

- 1 Q 2019. Okay.
- 2 A Yes. Yes.
- 3 Q All right. You said that you don't exactly 4 recall what you said at that meeting, is that true? 5 A Correct. That's true.
- 6 Q All right. But you indicated previously that 7 the --during today's deposition, that the meeting 8 minutes, you believe, accurately reflected what you 9 said?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q All right. Do you think if I showed you those 12 meeting minutes, that it would refresh your recollection 13 then as to what you said?
- 14 A Not beyond what's in the minutes.
- 15 Q All right. But the --
- 16 A I remember- -
- 17 Q -- but you would be able to --
- 18 A -- I'm sorry?
- 19 Q -- but you would be able to -- would you 20 recognize the meeting minutes if I showed them to you of 21 that meeting?
- 22 A Yes. Yes.
- 23 Q All right. I'm going to share my screen here. 24 All right. Can you see what I put up on the screen? 25 A No.

- 1 Q All right.
- 2 A It's blank.
- 3 Q North -- you don't see the board of directors 4 meeting minutes here?
- 5 A No. My screen isn't --
- 6 MS. ENGLERT: I don't see it either.
- 7 THE WITNESS: There it is.
- 8 MS. ENGLERT: There it is.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Isn't technology great? 11 THE

WITNESS: Got it.

- 12 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- 13 Q Okay. All right. It's a four-page document. 14 States board of directors meeting at the top, and it -- 15 again, it goes on for four pages.
- 16 A Right there.
- 17 Q And it ends -- correct. All right. Do you 18 recognize these as the meeting minutes of that meeting? 19 A I do.
- 20 Q All right. This second to last paragraph here 21 states, "Ms. Lynn Stanford made a statement expressing 22 her feelings regarding the actions of both Mr. Dave 23 Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith, contending that both board 24 members have conducted association business outside of a 25 board meeting, acted as an unauthorized executive

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 217

1 committee, micromanaged the management staff, and asked 2 for the resignation of both members' seats. Both Mr. 3 Dave Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith rejected the request." 4 Did I

read that correctly?

- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And to your recollection, is this an accurate 7 reflection of the statements that you made at that board 8 meeting?
- 9 A I believe it is.
- 10 Q Okay. Now, according to this statement, you 11 did not make any statements about anybody else other 12 than Mr. Dave Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith. Is that true 13 to your recollection?
- 14 A That is my recollection.
- 15 Q All right. And to your recollection, did you 16 make any statements about the association itself as 17 having performed any misconduct?
- 18 A I don't recall saying anything about the 19 association.
- 20 Q All right. But then according to this 21 paragraph, there's nothing about you saying anything 22 about the association itself. Is that true?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q All right. And now this, the framework of 25 this statement here says that you made a statement

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 218

1 expressing your feelings. Do you agree that the 2 statements you made about Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith were 3 your feelings?

- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q All right. Were you expressing them as your 6 own

opinions or as facts?

- 7 A They were my opinions based on information I 8 had -- facts that I had.
- 9 Q Okay. Regarding those statements of opinions 10 that you believe were based on fact, did you make those 11 statements in good faith?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 MS. ENGLERT: Object to the form.
- 14 Q Sorry. Did you say yes?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Okay. Were you a board member at the time 17 that you made these statements?
- 18 A I was.
- 19 Q Was this at a general membership meeting or at 20 a meeting of the board of directors?
- 21 A It was an open board meeting. Members were 22 present.
- 23 Q Okay. All right. Now, Mr. Gordon and Mr. 24 Smith were board members at the time as well, correct? 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q Okay. And all these statements that you made 2 about Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith in this regard were 3 during that open board meeting, correct?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q In other words, none of those statements were 6 given after the meeting had been adjourned?
- 7 A Correct.

- 8 Q Or before the meeting was even opened? 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Okay. To your knowledge, did you -- were you 11 given an opportunity to speak at that meeting to make 12 these statements?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. In other words, it's not like you 15 interrupted something and demanded to be heard. You 16 were allowed to have an opportunity to speak at that 17 meeting, correct?
- 18 A Yes. Correct.
- 19 Q Okay. Do you believe that you did your due 20 diligence in researching the bases of these statements? 21 A I do.
- 22 Q Okay. Specifically as to the conduction of 23 association business outside of a board meeting, what 24 due diligence did you do in making that statement? 25 A That statement came about from the subsequent

- 1 information surrounding the hiring of Access Management 2 and Tracy Durham.
- 3 Q All right. Although you may have said it 4 earlier, can you clarify for me what exactly you mean by 5 that? What did you find?
- 6 A So Mr. Dick and I were both very surprised 7 when Mr. Smith and Mr. Gordon announced that they were 8 going to be hiring Tracy Durham. And we both said, "We 9 weren't aware of this." I put up a bigger stink than
- 10 John did. And Steve and Dave said, "Well, we can send 11

you her resume and you can talk to her and you can this, 12 and you can that." And I asked to see her resume. I'm 13 not going to say that I didn't make some mistakes. I 14 should have put a stop to it right then and there, but I 15 didn't. We moved forward and then we hired Tracy as a 16 project manager. My understanding was as a project 17 manager. So the fact that there was this person who had 18 been allegedly vetted prior to our even knowing that she 19 was being considered was an indication that Mr. Gordon 20 and Mr. Smith were taking actions outside of board 21 discussion or need or approval. Same thing with Access 22 Management.

23 Q Okay. Anything else?

24 A Not that I can think of at this moment. 25 Q Okay. Now, then the next part of that

- 1 statement is that they acted as an unauthorized
- 2 executive committee. What was the due diligence that 3 you performed in that or that you found?
- 4 A That was surrounding -- I'm sorry. That's 5 what I was answering was the unauthorized executive 6 committee of making decisions and bringing it -- oh, 7 well, prior to that, Mr. Smith would come to meetings 8 with pre-prepared motions that clearly would have taken 9 discussion and things that I was not aware were even
- 10 going to be business discussions and there was no 11 discussion. It was basically a Steve looked into this 12 or Dave looked into that, and here's the motion, and 13 let's vote on it.

14 Q Okay. And then the micromanaging of the 15 management staff, what due diligence did you do before 16 you made that statement?

17 A That came from different staff that, you know, 18 Steve would spend four, five, six hours a day looking 19 over every single thing that they were doing, telling 20 them to change the way that they were doing things. In 21 Tracy's case, it was more specific to Mr. Gordon and Mr. 22 Smith telling her what their expectations were and, you 23 know, kind of -- the words were micromanaging her to do 24 what they wanted and not the freedom to do her job. 25 Q Okay. Have you actually spoke with management

- 1 staff yourself?
- 2 A I'm sorry.
- 3 Q Have you actually spoke with management staff 4 yourself?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Okay. So it's not like you just thought that 7 you were observing something and then made that
- 8 statement without confirming it with the people
- 9 themselves, correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q All right. Now, there was a discussion 12 earlier regarding this accounting issue where I think it 13 was Mr. Gordon asked that some accounting entry be coded 14 as something else. Is that accurate?
- 15 A Mr. Smith. That was Mr. Smith.
- 16 Q Mr. Smith. Okay. My apologies. And you said 17 that you

actually spoke with two accountants to confirm 18 or to verify whether your concern was valid. Is that 19 correct?

20 A Correct. Yes.

21 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. This would 22 be exhibit --well, what exhibit number are we on? 23 COURT REPORTER: There hasn't been any exhibits 24 attached.

25 MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Well, then this will

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 223

- 1 be --
- 2 MS. ENGLERT: We'll just do 1 for the first 3 package, 2 for the second package, so this could be 4 3. I think it makes more sense if you're fine with 5 that.
- 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. That's fine. This 7 will be -- yeah, that's fine with me. This will be 8 3 then.
- 9 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 1 MARKED FOR
- 10 IDENTIFICATION)
- 11 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2 MARKED FOR
- 12 IDENTIFICATION)
- 13 (DEFENSE EXHIBIT 3 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 14 BY MR.

### BERNSTEIN:

- 15 Q Okay. All right. Ms. Sandford, do you recall 16 receiving and reviewing a copy of the complaint that was 17 filed against you in this case by the association? 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q If I showed you a copy of that complaint, 20 would you recognize it?
- 21 A Probably.
- 22 Q Okay. All right. Let me know when you can 23 see the complaint

up on the screen.

- 24 A I can see it.
- 25 Q All right. Perfect. All right. Okay. So I

- 1 want to go down to -- all right. So now earlier today, 2 you testified about where you got the contact
- 3 information for the members of the association. Do you 4 remember that?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q All right. And according to the complaint 7 specifically, allegation number five here, after Mason's 8 termination as CAM for North Shore, you in conjunction 9 and coordination with Mason unlawfully obtained
- 10 protected information maintained in the course of North
  11 Shore's business, that was located on a protected 12
  computer owned by North Shore. And then you used that 13
  information allegedly to contact the members of North 14
  Shore to organize a recall. Is that allegation 15 incorrect?
  16 A That allegation --
- 17 MS. ENGLERT: Object to the form.
- 18 Q Okay. In what way is that allegation 19 incorrect?
- 20 A Well, I didn't get anything from Lisa Mason. I 21 didn't access or nor did she give me access, nor did she 22 get me copies of anything from any computer. I don't 23 know what protected information is. The only
- 24 information I have is information I'm allowed to have as

- 1 Q Which is what?
- 2 A I'm sorry?
- 3 Q What type -- what information are you entitled 4 to have as a board member?
- 5 A Draft minutes, closed meeting minutes, the 6 financial documents without going through a formal 7 records request, copies of invoices if I am looking for 8 something. Copies of, you know, anything that a board 9 member would need to perform duties as a board member is 10 my understanding.
- 11 Q All right. Now, with regards to the contact 12 information for the board -- or for, excuse me, the 13 members at large, where did you get that information? 14 A So --
- 15 MS. ENGLERT: That's been asked and answered. 16 Q You can answer.
- 17 A So the North Shore had a website and it was 18 when we were under, you know, Aegis, Artemis, whatever 19 it was.

  Whatever it was before the current management 20 company. And in there, there was a directory of 21 members. And in that directory, if people wanted to 22 include their phone number and their e-mail, they could. 23 If they didn't want to -- it was not a required format. 24 It was, you know, but it was an open directory for 25 members.

- 1 Q Okay. So any member of the association could 2 access that list?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Okay. So it was public information limited to 5 the members of the association?
- 6 A That's correct, to the best of my knowledge. 7 Q All right.

  Could you obtain the contact 8 information from any other source?

  9 A No.
- 10 Q All right. What about the property appraiser 11 website?

  12 A Well, that's where I downloaded the addresses. 13 From the directory on the North Shore website, I only 14 got e-mail addresses and phone numbers. From the 15 property appraiser, I downloaded the names and
- 16 addresses.
- 17 Q Okay. And is it your understanding that the 18 information you obtained from the property appraiser's 19 website is public information?
- 20 A Yeah. Yes. I don't have any special access. 21 Q All right. And then you also had previously 22 obtained contact information that you had on your phone 23 as given to you by members?

  24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. So those were -- that information was

- 1 voluntarily given to you by those members, correct? 2
  A Correct.
- 3 Q So you don't know anything about another 4 purported computer owned by North Shore that Lisa Mason 5 supposedly took?
- 6 A I do not.
- 7 Q Okay. All right. As to allegation number 15, 8 it states that at that August 27, 2019 meeting, that you 9 said that the current board of directors was not
- 10 following the law, specifically Florida Statute 720, 11 that members Steven Smith and David Gordon were making 12 unlawful unilateral decisions without input from the 13 membership or the board members. Do you specifically 14 recall referencing Florida Statute 720?
- 15 A I believe I did as it relates to executive 16 committees. So it's possible I referenced it.
- 17 Q Okay. But your statements as to who was 18 potentially violating that statute was limited to David 19 Gordon and Steve Smith, correct?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q All right. So not the entire board of 22 directors?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Okay. Now, allegation 16 states that you 25 indicated to the board that you would apologize to the

allegation?

4 A That is false. No, that is incorrect. 5 Q All right. And what actually happened? 6 A In that meeting, they were hostile and not 7 happy with me for making that statement. And it became 8 clear to me that they were -- I needed an attorney 9 present. And I said do I need an attorney present? I

10 think I said that. And then knowing the history of 11 suing former or suing residents, I just said, "What's it 12 going to take for you not to sue me?" And Mr. Gordon 13 did not hesitate and started reading down his litany of 14 what it would take. And then Ms. Englert interjected a 15 few things. And at the end, I said I will certainly 16 ponder your request. And I walked out of the meeting. 17 Q Okay. All right. So at no point during that 18 meeting did you promise that you would apologize to the 19 membership, step down, and assist the board in any 20 further actions?

- 21 A Not that I recall at all.
- 22 Q Did you make those promises any time after 23 that meeting to date?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Okay. At the August 27th meeting where you

### 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 229

1 made the statements that we discussed, did you have any 2 reason to believe that those statements were false at 3 that time?

- 4 A No.
- 5 Q And did you make those statements for the sole 6 purpose

- of ill will towards Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith? 7 A No.
- 8 Q Is it your opinion that the statements that 9 you made at that time were true?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. This complaint will 12 be attached as Exhibit 4.
- 13 (DEFENSE EXHIBIT 4 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 14 BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
- 15 Q Ms. Stanford, are you a lawyer?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q Have you ever been a lawyer?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did you go to law school?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Regarding the lawsuit that you are a plaintiff 22 to, for which Bruce Burtoff represents you, do you rely 23 and defer to Mr. Burtoff with regards to any of the 24 legal claims asserted in that lawsuit?
- 25 A I'm sorry. Do I what and defer?

- 1 Q Yeah, do you rely on and defer to him with 2 regards to that lawsuit?
- 3 A Yes, I do.
- 4 Q Were you prepared to testify regarding the 5 nature of that lawsuit today for this deposition in this 6 different case?

  7 A No.
- 8 MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I've got nothing further 9 for you. Any follow ups, Jennifer?

- 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. ENGLERT:
- 12 Q 100 percent, yes. Okay. The summary of what 13 you said in the August 2019 board meeting in front of 14 the community was two sentences, correct?
- 15 A I'm sorry, say that -- ask it again.
- 16 Q Sure. The summary from the board meeting 17 minutes that you just looked at was two sentences long, 18 correct?
- 19 A It looked about two sentences, yes. It might 20 have been three.
- 21 Q How long did you speak during that meeting? 22 A I don't know.
- 23 Q Was it more than two minutes?
- 24 A I don't know.
- 25 Q How long was your page of the notes that you

- 1 made? How long was your page of notes?
- 2 A Maybe ten lines.
- 3 Q Really?
- 4 A Really.
- 5 Q Okay. Is everything you said in those meeting 6 minutes?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Do you remember everything that you said? 9 A No.
- 10 Q Is it fair to say you cannot recall everything 11 that you said?
- 12 A That's what I just answered. I cannot recall 13 everything I just said or everything I said at that 14 meeting.

- 15 Q Do you recall saying that Steve Smith and Dave 16 Gordon violated the law?
- 17 A I don't recall those words, but it's possible. 18 Q You don't recall saying that they violated 19 chapter 720? I thought you just admitted that to your 20 lawyer.
- 21 A I believe I referenced 720 when it referred to 22 the unauthorized executive committee. I don't know that 23 I used the word violated. I may have, but I don't 24 recall specifically saying violated. They could have -- 25 I could have. I don't recall.

- 1 Q Did you publish these feelings that you gave 2 in the board meeting multiple other times in multiple 3 other ways, including on social media and some of the 4 letters we looked at today and some of the posts we 5 looked at today?
- 6 A I'm sorry. Can you break that down for me? 7 Q Sure. Do you think it's a fact that Steve 8 Smith and Dave Gordon were an executive committee that 9 was unauthorized by the board?
- 10 A I believe they were acting as such, yes. 11 Q Do you think it's a fact that they
- 12 micromanaged?
- 13 A I believe the staff that told me they were, 14 yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Let's start with that one. Did you -- 16 have you let people know in many other scenarios, on 17 social media, in letters, in verbal conversations, that 18 you believe that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon
- 19 micromanaged staff after the meeting?
- 20 A I don't believe so. I don't believe so. 21 Q You don't think

you -- okay. How about that 22 they were an unauthorized executive committee, have you 23 ever said that any other time in any other venue or any 24 other way besides that meeting?

25 A It's possible, I don't recall. I can't think

- 1 of a specific incident where I did, but it doesn't mean 2
  I didn't.
- 3 Q Okay. What other bad acts did you say that 4 you can recall at least that you said in that board 5 meeting?
  6 A The financial statements. I was -- I do 7 remember not being specific in my comments at that 8 meeting. And I remember that my reason for not being 9 specific was my understanding of the statute surrounding
- 10 the information that I had found out. Like, I believe 11 the statute specifically states that I can't
- 12 specifically identify the bad acts in an open meeting. I 13 don't know. You know, that was how many years ago. But 14 I recall that I had to take the action I took publicly, 15 but I could not be specific, the way the statute read at 16 the time. Again, you know, I'm not prepared for that. 17 Q All right. Did you think it was a fact that 18 Steve Smith and Dave Gordon were doing business outside 19 -- board business outside of board meetings?
- 20 A I believe so, yes.
- 21 Q And have you published that either on social 22 media, a letter to the community, an e-mail, a text, or 23 anything else after the meeting on August of 2019? 24 A After that

meeting?

25 Q Yeah.

- 1 A Again, it's possible. I can't think of a 2 specific incident where I did that exactly, but it's 3 possible.
- 4 Q Okay. You said you didn't demand to be heard, 5 but were you on the agenda for that meeting to make the 6 comments that you made or any comments?
- 7 A No. At the end of the meeting, Mr. Gordon 8 said, is there anything else, and I said, I have a 9 statement, and he said, okay, go ahead.
- 10 Q Do you have any idea how your texts that you 11 sent out went to unpublished numbers of people who lived 12 in the community?
- 13 A Well, clearly either I already had them from 14 previous communications or they had their number in the 15 directory.
- 16 Q Would you defer to their testimony regarding 17 whether they had their number in the directory and 18 whether they had -- you had had their number before? 19 A No, I would testify to the information I got 20 out of the directory.
- 21 Q Okay. But you have all that on your thumb 22 drive, right?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q Okay. Are you aware of Lisa Mason downloading 25 lists of information regarding contact for North Shore

- 1 residents?
- 2 A No, ma'am.
- 3 Q Okay. So that would be news to you?
- 4 A That's news to me.
- 5 Q Do you have ill will toward Dave Gordon? 6 A Define ill will, please.
- 7 Q It was used by your attorney previously. What 8 does it mean to you?
- 9 A Ill will, to me, is where you don't like 10 someone, for lack of a better word, or that you would 11 intentionally set out to harm them. And no, I do not 12 have ill will toward Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith.
- 13 Q When is the last time that you spoke with 14 Bruce Burtoff?
- 15 A A week ago.
- 16 Q Was it in person or by phone?
- 17 A By phone.
- 18 Q Are you aware of what Tracy Durham's
- 19 background was? Do you remember?
- 20 A I don't remember.
- 21 Q Okay. Do you believe that hiring her was a 22 mistake?
- 23 A No, I believe the way in which she was hired 24 was a mistake.
- 25 Q You in this lawsuit, the one that we're here

cannot bring this lawsuit against you because they 3 have unclean hands basically for bad acts that they were 4 involved in. So what bad acts were they involved in 5 that is not included in your Bruce Burtoff suit? 6 A Well, again, since I haven't read the amended 7 complaint in the Burtoff suit, and since we have 8 exhausted the issues today on these unauthorized 9 executive committee, the financial reports, the changing

10 of coding from a management labor to an unrelated GL 11 code, for the nondisclosure of different contracts for 12 different purposes, for personal use versus for HOA use, 13 nondisclosure of Mr. Gordon's personal assistant being 14 the invoicing clerk for a vendor that was used both 15 personally by Mr. Gordon and professionally by the HOA. 16 I can't think of everything, right off the top of my 17 head, but I think that captures most of it.

18 Q So are you going to tell Bruce Burtoff to 19 reduce the counts in the lawsuits and just focus on 20 those few things?

- 22 Q Why not?
- 23 A What do you mean why not? I don't know what 24 -- how -- 25 Q I've asked you all the things that you think

### 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 237

1 that the board members did wrong in this deposition, and 2 you just gave me a list. However, in your affirmative 3 defenses in this case, you say that this cannot be 4 brought, the suit cannot be brought because a variety of 5 things that the board did wrong. So you have put your 6 name on a lawsuit where the board -- you say the board 7 did many

things wrong. And that is the first complaint. 8 I realize you didn't release the amended one. You put 9 your name on it, though, and you've also testified to

10 some things today. So I'm trying to get all the things 11 that you think the board did wrong. Some of them you 12 did not testify to today that are in the lawsuit. So 13 I'm wondering why you wouldn't reduce the number of 14 things in the Bruce Burtoff lawsuit to just the things 15 you testified to today.

16 A I don't have an answer for that today. 17 Q Okay. We've gone through some -- actually, 18 strike that. Is it fair to say that you've alleged the 19 board conducted some malfeasance in the letters that we 20 looked at today?

22 Q That in the letters we looked at today, the 23 post that you made on social media and the dear 24 homeowner's letter that we looked at, that you accused 25 the board of

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 238

- 1 bad acts.
- 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.

a variety of acts that you consider to be

21 A Is it fair to say what?

- 3 A I believe this board has not acted in the best 4 interest of this community.
- 5 Q And is that why you brought the lawsuit with 6 Mr. Burtoff?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And is that some of the things that you're 9 going to use as your defense in this lawsuit that you're 10 being

```
deposed here for today?
```

- 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
- 12 A I'm sorry. Re-ask.
- 13 Q Yeah. Is that going to be part of your 14 defense in this lawsuit?
- 15 A Is what going to be part of my defense? 16 Q The actions that the board took that you 17 believe were not in the best interest of the community? 18 A Yes.
- 19 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. That's all I have. Do you 20 want her to read or wave?
- 21 MR. BERNSTEIN: We will read.
- 22 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. And we will order the 23 transcript, please, Michelle.
- 24 COURT REPORTER: All right. And would you like 25 a copy, Mr. Bernstein?

- 1 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, please.
- 2 COURT REPORTER: All right. I'm going to get 3 you guys off record.
- 4 (DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 3:45 P.M.)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 240 1 CERTIFICATE OF OATH 3 STATE OF FLORIDA 4 COUNTY OF ORANGE 6 I, the undersigned, certify that the witness in the 7 foregoing transcript personally appeared before me and 8 was duly sworn. 10 Identification: Produced Identification 

131415

\_\_\_\_\_

- 16 MICHELLE VASQUEZ
- 17 Court Reporter, Notary Public
- 18 State of Florida
- 19 Commission Expires: 11/1/2025
- 20 Commission Number: HH 193257

21

22

23

24

25

200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 241

1 CERTIFICATE

2

- 3 STATE OF FLORIDA)
- 4 COUNTY OF ORANGE)

5

6 I, MICHELLE VASQUEZ, Court Reporter and Notary 7 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby 8 certify that I was authorized to and did report the 9 foregoing proceeding, and that said transcript is a true 10 record of the said proceeding.

11

12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not of counsel for, 13

related to, or employed by any of the parties or 14 attorneys involved herein, nor am I financially 15 interested in said action. 16 17 Submitted on: February 2, 2022. 18 19 20 21 22 23 MICHELLE VASQUEZ 24 Court Reporter, Notary Public 25 200406 Sandford Lynn 01-20-2022 - VOL. II Page 242 1 ERRATA 2 3 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON 4 5 6 7

891011121314

16 I have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken 17 in the captioned matter or the same has been read to 18 me.I request that the following changes be entered upon 19 the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my 20 name to the Errata Sheet and authorize you to attach the 21 changes to the original transcript.

22

23

24 \_\_\_\_\_\_ 25

Date NAME

407.423.9900 Fax 407.841.2779 Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

### February 02, 2022

Matthew Bernstein, Esquire Vernis & Bowling of Central Florida, P.A. 1450 South Woodland Boulevard 4th Floor Deland, FL 32720

RE: Deposition of **Lynn Sandford** taken on **1/19/2022**North Shore vs Lisa Mason & Lynn Sandford

Dear Mr. Bernstein,

### IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT READ AND SIGN

It is suggested that the review of this transcript be completed within 30 days of your receipt of this

letter, as considered reasonable under Federal Rules\*.

<u>X</u> Attorney - Copy of Transcript Enclosed: Signature of the Deponent is required. Please have the deponent make any corrections/changes necessary on the Errata Sheet ONLY, sign name on the form where indicated. Please return ONLY the original signed Errata Sheet to our offices within 30 days from the date of this memorandum. If you have any questions, please call our offices.

\_Attorney - No Copy Ordered: Since you did not request a copy of the transcript, it will be necessary for the Deponent to call our offices to arrange for an appointment to read and sign the transcript of the Deposition within 30 days of this memorandum.

**Deponent:** At the time of your deposition, you did not waive your right to read and sign the transcript of your testimony, therefore, attached please find a copy of the transcript and Errata Sheet. Please read the transcript, make any corrections necessary on the Errata Sheet ONLY, sign the bottom of the Errata Sheet, and return it within 30 days from the date of this memorandum. Please call our offices if you have any questions.

**\_Deponent:** At the time of your deposition, you did not waive your right to read and sign the transcript of your testimony, therefore, it is necessary for you to come to our offices to read and sign same. Please call Milestone Reporting Company to arrange for an appointment at your earliest convenience.

\_The attached executed copies of the Errata Sheet(s) are sent to you for your files. If you have any questions, please call our offices.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

No. 200406

CC:

Waiver:

I, Lynn Sandford, hereby waive the reading and signing of my deposition transcript.

\_\_\_\_\_ Deponent Signature Date

400 North Ashley Drive, Suite 2600 315 East Robinson Street, Suite 510 4651 Salisbury Road, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor **TAMPA, FL 33602 ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE,**FL 32256 CORPORATE

WWW.MILESTONEREPORTINGCOMPANY.COM

<sup>\*</sup>Federal Civil Procedure Rule 30 (e) / Florida Civil Procedure Role 1.310 (e)

## 407.423.9900 Fax 407.841.2779 Foll Free 855-MYDEPOS

# ESTONE I REPORTING COMPANY TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

## TOMORROW

- 1 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
- 2 IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA
- 3 CASE NO.: 2019-CA-013714-0

### **ORIGINAL**

- 5 NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART
- 6 HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.,
- 7 PLAINTIFF,

8

4

9 VS.

10

- 11 LISA MASON AND LYNN SANDFORD,
- 12 DEFENDANTS.

13

- 14 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD VOLUME I
- 15 DATE:

JANUARY 20, 2022

16 REPORTER:

MICHELLE VASQUEZ

17 PLACE:

ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

```
APPEARANCES
1
2
   ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART
   HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.:
5
   Jennifer A. Englert, Esquire
   Orlando Law Group, PL
   12301 Lake Underhill Road
8
   Suite 213
9
   Orlando, Florida 32828
10
   Telephone No.: (407) 512-4394
11
   Facsimile No.: (407) 982-7250
   E-mail: jenglert@theorlandolawgroup.com
12
13
   (Appeared via videoconference)
14
   ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, LYNN SANDFORD:
15
   Matthew B. Bernstein, Esquire
16
   Vernis & Bowling of Central Florida, P.A.
17
   1450 South Woodland Boulevard
18
19 Floor 4
   Deland, Florida 32720
20
   Telephone No.: (386) 734-2505
21
22
   Facsimile No.: (386) 734-3441
   E-mail: mbernstein@florida-law.com
23
   (Appeared via videoconference)
24
25
```



10	<u> </u>
1	INDEX
2	Page
3	PROCEEDINGS 5
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT 6
5	
6	EXHIBITS
7	(None marked in Volume I.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 **TAMPA, FL 33602** 

### STIPULATION 1 2 3 4 The videoconference deposition of Lynn Sandford taken remotely on Wednesday the 19th day of January 2022 at 5 6 approximately 9:59 a.m.; said deposition was taken pursuant to the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. It is agreed that Michelle Vasquez, being a Notary Public and 8 9 Court Reporter for the State of Florida, may swear the witness and that the reading and signing of the 10 completed transcript by the witness is not waived. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY
TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

JACKSONVILLE, FL 3256 TAMPA, FL 33602

## PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COURT REPORTER: All right. On record. Will all parties except for the witness please state your appearance, how you're attending, and your location?

MS. ENGLERT: Jennifer Englert for the plaintiff. I am attending by Zoom and my office in Waterford Lakes in East Orlando.

MR. BERNSTEIN: This is Matt Bernstein on behalf of defendant, Lynn Sandford. I'm appearing via Zoom from DeLand, Florida.

COURT REPORTER: All right. And Ms. Sandford, would you please state your full name for the record?

THE WITNESS: Lynn Sandford.

COURT REPORTER: And Ms. Sandford, would you please hold your ID up to the camera? A little further back. Just a tiny bit. Thank you so much. Do all parties agree that the witnesses, in fact, Ms. Lisa Sandford?

MS. ENGLERT: Lynn Sandford. Yes.

COURT REPORTER: Lynn Sandford. Sorry. Okay.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Agreed.

COURT REPORTER: Ms. Sandford, would you please raise your right hand? Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give will



be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 1 2 truth? 3 THE WITNESS: I do. COURT REPORTER: All right. You may begin. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. ENGLERT: 6 7 Thank you. Have you ever had your Okay. 0 deposition taken before, Ms. Sandford? 8 9 Α No. All right, I'll go over a couple ground rules. 10 0 If you need to take a break at any time, please let us 11 know. You just can't take a break while a question is 12 pending. If you do not understand my question, please 13 14 ask me to repeat it or rephrase it. Otherwise, I'm 15 going to assume you understand it. Is that okay? 16 Α Yes. And then if you want to answer yes or no to a 17 question, please say yes or no, as opposed to nod your head or do something else. I'll be asking you to 19 clarify if necessary. It's for the court reporter, and 20 it's even more challenging by Zoom. So, won't be 21 picking on you, but we need to have a clear record. Have you taken any medications today that would potentially 23 impair your ability to answer my questions? 24 25 Α No.



Okay. Where do you currently reside? 1 0 9621 Pacific Pines Court, Orlando, Florida, 2 Α 3 32832. How have you lived there? 4 0 Since November 20, 2003. 18, almost 19 years. 5 Α Okay. And you are the deeded homeowner? 6 0 I'm one of them. 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Who's the other? Edwin Smith. 9 Α Can you briefly tell me your educational 10 background? 11 High school, some college. 12 Α What was your college major? 13 0 Interior design. 14 Α Okay. Do you currently have any professional 15 licenses? 16 Α Yes. 17 What are they? Q 18 I'm a Florida notary public and I'm a licensed 19 insurance agent. 20 What type of insurance? 21 Q Health and life. 22 Α So, that's two different licenses? 23 24 No, it's one license for health/life and fixed 25 annuities.



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

- 1		
1	Q	Okay.
2	А	It's a Florida 215.
3	Q	And how long have you had that?
4	А	Since 2015.
5	Q	What do you currently do for work?
6	A	Insurance agent.
7	Q	And have you done that since 2015?
8	A	Yes, ma'am.
9	Q	Are you self-employed?
10	А	Yes, ma'am.
11	Q	And do you have a company that you operate or
12	are you s	ole proprietor?
13	A	I'm not clear on the question.
14	Q	Do you own a company or do you own a
15	company?	
16	А	I have registered well, are you talking
17	about just for insurance?	
18	Q	Yes.
19	A	Okay. So, I registered a company in case I
20	ever need	ed or wanted to go and become a broker, but
21	it's not	active. I do have my license parked with
22	Florida Retirement Group.	
23	Q	Okay. And has that been since 2015?
24	А	Has what been since 2015?
25	Q	That your license was with Florida Retirement



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

Group as your broker. I've had several -- I've been with No. 2 А several different brokers. 3 What did you do before you sold insurance? I was an office administrator, executive 5 assistant. 6 7 Any other types of work? Not that I can think of off the top of my 8 Α 9 head. Have you ever worked in a law firm? 10 0 11 Α No. Okay. As part of your deposition today, you 12 were asked to gather some documents. How did you gather the documents that were provided to us? 14 I have to see what was provided. Matt e-15 Α mailed that to me. Hold on. 16 All right. Yeah. Take your time and look at 17 it. We can screen share if you wish, but if that's 18 easier, if you want to print them -- take a minute to 19 print them out, that's fine, too. You tell me what 21 works the best. Nope. I can just look at them here on my 22 Α 23 screen.



24

25 L

## MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

that's usually the easiest. So, take a minute to look

All right. Yeah, if you've got two screens,

at them and then you can answer. Okay. I recall. And what was your question 2 Α 3 again on this? How did you compile these documents? I followed the instructions you sent. I don't 5 honestly recall how it's done, but you sent me 6 instructions. I followed those instructions. Okay. Were you instructed to do this 8 Q previously pursuant to a court order when we did a 9 discovery request? 10 I'm not sure. I don't under -- I'm not --11 Did you compile these another time for us when 12 Q we asked for documents, not as part of your deposition? 13 Α Yes. 14 15 Did you do it in the exact same way? Q Okay. 16 A Yes. 17 Okay. Did the documents that you produced the 18 first time match the second set of documents? I'm very confused by that. First set of 19 Α documents --20 MR. BERNSTEIN: Let me interject. 21 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah. 22 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm sorry. I'll -- and if you 23 want her to testify to this, obviously, because I'm 24 25 not testifying, that's fine, but to clear it up, so



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

1	what we did was I Lynn went through any new
2	Facebook documents since that production, provided
3	those to me. I then combined those with the
4	previously produced Facebook documents. So, it is a
5	combination. It is not the same, in other words,.
6	There's a few additional documents along with some
7	of the same documents.
8	MS. ENGLERT: Well, I mean just, they don't,
9	and it's not since the production, there's some
10	other stuff that should have been in the production.
11	We could take that up later, but
12	BY MS. ENGLERT:
13	Q So, did you provide so, you used this
14	Facebook download tool and you downloaded everything.
15	You did that yourself physically as opposed to having
16	someone in your attorney's office do it?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then did you go through it and take out
19	documents, or did your attorney do that for you?
20	A I redacted it.
21	Q Okay. So, do you have all the documents that
22	you did not send to your attorney?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay. Do you remember doing this another time
25	previous to the for this deposition?



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Did you keep the documents that you
3	redacted t	hat time, as well?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And you did it the exact same way as you did
6	it just re	cently?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you remember when you did the download for
9	this depos	ition?
10	A	I do not.
11	Q	Okay. Is there any record you would have to
12	show when	you did that?
13	A	Probably. Hold on. December 21, 2020.
14	Q	2020?
15	A	That's what it says.
16	Q	Okay. So, is that when you would've done it
17	for the re	quest for production?
18	A	That was the first time I did the download.
19	Yes.	
20	Q	Okay. So, the first time you did the
21	download,	we did a request for production on July 17,
22	2020, so y	ou did that download December 21st, 2020. Did
23	you keep o	n your computer, all the documents that you
24	did not pr	ovide to your attorney?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q Okay. And they're still in electronic form on
2	your computer?
3	A I believe I put them on a flash drive.
4	Q Okay. But is the flash drive in your
5	possession?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. When did you do the second download for
8	the subpoena for this deposition?
9	A December 22, 2021.
L O	Q Okay. And are those documents that you culled
11	out also on a flash drive?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. All right. We'll talk about the
14	documents a little bit later on. How many lawsuits are
15	you currently involved in?
16	A Define lawsuit for me.
17	Q A case that has been filed in Orange County
18	Circuit Court where you are either a plaintiff or a
19	defendant.
20	A Two.
21	Q And what are they?
22	A This case and the oh, I'm sorry, three.
23	This case, the election arbitration case, and the case
24	handled by Bruce Burtoff.
25	Q Okay. Have you ever been a plaintiff or a



defendant in a lawsuit prior to those three cases? There was a traffic incident a long time ago. 2 Α Would that count? I don't know that that counts. 3 Like a personal injury case or just like a civil traffic thing? 5 No, it was a personal injury case. Α 6 7 Okay. And you were the plaintiff? No, I was the defendant. 8 Ά Yeah, technically that would count. Any 9 Okav. other ones besides that? 10 Not that I'm aware of. 11 Α Okay. Were you a board member of the North 12 Q Shore at Lake Hart Homeowners Association? 13 Α Yes. 14 15 For what years? 0 March of '17 through '19 sometime. 16 Α Besides being a board member, did you hold any 17 18 other volunteer positions at North Shore in the entire time that you lived there? 19 Yes. I served on the ARB. I served on this 20 Α social committee. I served on the communications 21 committee. 22 Were you ever a chair of any of those? 23 Q I was -- was I the chair? No. Α 24 25 What years were you on the ARB Okay.



## committee? 1 I don't recall specifically. It was off and 2 I know that I initiated -- was initially on the ARB 3 I don't remember what year I came off the ARB for a period of time. And then I became the ARB liaison 5 once I was on the board in 2017. Okay. And were you the ARB liaison from 2017 to 2019 when you came off the board? 8 To the best of my knowledge, yes. 9 Α Did you have a vote on the ARB committee at 10 that point? 11 Α 12 No. Did you go and inspect properties as the ARB 13 liaison? 14 15 Α Inspect properties? For what purpose? I'm 16 not understanding. For ARB compliance. 17 18 Α For compliance. Not -- no. Did you go inspect properties for any reason 19 0 while you were the ARB liaison from the board? 20 Yes. At the direction of Mr. Gordon. 21 Α Did you go inspect properties when you were on 22 the ARB committee and you were not a board member? 23 Α We did do ARB follow-up inspections in the 24



25

early days.

Yes.

ORLANDO, FL 32801

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

1 Who took that over after the early days? 0 2 I don't recall. Α Was it somebody from the management company? 3 Q I don't recall. 4 5 Okay. As a board member, did you always follow your fiduciary duty? 6 7 To the best of my ability. Α Are there times you think you were not able to 8 0 follow your fiduciary duty? I don't know how to answer that. 10 11 Okay. So, you believe you followed your fiduciary duty as a board member? 12 13 As I understood it, yes. 1.4 The lawsuit that you are the plaintiff in that 15 is handled by Mr. Bruce Burtoff, who compiled the information that's in the lawsuit? And we'll start with 16 the first complaint and then we'll talk about the second 17 18 complaint because they do differ. 19 I'm sorry, can you repeat that? Sure. You know the lawsuit that you filed 20 0 where you're the plaintiff, where Bruce Burtoff's your 21 attorney, right? 22 23 Α Yes. Okay. There's two different complaints. There 24 Q was a complaint that got dismissed and then there was a

second complaint. I only want to talk about the first one, later we'll talk about the second one. There is --2 I think this complaint is about 40 pages long. Who 3 compiled the information in this complaint? You mean who wrote it? Who wrote the 5 complaint? 6 7 No. Who compiled the information? There are 40 pages of allegations against various individuals, a 8 lot of facts or purported facts. Where did they come from? 10 The facts, I provided some, and other people 11 12 provided others. 13 Who helped you provide facts for this 14 complaint? 15 Α No one. You just said other people helped you. Who 16 17 were they? 18 Α I do not know. How do you not know? 19 Because they were anonymous plaintiffs. Mr. 20 Α 21 Burtoff did not tell me who they are. I don't know who they are, what they got, or what they gave him other 22 than what's in the complaint. 231 So, you allowed your name to be on a complaint 24 from -- with facts on it that came from people who you



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

```
1
   didn't know who they were or whether they were verified?
 2
        Α
             Yes.
 3
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can
 4
       answer.
 5
             So, you don't know who the John -- the Jane,
 6
   and Joe Doe are?
 7
        Α
             No.
 8
             You had no concern being plaintiffs in a case
 9
   when you had no idea who the people were?
10
        Α
             No.
             Why are they not plaintiffs anymore?
11
12
        Α
             I don't know.
13
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection.
             Okay. Besides the Jane and Joe Doe and
14
        0
15
   yourself, who else provided information for this
16 complaint?
             I do not know.
17
             Okay. Do you know what information you
18
19 provided? Do you recall that?
             I do not. I'd have to go back. I wasn't
20
        Α
   prepared for questions about a different case today.
21
             All right. Well, we'll be going over it at
22
   length so -- the -- do you have --
23
24
             May I ask why that case has anything to do
25 with this case?
```

1	Q No, you cannot. You don't get to ask the
2	questions.
3	A Okay. Okay.
4	Q Do you have a file of information that you use
5	to put this case together?
6	A This case that we're on right now or the
7	Burtoff case?
8	Q We're talking about the Burtoff complaint, the
9	first complaint. When we move to something else, I
10	promise I'll tell you. But assume and know all we're
11	talking about is the first complaint in the the case
12	that Bruce Burtoff represents you in.
13	A Okay. Okay.
14	Q So, do you have a file of information that you
15	used to compile this case, the part that you provided?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay, perfect. And is where is that kept?
18	A On a flash drive.
19	Q Okay. Are these all different flash drives
20	that we're talking about, or do you just kind of have
21	one master one for everything about North Shore?
22	A No, I have them on different well
23	Q Okay.
24	A back up. The Facebook downloads are on one
25	because it's this case. The Burtoff case is a different



```
flash drive.
             Okay. Did anyone help you compile the
 2
        Q
   information that you provided that you are aware of?
 3
 4
             No.
 5
             Okay. Okay. In this case you made a variety
   of allegations and we're going to talk about it. How
 6
   did you decide which members of the board you are going
   to have as defendants in this matter?
8
          I did not decide.
9
           Who decided?
10
            Mr. Burtoff
11
        Α
            MR. BERNSTEIN: And I'm going --
12
            MS. ENGLERT: I don't want you to -- that's
13
       okay. I won't ask anything for --
14
            MR. BERNSTEIN: -- to object --
15
            MS, ENGLERT: I know. I know. I don't want to
16
       attorney/client -- anything that you talked to your
17
18
       attorney about, but I have to ask. And then if it's
       something that Mr. Burtoff said, we'll stop right
19
20
       there.
             BY MS. ENGLERT:
21
             Do you have a personal opinion of what David
22
   Bayer did in order to be sued in this lawsuit?
231
        A No.
24
             Do you believe that Dave Bauer did anything
25
```



```
that rose to the level that he should be sued in this
   lawsuit?
2
 3
        A res.
            What? What did he do? I wasn't sure that you
 4
5
   heard me.
 6
             I do.
           res.
        0
            Can I verbalize why? No.
8
             So, you believe he should be sued but you
9
   can't say why?
10
            I would have to go back and refer to notes to
11
        Α
   give you an answer.
12
            Did you decide to dismiss him as a person who
13
   was in this lawsuit, a defendant in the second?
14
15
        A
            No.
             Do you agree that he should have been
16
   dismissed?
1.7
18
        A No.
             Did you talk to the Jane and -- or the Jane
19
20 l
   and Joe Doe about that?
        Α
             No. Don't know who they are.
21
             Okay. Who's the Joe Doe that's a defendant,
22
23 individual and officers? Why was there a Joe Doe
24
   included?
             I don't know.
25
```

407.423.9900

1	Q Not as a plaintiff, as a defendant?
2	MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form.
3	A I don't know.
4	Q Okay. Are there some individuals that you're
5	aware of that you believe should have been defendants in
6	this lawsuit that were not included?
7	A I'm sorry, ask that again.
8	Q Sure. Are there some you know who the
9	defendants were, Dave Gordon, John Dick, Steve Smith,
10	Mike McCauley, Randolph Pinna, Rob Taub, Burt Pearsall,
11	Dave Bauer. Who was not included that you believe
12	should have been?
13	A I don't have an opinion on that.
14	Q Okay. What did Burt Pearsall do that you
15	believe was wrong that had him be included in this
16	lawsuit?
17	A I do not know.
18	Q Okay. So, for him, you don't know?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. How about Rob Taub?
21	A I do not know.
22	Q How about Randolph Pinna?
23	A I do not know.
24	Q How about Mike McCauley?
25	A I do not know.
- 4	



1	Q How about Steve Smith?
2	A I'm not sure how you want me you want me to
3	say what he did?
4	Q Yes. What do you believe I mean, this
5	complaint goes on for 40 pages about breaches. I mean,
6	there's multiple complaints, breach of fiduciary duty,
7	financial misfeasance, misrepresentation. What wrongful
8	acts do you believe Steve Smith engaged in which caused
9	him to be a defendant in the suit?
0 .	MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can
.1	answer to the extent that you know.
.2	A Do I have to disclose my evidence in answering
.3	this question?
4	Q You have to answer the question.
.5	MR. BERNSTEIN: You have to to the extent
6	that you understand it. Yes.
.7	A Okay. Could you re-ask the question, please,
8.	Jennifer.
. 9	BY MS. ENGLERT:
20	Q Sure. You filed a lawsuit against several
21	people and you are the plaintiff. You understand that,
22	right?
23	A Yes, ma'am.
24	Q Okay. So, I want to know why you filed a
25	lawsuit against Steve Smith. What did he do wrong?



1 Fiduciary breach. Financial malfeasance. Α How so? How so? 2 0 Mr. Smith was the treasurer board member. 3 And Α I asked some questions, I obtained some documentation, and Mr. Smith was not having -- he was instructing 5 I people to miscode invoices. 6 7 Who was he instructing to do that? 0 Staff --8 Α Who's staff? 9 0 -- that had to with accounting. 10 Α Who would that be? 11 0 Lisa Mason, for one. 12 Α 13 Who else? Q I don't know the name of the accounting person 14 Α 15 with the management company that Steve talked about a 16 lot. 17 Q Are you a CPA? 18 Α No, ma'am. 19 Anything else he did besides miscode invoices? Regarding his fiduciary breach? 20 Α Yeah. I'm trying to get everything that you 21 Q 22 think he did. I would have to refer to notes again. That's 23 -- I did not refamiliarize myself with the Burtoff case. 24 25 l I'm sorry.

MS. ENGLERT: Well, Matthew, this is all related and it's related to our case, so it's fine that she wants to answer this way, but we won't be able to conclude the deposition today. So, it's fine. I mean, I'm going to keep going forward, but it goes right to -- what they did wrong --

MR BERNSTEIN: Well, I would say ---

MS. ENGLERT: -- goes right to our case. It does. So, that's, like I said, we'll keep going.

MR. BERNSTEIN: I disagree that it has anything to do with this case. Your complaint in this case is specific and narrow enough so that it doesn't seem to relate to that case. At the very least, you didn't include that in any topics or areas of inquiry or notice to deposition to be able to discuss today. So, to the extent that she's going to answer that she doesn't know or is not prepared for that -- if you want to abate the deposition so that we could -- she could be familiarized with that, or you could take it up to the court, we could do so, but it seems to be a waste of time now to have her answer, "I don't know," or, "I'm not prepared," go through it all, then have us do it again later. So, if you're going -- if you want to do it again, you should probably short circuit it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

today so we don't waste that time.

MS. ENGLERT: That is not happening. I don't have to give a designation of what I'm asking her. This is not a corporate rep. She's a party. And it does go right to the heart of the case. She -- we did an injunction for her against her as one of the counts for false and defamatory. One of your affirmative defenses is truth plus unclean hands. So, everything she thinks that every one of these people did is part of this case. So, I'm going to go forward, you're not going to get to get out of this depo today, but I am not going to be finished. Every time she says she's got to look back at notes, that's fine, but we're going forward because there's a lot to cover and we'll just have to come back. So, it's definitely related. There's no way you can say it isn't.

BY MS. ENGLERT:

Q Okay. What else did Steve Smith do?

MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just going to put on the record that we do disagree with that. That's all.

MS. ENGLERT: That's fine. That's what I'm saying. I mean, it does go right to the heart of it. We can agree to disagree.

BY MS. ENGLERT:



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

1	Q	What else do you think that Steve Smith did
2	wrong?	
3	А	Acting as part of an executive committee that
4	was not a	uthorized by the board, making decisions, and
5	preparing	actions without board discussion. He also
6	provided n	multiple e-mails for closed meeting closed
7	board meet	ting agendas that included items that cannot be
8	discussed	in a closed meeting, to which I objected each
9	time.	
10	Q	How did you object each time?
11	А	In e-mail to you and the other board members.
12	Q	Okay. And you have all those e-mails?
13	А	I would think so. I don't know.
14	Q	Okay. What else?
15	А	That's all I can think of off the top of my
16	head.	
17	Q	Okay. Is Lisa Mason an accountant?
18	А	I do not know.
19	Q	What is the documentation that you saw that
20	showed tha	at Mr. Smith was miscoding invoices?
21	А	An invoice from the management company with
22	instruction	ons from Steve to move a management company
23	charge to	an unrelated GL code.
24	Q	And what was the charge?
25	А	What do you mean, what was the charge?



1	Q	You said an it was not related to
2	managemen	t, so what was it related to?
3	A	No, it was a management labor charge on an
4	invoice,	and Mr. Smith had instructions on that invoice.
5	Apparentl	y there was probably a back and forth exchange
6	of the in	voice. But his instructions on that invoice
7	were to m	ove that management labor charge to a website
8	GL code.	
9	Q	And how did you get that information?
10	A	I asked Lisa for a copy of the invoice.
11	Q	How did you know to ask for it?
12	A	I don't remember.
13	Q	What other information did Lisa provide to you
14	besides a	copy of an invoice while you were
15	investiga	ting your allegations in this case?
16	A	The GL codes list that I asked for.
17	Q	What else?
18	A	I can't remember anything else.
19	Q	Did she provide you that electronically?
20	A	No.
21	Q	How did she provide it to you?
22	A	Hard copy.
23	Q	Do you remember when that happened?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Was were you still a board member?



Toil Free 855-MYDEPOS

1 Yes, ma am. Α What other hard copies of documentation did 2 3 she provide you? Regarding Mr. Smith? I cannot think of 4 5 anything else. Anything else regarding accounting? 6 0 7 Other than the financial statements she distributed to the board on a regular basis, no. 8 9 Why was John Dick included as a defendant in this lawsuit? 10 I do not know. 11 How about David Gordon? 12 0 Multiple and various acts. 13 Okay. We're going to go into that in a 14 0 second. Why did you put your name on a lawsuit where 15 there were multiple individuals that you don't know why 16 17 they were included? 18 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can 19 answer. 20 I don't know how to answer that. Why? Originally, I believe I was going to be a defendant on 21 that case, and I cannot remember who I spoke with, but 22 eventually got connected to Mr. Burtoff directly. We 23 discussed it. I believe Mr. Burtoff discussed it with 24 Matt. It was decided that it would be better for the

1	community if I were a plaintiff on that case rather than
2	a defendant.
3	Q Okay. That wasn't my question, though. Why
4	did you become the plaintiff and sue people when you are
5	sitting here today and you cannot tell me why they were
6	sued?
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection to form. You can
8	answer to the extent that you understood the
9	question. I would just caution you to not divulge
10	any attorney/client communication.
11	MS. ENGLERT: You got to just object. Keep it
12	to form, please. But yes, I do not want you to tell
13	me any attorney/client, and I will stop her if I
14	think she's doing that. But please keep your
1.5	objections to form.
16	A So, please re-ask the question.
17	BY MS. ENGLERT:
18	Q Sure. Why did you sue people and you, as you
19	sit here today, can't tell me why they were sued?
20	A Well, number one, I was not the only plaintiff
21	so I can only answer to those for which I had documented
22	evidence that I believed they needed to be held
23	accountable for.
24	Q Okay. So, who were those people again?



25

MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

Steve Smith and Dave Gordon.

Α

1	Q	How about David Bauer?
2	A	I didn't have anything to do with that.
3	Q	Okay. You did tell me earlier, though, you
4	thought h	e should have been sued.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	The documented go ahead.
7	A	That's opinion.
8	Q	The documented evidence is all on the flash
9	drive you	told me about?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. And where did the documented evidence
12	come from	? I know you told me about Lisa Mason giving
13	you some	GL codes. Where else did the evidence come
14	from?	
15	A	From e-mail exchanges as a board member, board
16	e-mails.	Upon inquiry, Ms. Mason shared with me some
17	text mess	ages.
18	Q	Okay. Do you have copies of those?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And how did you get copies of those?
21	A	I requested them from Ms. Mason.
22	Q	Did she give you screenshot pictures or
23	А	Yes.
24	Q	And those are all on your flash drive?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q Okay. What other evidence do you have?
2	A Again, my conclusion that Mr. Gordon and Mr.
3	Smith were acting as an unauthorized executive
4	committee, making decisions, taking actions that were
5	not discussed in board meetings.
6	Q When did you come to this conclusion?
7	A I don't remember.
8	Q Was it a year before you were no longer on the
9	board? Six months?
10	A I know it was prior to the meeting at which I
11	asked them to resign from the board.
12	Q How much prior?
13	A A few well, six months maybe.
14	Q And then what did you do to investigate before
15	the meeting where you asked them to resign?
16	A Collected I went back through e-mails,
17	board, shared e-mails. I requested the GL codes list
18	from Ms. Mason. I requested the invoice copy from Ms.
19	Mason. That was pretty much it. Just pretty much going
20	back through communications I had received as a board
21	member.
22	Q Okay. Do you think that Lisa Mason breached
23	any duty that she had to the community or as a licensed
24	community association manager?
25	$\Delta$ No



1 How about anyone at any of the management 0 companies or any of your community managers? 2 Do -- I'm sorry. Do I think what of any of 3 Α them? 4 Did any of them breach their fiduciary duty to 5 the community or their duty as a CAM? 6 7 Α I do. 8 0 Who? 9 Mr. Lasseter. Α Michael Lasseter? 10 Yeah. Is it Lasseter? I thought it was 11 Α 12 Laster. 13 No, I'm pretty sure it's Lasseter. I'll get Q it for you, Michelle. How did he breach his duty? 14 In e-mails, concurring that certain items 15 Α should be discussed in a closed meeting. Because there 16 17 were things that -- and I don't remember his exact 18 language. I know I have the e-mail, but he believed that there were things that needed to be discussed 19 without community involvement, that were not related to 20 21 pending litigation or personnel matters. Okay. And that's in your opinion, correct? 22 Yes, ma'am. And I stated so in reply e-mail 23 Α I objected. 24 to all. 25 Any other breaches by any other -- well, first

Michael Lasseter or anything else? Not that I can recall at this point. 2 Okay. Any other breaches of -- from any other 3 Q community association manager who was working at North 4 Shore? 6 Α Not that I can think of at this point. 7 Okay. What do you think that Dave Gordon did 0 wrong that made him a defendant in the suit? 9 Α He breached his own conflict of interest policy. He breached the vendor policy. He acted as an 10 unauthorized executive committee. He -- I can't think 11 12 of the specifics at the moment. 13 Q Okay. Well, we'll be going through that complaint. How was the community damaged by a GL code 14 15 being miscoded, according to you? It's, to the best of my understanding, it is 16 an accounting practice that could cost a CPA their 17 license, to intentionally miscode labor charges to a --18 another item. 19 l 20 My question was, how did that damage the 0 21 community? It's bad acts of the person in control of the 22 23 money. How did it damage the community? 24 Q



Α

25

I don't know how to answer that.

1	Q Okay. All right. You allege in this
2	complaint that the board failed to abide by an orderly
3	budget process. What years did that occur?
4	A At least 2018, 2019.
5	Q How okay. Let's start with 2018. How did
6	they fail to how did the board fail to abide by an
7	orderly budget process?
8	A In multiple meetings, Mr. Smith provided
9	excuses why financials were not current, why financials
10	were not being available to the community. He, again,
11	on multiple occasions in open board meetings, when Mr.
12	Smith was asked about the budget or the financials, he
13	did not have answers.
13 14	did not have answers.  Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for
14	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for
14 15	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?
14 15 16	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the
14 15 16 17	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the financials, and he was the one who decided when they
14 15 16 17	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the financials, and he was the one who decided when they would be distributed.
14 15 16 17 18	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the financials, and he was the one who decided when they would be distributed.  Q Why do you think that he is the one who
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the financials, and he was the one who decided when they would be distributed.  Q Why do you think that he is the one who decided when they would be distributed?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Was it his job to prepare the financials for the community?  A I know that he oversaw the preparation of the financials, and he was the one who decided when they would be distributed.  Q Why do you think that he is the one who decided when they would be distributed?  A Because he told us so.



25

I do not know. I know that Mr. Smith, at

every board meeting, closed and otherwise, gave reasons why he was working on things with the accounting team. 2 And he was deciding when things would be available to 3 the membership. 4 Didn't the financials come to you as a board 5 0 member from the management company? 6 7 I -- we did not always get financials. when we did, it was in preparation of a board meeting. 8 To the best of my knowledge, yes. We got them in an e-9 mail from Ms. Mason, who got them at the instruction of 10 11 Mr. Smith. Okay. That's what you believe to be true? 12 0 13 Α Yes. Okay. How about in 2019? Is your answer the 14 15 same? Is that why you -- there was not an orderly 16 budget process because of the financials not being current? 17 18 There had not been finance committee meetings. There had not been a budget process that had been done 19 for the history of the community. When questioned at 20 the town hall meeting, Mr. Smith didn't even know who 21 was on the budget or finance committee. 22 Is it your testimony that the budget -- the 23 Q finance committee didn't meet in 2019? 24



Α

No.

25

You don't believe they met in 2019? 1 0 2 That's not my answer. Α 3 Okay. Well, that's what I'm trying to understand. 5 Okay. Mr. Smith at the town hall meeting, if Α I recall correctly, said that the finance committee had not met since April. So that was 2019. I don't know that they did. I don't know that they didn't. That was Mr. Smith's words. When asked who was on the committee, Mr. Smith told the membership present he did not know 10 who was on the committee. 1.1 Any other reason to say that there wasn't a 12 Q budget or a finance committee besides that? 13 Α There were no -- there's no history of 14 announcements of budget committee meetings. 15 For 2019. 16 17 Α That I can recall. How about for 2018? 18 0 19 That I can recall. Α Okay. There's also allegations that the 20 Q defendants engaged in self-dealing and misappropriation. 21 Can you tell me how each one of these defendants engaged 22 in self-dealing or misappropriation? 23 24 Α No. 25 Do you know how any of them engaged in self-

dealing and misappropriation? 2 А No. Okay. So as far as you're concerned, there's 3 0 -- was no self-dealing or misappropriation from the defendants in the lawsuit? 6 I don't -- I'm not the one who has the 7 evidence for that. 8 So do you believe -- okay, so you put your name on a lawsuit where you accused all of those 10 individuals of self-dealing and misappropriation. 11 you sit here today, do you have any evidence that any of 12 them engaged in self-dealing or misappropriation? 13 Α Self-dealing. 14 All right. How about Dave Gordon? Because 15 you just told me earlier that he did. 16 А Yes. So how did he engage in self-dealing or 17 18 L misappropriation? I'm sorry. I'm not prepared to answer that 19 20 today. 21 Okay. We'll get to that another day, then. Q The misappropriation about facts relating to the South 22 Florida Water Management District. How did the 23 l defendants misrepresent that? 24 I don't have that. 25 Α



1 Do you have any recollection of it whatsoever? 0 2 I recollect discussions about it. I don't 3 recollect -- I don't have the documented facts on that. Well, I'm not asking for documents. What do 4 you recall? Why did you sue people for misrepresenting material facts regarding compliance to the South Florida 7 Water Management District? What do you remember as you 8 sit here today? I don't recall specifics. I recall 9 discussions. I recall Mr. Gordon's name, being that 10 11 which signed off on things related to that. I don't --I'm not prepared to answer that. Other than when you 12 say I sued, I sued, I sued. I was one of number of --13 whoever the Jane and Joe Does are, I'm one of who 14 15 provided -- apparently provided evidence to Mr. Burtoff. Right. But you're the only plaintiff now, 16 0 correct? 17 Α To the best of my knowledge, yes. 18 Okay. So are there some things in this 19 0 lawsuit that probably -- well, strike that. We'll get 20 21 to it later. Okay. You -- in this suit, it says the defendants engaged in misrepresentation to the 22 directors' and officers' insurance carrier. How did 23 that happen? 24 25 Α I'm sorry, ask that again.

1	Q Sure. It says that the defendants engaged in
2	misrepresentation of material facts, pertinent to the
3	directors' and officers' insurance carrier. What do you
4	know about that?
5	A I'm not prepared to answer that today. I
6	again, I'd have to go back and look at notes on that
7	case.
8	Q So you have to go back and look at all of your
9	notes on that flash drive, right?
10	A To find to look for the insurance, the
11	reason for the insurance. I do remember that I had an
12	opinion, when the board announced this new legal gap
13	fund policy that they had purchased, I remember
14	commenting that I wanted to find out from the insurance
15	company if there were any misrepresentations on the
16	application. I wanted to know what the questions were
17	on the application. I have not ever received that
18	information.
19	Q So you don't know whether there were
20	misrepresentations or not?
21	A Not factually yet, no.
22	Q Okay. There's a claim that the defendants
23	were negligent and reckless in the maintenance and
24	repair of association property. How so?
25	A I believe that that refers to the sidewalks

1	repair situation that came up.
2	Q What else?
3	A I believe that part of that was that the
4	replacement of the dock was unnecessary, had the dock
5	been maintained properly along the way.
6	Q What did you do to make sure that the dock got
7	maintained as a board member?
8	A What did I do?
9	Q Yeah.
10	A I don't recall it ever becoming a subject
11	while I was a board member.
12	Q Were you concerned about the maintenance of
13	the dock while you were a board member?
14	A Specifically, no.
15	Q So do you feel that you did something wrong by
16	not making sure that the dock got maintained as when
17	you were a board member?
18	A It's a possibility.
19	Q Okay. What conflict of interests were failed
20	to be disclosed by any of the board members?
21	A The first and foremost is that Mr. Gordon had
22	two separate contracts with Orlando Law Group, one
23	personally, to represent him in all matters related to
24	the association. And the second was with Orlando Law
25	Group representing the association. The second conflict



1	was Mr. Gordon's personal assistant, Deborah Lapin was
2	also apparently handling the invoicing for a vendor for
3	the HOA. GL Brothers Outdoor, I believe, is the name of
4	that group. Those are the two I can think of off the
5	top of my head, without going and looking at notes. But
6	it was Mr. Gordon who insisted on a conflict of interest
7	policy and disclosure. And when we had the meeting
8	about the conflict of interest, Mr. Gordon did not
9	disclose that. And over the years that he has been on
10	the board and has been asked specifically about his
11	history with Orlando Law Group, his response has always
12	been that Jennifer Englert handled some estate work for
13	him prior to his being on the board.
14	O What you said there's two contracts, that

What -- you said there's two contracts, that 15 Dave Gordon has a contract with the Orlando Law Group for what?

For representation in all matters regarding Α 18 the association.

- With him and the Orlando Law Group only?
- Yes. Yes. 20 Α
- Have you seen this contract? 21 Q
- 22 Yes. Α
- 23 When have you seen it? Q
- 24 I have a copy of it. Α
- 25 So are you talking about the HOA contract? Q



16

17

19

REPORTING COMPANY MILESTONE

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

1	A No, that's a separate contract.
2	Q Okay. I'll be asking you for that because
3	I've never seen it. Now Deb Lapin invoicing for a
4	vendor. How is first of all, how is that a conflict
5	of interest?
6	A Mr. Gordon's personal assistant was providing
7	invoices to the HOA on behalf of an HOA vendor who was
8	not registered with the HOA at the time, who did not
9	produce a business license under Mr. Gordon's own vendor
10	policy, produced an insurance policy under a name
11	different from the tax document provided.
12	Q Did they actually do the work that they were
13	paid for?
14	A I have no idea.
15	Q How is that a conflict of interest, what
16	you're telling me?
17	A A board member's personal assistant, being the
18	invoicing person for an HOA vendor, where the vendor has
19	violated the Association's vendor policy and the board
20	violated the Association's vehicor policy and the board
-	member did not disclose to the membership that his
21	
	member did not disclose to the membership that his
21	member did not disclose to the membership that his assistant was also the invoicing person for this vendor.



Q

25

All right. You have no evidence that they

```
were paid for work they didn't do, correct?
 2
              I'm sorry, ask that again.
 3
              You have no evidence that this vendor got paid
   for -- wrongfully paid -- paid for something they didn't
 5
   do, or overpaid or anything like that, right?
             Correct. I do not know.
 6
 7
                   The unknown person, the John Doe who's a
             OK.
   defendant, did you try to find out who they were?
 8
        Α
 9
             No.
10
              Do you have any idea why there's an unknown
   defendant at this point?
11
        Α
              No.
12
              There were other board members during this
13
14
   time period that are not defendants in the case, right?
15
        Α
              There were other board members during this
16
   time that were not defendants. I believe so. Yes.
             Why were they not sued?
17
        0
        Ά
             I don't know.
18
19
             Did you have any say on who was sued in this
   case?
20
             No. I expressed objections to a couple of
21
        Α
   people that were originally named, and Mr. Burtoff
22
23
   removed those.
24
        Q
             Who were they?
25
        Α
             Bob Schoneman. And Mike McCauley.
```



```
1
             Mike McCauley is a defendant -- in the first
        0
   case. You are aware of that, right?
           I thought he was taken off.
             Okay. Anyone else you expressed an objection
 4
 5
   to?
             Not that I can recall at this moment.
 6
 7
             Why did you have an objection to Mike McCauley
   being included?
8
             I did not feel that he had been on the board
 9
        Α
   long enough to have done any wrongdoing at the time.
10
11
             Okay. Would you agree that somebody would
   actually need to participate in board meetings in order
12
   to be sued by yourself?
13
             Yes.
14
        A
             Okay. Anybody else that you recall being
15
16
   mentioned that you specifically vetoed?
             T do not recall at this time.
17
             Okay. There -- was Jim Timko mentioned?
18
        Q
        Α
             I don't remember.
19
20
             Okay. How about Sarah Crawford?
             I don't remember.
21
        Α
             How far back does this lawsuit, like from what
22
   years does this lawsuit cover, the allegations in here?
23 l
24
   How far back do we go?
25
        Α
             I'm not sure. I don't know.
```

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

Well, in your mind, when do the problems 1 0 begin, that made you want to file this case? Probably 2016. 3 The annual meeting that was held on October 4 22, 2019, you believe that there were -- how many people 5 do you think that were at that meeting? 6 Oh gosh, 100 plus. I don't know. 7 Α Do you think there were over 200? 8 Inclusive of proxies or in person? 9 10 Your complaint says that there were over 200 voting members at the meeting attending. 111 That would have included proxies. 12 Α Do know if the Jane and Joe Doe were at the 13 0 meeting? 14 15 Α I do not know. Okay, Mr. Burtoff wasn't at the meeting, 16 0 17 right? I don't know. Α 18 You don't remember if he was there or not? 19 0 I don't remember. 20 Α Okay. You believe that the HOA board members 21 were holdovers in the years that there were not an 22 I 231 election, correct? 24 Α Correct. 25 Did you, since the DBR -- DBPR ruled that an

election was not necessary, if there were -- as long as you had the exact number of people running, that there were the number of open seats. Did you agree with that?

A I don't think that's what they ruled.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Form.

Q What do you think they ruled?

A That if there were the same number of seats available that there were candidates, you still had to hold an election meeting and take nominations from the floor.

Q Your initial belief was that if -- that everybody was a holdover, if they weren't elected in an official meeting with a guorum, correct?

A If they had served out their elected term, if they had served out the three years in which they were duly elected and there was not another election, then yes, they would become holdover board members.

Q Okay. You will -- you -- in this complaint, it says that they -- that the board members included at least -- breached their fiduciary duty by a variety of different things. The first one is an unapproved dock project. So let's talk about the dock. Do you believe that the HOA did not have to maintain the dock?

A No.

Q All right. Do you agree the dock had to be --



1

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

231

24

25

1	go ahead.	
2	A	The HOA needed to be maintaining the dock.
3	Q	Okay. Do you agree that the dock project was
4	delayed be	cause of people, including Bruce Burtoff,
5	making com	plaints regarding it to different agencies
6	that were	going to allow the permit to go through?
7	A	I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
8	Q	Sure. Do you have any idea how long the dock
9	project wa	s in process?
10	A	No, I do not.
11	Ω	Okay. Do you have any knowledge regarding how
12	or why it	might have been delayed?
13	A	No, I do not.
14	Q	Okay. Do you have any knowledge about the
15	price of l	umber going up during the pandemic?
16	A	Only what I've read in news stories.
17	Q	Okay. So you have no idea regarding why the
18	dock proje	ct took as long as it did?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q.	And you have no idea regarding why the price
21	of that mi	ght have gone up?
22	A	Correct.
23	Q	Have you seen the bids for the dock project?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Okay. Have you haven't taken the chance to



1	review them?
2	A I have not received them.
3	Q Is it your testimony that nobody has received
4	those bids?
5	A That is not my testimony. I have not received
6	those bids. I have not seen it.
7	Q You said that the board also allowed
8	unapproved management contracts. Tell me about that
9	A Access management was hired without board
LO	discussion, without open discussion. And all of a
11	sudden, we were just informed by Mr. Smith and Mr.
12	Gordon that we were hiring this Access Management
ι3	Company to replace, I believe it was Artemis at the
1.4	time.
1.5	Q Okay. You don't recall seeing the contract
16	before it was signed, or any discussion about a
17	potential change in management company when you were on
18	the board?
L 9	A There was a discussion in a closed board
20	meeting that they were going to hire Access Management.
21	They being Steve and Dave.
22	Q Do you remember John Dick being involved?
23	A I remember a conversation with John Dick when
24	I said we had to go out for bids. And John Dick said
25	that he had taken the quote that they had from Access

Management, put it on an old RFP form. And if anybody asked, they were just going to use that. But I don't 2 believe he was involved in the -- in interviewing or 3 investigation or search for, I don't know. 5 Okay. Do you know where Access Management came from? 6 7 Α I do not. Now the landscaping project, do you take issue 8 0 with the landscaping that was redone at North Shore? What landscaping project? 10 11 It's in the lawsuit. 12 Α I don't know. 13 What landscaping project do you take issue with as a breach of fiduciary duty? 15 Α I did not. That would not have been one of the things I provided to Mr. Burtoff. 16 Okay. So do you have any issue with how the 17 18 L board handled landscaping? I have not looked into it, so I can't tell you 19 Α whether I do or don't. 20 Okay. How about the dog park project? 21 22 What about the dog park project? 23 That's also in the lawsuit as a reason why you believe the board breached its fiduciary duty. So you 24 tell me. 25 l

1	A Again, I was not involved in that part of the
2	complaint.
3	Q Okay. Did you read this complaint before it
4	got filed?
5	A The first complaint? Yes.
6	Q Yeah. Did you agree with everything in here?
7	A I agreed with the parts that I provided to Mr.
8	Burtoff.
9	Q Okay. And the only way we're going to be able
10	to see that is by looking at the documents that you have
11	gathered together, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. What issue do you take with how the
14	board handled gate violations?
15	A What issue do I take?
16	Q Yeah.
17	A That they were putting up the same arms that
1.8	had been knocked down, but charging people for a new
L 9	arm. That the gates have malfunctioned frequently, that
20	there has I just take a lot of issues with the gate
21	issues.
22	Q Did you watch any of the videos of people who
23	had gate violations to see why they were being violated?
24	A Yes.
25	Q How many?
- 1	

1	A Five or six, probably.
2	Q Which ones?
3	A I don't remember.
4	Q When did you see them?
5	A I don't remember when. I went would go
6	down to the office and ask to look at a particular one
7	that nobody knew was mine. But when I saw it, I went
8	down to the office to look, it was my guest. And I paid
9	for the damage to the car that came in behind my guest
10	privately.
11	Q And who did you watch the videos with?
12	A Amber I can't remember her last name.
13	Q Okay. Do you have any idea what percentage of
14	the gate violations are commercial vehicles as opposed
15	to people, either residents or guests of residents?
16	A I do not.
17	Q Do you not recall having a discussion in board
18	meetings regarding how much the fines would be for
19	hitting a gate arm?
20	A I do.
21	Q Do you remember them being being prorated?
22	A I do not recall.
23	Q Okay. Do you remember the discussion where it
24	was discussed that hits would weaken the hardware and
25	after a hit or two, everything would need to be

1	replaced?
2	A I do not recall that.
3	Q Okay. So do you believe that the association
4	should not have been fining people who hit gates?
5	A That is not what I believe.
6	Q Okay. Do you believe that doing fining
7	people for hitting the gates would have been a breach of
8	the fiduciary duty of the board members?
9	A It depends on the amount and whether a
10	replacement had to be done or whether they put up the
۱1	old arm and how much it was.
12	Q Okay. So how much do you think was
13	appropriate?
L 4	A I don't have a dollar amount, but if you put
L 5	up the same arm that just was knocked off, and you
۱6	charged somebody for a full new arm, I don't believe
ا 7	that that is fair.
8	Q Okay. How did that damage the association?
19	A I don't have an answer for that question.
20	Q Okay. When did the association engage in
21	selective and basically retribution for over-citation of
22	members' homes for petty yard infractions?
23	A Ask that again, please?
24	Q Yes. Do you believe that the compliance



25

committee basically committed retribution on certain

1	people for petty yard infractions?
2	A I don't think the word retribution fits in
3	there. Do I think that the compliance committee issued
4	petty infractions? Yes.
5	Q Okay. When you were on the ARB, did you think
6	that anything the ARB committee did was petty?
7	A Not in its decisions, no.
8	Q Okay. So do you believe that anything that
9	the ARB committee did while at least you were on it and
10	were able to go to all the hearings had any issue
11	whatsoever?
12	A I believe there were some things that the ARB
13	members discussed that may have been at odds, but they
14	always resolved the issues, but not in final policy or
15	determinations.
16	Q Okay. So did you take issue with the
17	compliance committee fines? Not any specific fines,
18	just the chart of fines that the community had.
19	A I'm sorry. Will you please ask that again?
20	Q Sure. You said you agreed that there were
21	some petty yard infractions in the community.
22	A Yeah.
23	Q So my question is is do you believe that a



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

24 chart of fines which were applied to the community, that

there was anything on there that was a petty yard

1	infraction?
2	A Well, I would have to see what the infractions
3	were to remember whether I thought they were petty or
4	not.
5	Q Okay. So then off the top of your head as you
6	sit here today, what petty yard infractions did you not
7	agree with?
8	A I don't recall.
9	O Okav. So when you when that was included
10	in the lawsuit, was that something that you wanted
11	included or was that something that was related to the
12	Jane and Joe Doe?
13	A I don't recall making that an issue, so I
14	don't believe it was me.
15	Q Okay. And as you sit here today, do you
16	remember any of your friends or neighbors, do you
17	believe had a petty yard infraction that they were fined
18	for?
19	A Yes. Oh, that they were fined for? No.
20	Q Okay. Who were when you said yes, who were
21	you thinking of? Apparently they didn't have a fine,
22	but who were the individuals you were thinking of?



Α

23

24

25

they lived here, they received a violation for their

grass. They were from overseas, and they didn't know

My neighbors had moved in, and the first week

```
how to deal with it. So they paid a lot of money to
 2
   have their entire yard resodded.
 3
             And who was that?
             That was Bruce and Shelly Zimmerman. And I
 4
   think violating someone the first week they live here
 5
   rather than a nice conversation about how to manage it
 6
 7
   was pretty petty.
             Okay. Was that worth filing a lawsuit over?
 8
             Oh, no. That was way, way before.
 9
   in like 2006.
10
             Oh, okay. So these people weren't even on the
11
   board then?
121
13
        Α
             No.
             Okay. So do you think that -- so basically
14
        0
   you're going way back -- strike that.
16
             You asked me a question. I answered it.
             Yeah, yeah. No. I appreciate it. I
17
   appreciate it. I appreciate the completeness. Do you
18
   believe that the board failed to maintain the entryway
19
   monuments?
20
             Entryway monuments? No. I don't know. That's
21
        Α
22
   not part of what I provided.
23
             Okay. How about the sprinkler system?
24
             I don't recall providing anything about the
25
   sprinkier system.
```

1	Q Okay. Did you provide anything about the
2	dock?
3	A Did I provide anything what?
4	Q Well, I mean, there's many allegations in here
5	regarding the dock. Was that something that was a
6	concern to you? Or was that something that was a
7	concern to the Jane and Joe Doe plaintiffs?
8	A Oh, it was a concern to me because in the
9	board meetings when they talked about the cost and then
10	they talked about the cost going up again, and then it
11	went up to like \$240,000 in one of the meetings that Mr.
12	Gordon specifically stated, that put it at a dollar
13	amount that required a membership vote.
14	Q Do you know how much the price ended up being?
15	A I do not know.
16	Q Okay. Do you and you've already testified,
17	you don't recall or you have no knowledge about why the
18	price went up?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. It says that the landscaping is in need
21	of up to \$500,000 in repairs. Did that number come from
22	you?
23	A No.
24	Q In this lawsuit, the first one, how much money
25	were you seeking for the association?



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

I think it was around \$500,000. 1 Α How were those damages calculated? 2 0 I'd have to look at my notes and go back and 3 Α look at the lawsuit. I don't recall. Okay. I mean, you already told me about the 5 self-dealing contracts that you believe Dave Gordon had, right, just the two, right? I didn't call those self-dealing. I call them 8 conflict of interest. 9 Okay. Well, in the complaint, it says that he 10 repeatedly engaged in clandestine and self-dealing 11 contracts with contractors and consultants. Did that 12 13 come from you? That came from someone else. No. 14 15 Okay. What non-compliance of regulatory 0 16 requirements did the board engage in? 17 Can you define that for me, please? 18 It's right in your complaint. So noncompliance of regulatory requirements. 19 l So off the top of my head, I can't think of 20 what that would be. Again, I'd have to go back and look 21 at my notes. I'm sorry. I'm going to turn the sound 22 off on my phone, because it keeps beeping. 23 Okay. 24 0 25 Α Okay.

1	Q Okay. The complaint also says that the budget
2	increased 35 percent year over year. What years did it
3	increase by 35 percent?
4	A That was not part of my input, so I don't
5	know.
6	Q Okay. Did you have any concern about budget
7	increases?
8	A Yes. I didn't
9	Q But not enough to go ahead.
10	A I didn't provide any evidence to the budget.
11	Just that the increases were bothersome to me.
12	Q Do you know how much the increases actually
13	were?
14	A I do not know. I know that for this year it
15	was more than the statutorily allowed 15 percent. I
16	think it was like 15.3 or 15 point something.
17	Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge about the
18	reserve study that was done?
19	A Only the copy I received as a board member.
20	Q Well, you weren't a board member when the
21	reserve study was done? Correct?
22	A I was. There was a reserve study done while I
23	was a board member, yes.
24	Q Okay. That's something in 2018, you
25	believe there was a reserve study done?

```
1
             I don't know what year it was. I don't
        Α
   remember.
2
             Who --
 3
        0
             But I know that I was a board member when we
 4
   reviewed the last reserve study. Now what year that
 5
   was, I don't know, but I got it when I was a board
 6
   member for discussion by the board.
             Okay. Is it your memory that that was a full
8
        Q
   out reserve study or just basically an audit at the
9
10
   time?
             I believe it was the full reserve study.
        A
11
             Okay. So that's just your recollection?
12
        Q
13
             Yes.
        Α
                   Did North Shore get audited every year?
14
        0
             Okay.
15
             Every year?
        Α
16
             Yeah.
17
             I do not know. I don't know.
18
        Q
             Okay. So you don't recall that North Shore
   got audited, a full audit every year?
19
             I don't recall.
20
        Α
             Okay. So in here, in this complaint where it
21
   says there was no oversight of the homeowner's million
22
   dollar budget, do you believe that's true?
23
             I don't know. Those are not my words.
        Α
24
25
             Okay. Do you believe that the board diverted
```

hundreds of thousands of dollars on wasteful projects? 2 My personal opinion, yes. 3 0 Which ones? Well, the dock, for one. That would be the 4 most recent that I can think of. I can't think of 6 specifics for other projects. What was wasteful about the dock? 7 8 I believe that the stained glass gate was wasteful and unnecessary. 10 Stained glass, did you say? 11 Whatever that Heron design, fancy gate that 12 they put on the dock is. 1.3 0 Okay. I've only seen pictures of it. I was not part 14 15 of the group that investigated that. So the big deal to me on -- the big waste to me on that was the gate. 16 17 Q Okay. Do you have any idea what that gate cost? 18 19 Nope. Α Okay. And you haven't even gone down to look 20 21 at at the dock that you've made these allegations about? I went to the dock one time in 18 years. 22 23 Okay. Do you believe that the sprinklers in the association needed to be repaired? 24 25 I have no idea. Α



Okay. So nothing about the sprinklers would 1 0 be something that came from you? 2 3 Α Correct. How about remedial COVID protections for the 4 5 pool and gym? Do you believe that that was wasteful? I don't even know what those are. 6 7 Okay. So you don't have any evidence that the board spent 100,000 to 300,000 on COVID protections? 9 Correct. I don't. Α Okay. Do you have any evidence that they 10 spent over \$40,000 on pillar repairs? 11 I do not. 12 Α 13 Okay. Do you have any recollection of how much the landscaping contract was for the board each 15 year? I do not. I'd have to look at the old 16 17 budgets. 18 Okay. Do you agree that -- okay, strike that. Whatever year there was, what you believe was a reserves 19 study, do you agree that more money needed to be put in 20 reserves? 21 22 I don't recall. 23 Okay. Would you agree that expenses are going 24 up for things? 25 I have no idea. Α

1	Q Okay. We touched on this, but I just want to
2	make sure. Do you have any specific thing that you can
3	say now regarding the South Florida Water Management
4	District and the board mishandling anything?
5	A No.
6	Q Is there anything in your notes about that,
7	and you just might not recall it? Or is that just a
8	non-issue for you?
9	A Oh, it's not a non-issue for me, but I wasn't
LO	involved in any of that. So other than my listening to
۱1	Mr. Gordon talk about it, the board talk about it,
12	people in the community talking about it, I don't have
L 3	any fir <mark>sthand knowledge.</mark>
L 4	Q Okay. And who was involved in getting
. 5	information regarding that or anything else that you
l 6	weren't involved? You just told me a bunch of stuff you
17	weren't involved in. Who was?
8.	A Regarding what, the South Florida Water
19	Management District thing?
20	Q Yeah. Let's start with that one.
21	A Well, I know Mr. Gordon was.
22	Q No, people who helped compile information for
23	this lawsuit.
24	A Oh, I don't know.
25	Q Did you have any discomfort putting your name

```
on a lawsuit you don't know where all the information
 1
   came from?
 2
             Apparently not.
 3
             Okay. The CAM who worked and oversaw some
 4
   projects from Executive Management, an advisory service,
 5
   LLC, do you know who that is?
 7
             I'm sorry. What?
        A
 8
             All right, in your complaint, there's
        Q
   somebody, an executive management and advisory service
   who was paid by the association for about six months. Do
10
   you remember? Do you know anything about that?
11
             Only that it was announced that the board had
12
        Α
   hired an executive manager, and I thought that was a
13
   waste of money.
14
             Okay. Did you realize that she was a
15
   community association manager?
16
             She? I thought it was a guy. Wait, are you
17
   -- clearly I'm not understanding who you're talking
18
19
   about.
20
             Yeah. I mean, I think it's misnamed in the
             I think that's the problem. There's a name,
21
   lawsuit.
   John Daley, in here. Is that the person that you're
22
23
   thinking of?
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
             Okay. That's not actually the person who was
        0
```



1	hired. Carla Daley, do you remember her?	
2	A Nope. Never met her. I remember Mr. Gordon	
3	announcing hiring John Daley as an executive manager.	
4	Q Do you not recall Carla Daley participating in	
5	board meetings and talking about the landscaping project	
6	and other things which she did in open board meetings?	
7	A Not when I was on the board.	
8	Q That you would've attended as an attendee	
9	after you were on the board.	
10	A I do not recall that.	
11	Q Okay. Do you recall attending the budget	
12	meeting where she also spoke?	
13	A I have not attended a budget meeting.	
14	Q Okay. How about and I'm talking about the	
15	open	
16	A I'm sorry. I have not attended a budget	
17	meeting since I was on the board.	
18	Q So the open meetings that were open to	
19	homeowners, you did not attend regarding the budget?	
20	A Correct.	
21	Q Okay. Do you have any evidence of actually	
22	commingling of expenses for board members between the	
23	board and member individually in the HOA?	
24	A I'm not sure I understand the question.	
25	Q Okay. In the suit it says there was	



1 commingling of expenses between board members and the 2 What evidence do you have of that? 3 Α I don't believe I provided that information. Okay. So do you personally have any 4 5 information regarding that? No. 6 Α 7 Where did Mr. Gordon on at least one occasion 8 instruct an ARB request to be squashed? I am not aware of that 9 Α Okay. And you already testified that while 10 0 you were the ARB liaison, nothing untoward happened, 11 12 right? Not that I can recall. 13 Do you have any problem with board members 14 0 being involved in the compliance process? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 0 How so? I'd have to look it up, but I believe that the 18 statute says that board members nor their families nor 19 any, you know, household person is allowed to conduct 20 compliance inspections, but I'd have to look that up 21 22 again. So you took issue with a board member being 23 involved in any inspection, even if it was done by the 24 25 CAM?



Again, I have to look up the wording, but 1 Α specifically board member. 3 Are you aware of any board member who went out without either a compliance committee member or a member of the management company to do inspections? 6 Yeah. Prior to my knowledge or understanding of the statute at Mr. Gordon's instruction, I did. 8 0 When? I don't remember. It had to been in '17, '18 or early '19 of the Galeno property on Hart Branch 10 Circle. 11 Were there times when the board went out to 12 investigate a potential variance that they were going to 13 be asked to make? 14 Not that I'm aware of. 15 Α 16 You don't remember ever being asked to potentially give a variance? 17 L 18 A I don't recall. It's possible. I don't 19 recall. Okay. Why did you say that Dave Bauer was 20 beholden to Dave Gordon? 21 22 I don't believe I said that. Okay. So it says that in this complaint, but 23 24 that didn't come from you? 25 Α No.

```
Do you believe that Dave Bauer got some sort
 1
        0
   of benefit from being the chair of the compliance
   committee and volunteering so many hours of his time
   while he and his wife had cancer?
 5
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the form.
 6
        Α
             No.
 7
             Do you think Mr. Bauer benefited from being
   the chair of the compliance committee?
 9
        Α
             I'm sorry. I said no.
             Okay. Thanks. Did you think the gate
10
   violations themselves were harassment?
11
        Α
12
             No.
13
             Okay. Do you believe that the value of
   properties in North Shore have been diminished as a
14
   result of the issues that we've talked about so far in
15 l
16
   your deposition?
17
             The values? No.
             Okay. So in this complaint, it says that the
18
   real property values have been diminished in excess of
   $5 million. Do you have any idea where that number came
20
21 from?
22
        A
            No.
23
             Have property values in North Shore been going
24
   up the past couple years?
             I do not know.
25
        Α
```

```
So the -- the damage figuring in here of $6.2
 1
        0
   million in this lawsuit, do you have any idea where that
 3
   came from?
             It's not that total amount. No.
 4
             Okay. You told me you thought about half a
 5
   million?
 6
             I believe that what I provided toward the
 7
        Α
   lawsuit came to about 500,000, yes.
        0
            Okay. And how was the breakdown of that
10
   500,000?
             I'd have to go back and look at my notes.
11
12
   don't recall.
             Do you recall any of the things that even went
13
14
   into the budget?
             I do not. I'm sorry. I'd have to look at
15
        Α
16 notes.
             Okay. So the people who were sued, the
17
   defendants in this lawsuit, including John Dick, Steve
   Smith, and Dave Gordon, do you believe that they
19
   violated their duties the entirety of the time they were
20
21
   on the board?
             The entirety of the time they were on the
22
23 l
   board?
24
        Q
             Yes.
             I don't know how to answer that. I don't
25
        Α
```



```
believe that every single thing they did was a
   violation. I believe that -- I believe that the -- I
 2
   don't know how to answer that.
             Okay. Well, what is --
 4
 5
             Not every single thing they did was a
   violation, no.
             What time period does this complaint cover?
 7
        0
   Maybe that's -- that's probably a better question.
 8
             I guess my personal suspicions and starting to
 9
        Α
   ask questions started probably in early 2019.
10
             Okav.
                    Do you have any issue regarding --
11
   strike that. There's allegations in here that people
12
   were fined for compliance value violations so that they
13
   could not vote. Do you have any person that you can say
14
   received a compliance violation and then was not able to
15
16
   vote?
17
             Compliance violation? No.
             Okay. Okay. And so for the year of 2020,
18
        Q
   basically 2019, 2020, do you have any evidence that tens
19
   or scores of homeowners had their voting rights
20
   impaired?
21
22
        Α
             I'm sorry. Ask that one more time.
23
             Okay. And I'm just reading it verbatim.
24
   wouldn't have probably written it like this.
25
        Α
             Okay.
```



1	Q Tens or scores of homeowners have had their
2	voting rights impaired.
3	A I believe that's true.
4	Q How so?
5	A Well, I know, for example, that bylaws that
6	were passed improperly preclude me from running for the
7	board. I know that under I know that it appeared
8	that a lot of fines were issued in a very short period
9	of time from what I saw on social media, that people
10	were receiving fines right and left just before the
11	election. And if you have an outstanding fine, you
12	can't vote. It just seemed again, it appeared that
13	there was a lot of activity at a time right before an
14	election that would've precluded people from voting.
15	Q Do you know any person actually the name of
16	anyone who was precluded from voting because of a fine?
17	A Fine. I personally do not.
18	Q Okay. And then you said that the bylaws were
19	passed improperly so that you're not able to run that.
20	Isn't really part of the question, but I do want to talk
21	about it. Why were the bylaws done improperly, in your
22	opinion?
23	A The bylaws were changed without proper
24	notification, dissemination to the membership, open



25

meetings for discussion. All of a sudden, we just were

1	informed the bylaws had been changed and recorded.
2	Q You don't recall that the bylaws of North
3	Shore allowed the board to change the bylaws in that
4	manner?
5	A I know that the bylaws are allowed to be
6	changed by the board, but under Florida statute, there's
7	a certain process that they had to follow that they did
8	not. And do I know it off the top of my head? No. I
9	would have to go back and look at the statutes.
10	Q Okay. Are you aware that the bylaws also
11	allowed the board members to replace a board member when
12	they resign or leave, for whatever reason?
13	A I, under legal counsel, would disagree with
14	that.
15	Q Okay. Are you paying Mr. Bertholf by the
16	hour?
17	A No.
18	Q Is it a contingency fee matter?
19	A No.
20	Q How is he being compensated?
21	A Retainer? I don't know. I don't know how it
22	is dwindling down at this point.
23	Q Are you solely responsible now that the other
24	two plaintiffs are not plaintiffs any longer?
25	A I presume so.

Okay. But is he billing by the hour then? 1 0 2 Α I have not received any other bills. 3 Q But that's your understanding of what's happening? 5 Α Yes. 6 Okay. Now we're going to talk about the amended complaint where you are the only plaintiff, 8 okay? 9 Okav. A Why was Steve Smith dropped? 10 He should not have been. I have to look. 11 Α So do you know who the defendants are anymore 12 13 l on this lawsuit? I would be the only -- oh, wait the defendant. 14 Α I have not --- I'm sorry. I have not read the amended 15 l 16 complaint, so no, I do not know. So you allowed your name to be on a complaint 17 and you didn't read it? 18 It's an amended complaint, correct? 19 Α 20 Yeah Uh-huh 0 No, I have not read it yet. 21 Α 22 Okay. The defendants now, the only board 23 members that are left are Dave Gordon and John Dick. Why 24 is only Dave Gordon and John Dick the defendants for board members? 25 L

1	A I have not had a chance to discuss any of this
2	with Mr. Burtoff. I don't know.
3	Q So was this done without your consent?
4	A No.
5	Q Okay. So you either would know about it, or
6	you wouldn't know about it. So did you know that
7	everybody was being dropped except for Dave Gordon and
8	John Dick?
9	A No.
10	Q Okay. So then it was done without your
11	consent?
12	A No.
13	Q How could it be done with your consent, yet
14	you say say you don't know about it?
15	A Mr. Burtoff called me, said he needed to file
16	an amended complaint and was I
17	MR. BERNSTEIN: I don't want you to di
18	Lynn, do not disclose any conversations
19	MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, Billy, I mean, I know we're
20	you don't have to tell me what he said to you,
21	and I really don't want to know that. So I just
22	suffice it to say that.
23	BY MS. ENGLERT:
24	Q Well, is today the first time you're finding
25	out that the only board members who are defendants are

```
Dave Gordon and John Dick?
 1
 2
             Yes.
        Α
             Okay. So did you agree -- so sitting here
 3
   today, do you agree that Steve Smith should have been
 5
   dropped?
 6
        A
             No.
 7
             How about Mike McCauley?
        0
 8
        Α
             Yes.
 9
             How about Randolph Pinna?
10
             Yes.
        Α
11
        Q
             how about Rob Taub?
12
        Α
             I don't know.
             Why don't you know?
13
        Q
             Mr. Taub has been on the board long enough now
14
        Α
   to have taken actions or prevented actions. So I --
15
   until I know what he has or has not done, I don't know
16
   that he should or should not have been dropped.
17
             Okay. So it was kind of -- it's your opinion
18
19
   that you're kind of guilty until proven innocent?
        Α
20
             No.
             But you, as you sit here today, do you know
21
   that Rob Taub did anything that is a violation of any
22
   fiduciary duty or anything that should have him be a
23
   defendant in this lawsuit?
24
25
        Α
             Yes.
```

2 A I don't know how to put it into words.

Behaviors and actions that he has taken as a board

4 member, of which I am aware? That I can't specify

5 without going back to notes and looking. But if he was

dropped, I was not aware of that. Should he have been?

Again, I don't know. I'd have to go look.

Q Okay. How about Burt Pearsall? Do you agree that he should have been dropped?

10 A Yes.

1

8

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q How about Dave Bauer?

12 A Yes.

Q There's no more --

A I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Back up. No, Dave

15 Bauer should not have been dropped.

Q Okay. But you've already told me everything that you could think of that you believe that he might have done wrong, that would require him to be included in this lawsuit, right?

Yes.

Α

Q Okay. How about John Doe? That first, that got dropped. So do you feel that everybody -- or are there some other people that you think still should be included in this lawsuit?

25 A Other than Steve Smith, not with certainty.



1	Q Okay. So in regards to any of the allegations		
2	that are in this new complaint, since you haven't read		
3	it, you don't know whether they're valid or not, right?		
4	A I don't know that, correct.		
5	Q Is there a reason you didn't read it before		
6	you allowed it to be filed?		
7	A I did not have time.		
8	Q Why haven't you read it since it was filed?		
9	A I have not had time.		
10	Q Have you told me all of the knowledge that you		
11	have regarding any improper personal benefit that any of		
12	the board members have received?		
13	A I don't believe I've talked about any personal		
14	benefit. I don't I don't have evidence to personal		
15	benefit.		
16	Q Okay. So you do not have any evidence of any		
17	personal benefit that a board member has received?		
18	A By my definition of personal benefit, no.		
19	Q Have you how do you define personal		
20	benefit?		
21	A Receiving favors or compensation in exchange		
22	for something.		
23	Q Okay. How do you recall from your time on		
24	the board how checks were signed for expenses of the		
25	association?		



1	A I do not.
2	Q Do you believe that checks were signed by
3	board members?
4	A I believe it's possible. Yes.
5	Q Was it your recollection that the community
6	association management company paid all the bills of the
7	association?
8	A I'm sorry, ask that again, please.
9	Q Yeah. Was it your recollection that the
0 ا	community association management company provided
1.1	that they paid all the bills, basically?
L2	A I would say the great majority, yes. I
.3	believe that there were some checks that were, or could
. 4	be, signed separately by one of the board members or
. 5	however it was set up, separately from the association
. 6	management company. But I believe the management
.7	company paid the lion's share of the bills. Yes.
. 8	Q Okay. So can you say, as you sit here today,
9	for sure that checks could be signed separately by board
20	members, not through the association management company,
21	or you just think that that's possible?
22	A No, I can say that with certainty.
23	Q Okay. So how many times did that happen while
Λ	von were on the hoard?

Α

25

Oh, I don't know.

1	Q Do you have any instance that you can say for		
2	sure where that occurred?		
3	A I can't. I recall Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith,		
4	and I don't recall who, talking about they could sign a		
5	check or Lisa could bring a check down for them to sign.		
6	And I don't remember what it was for or why, but I do		
7	remember that I was kind of surprised that, you know,		
8	that we could in fact sign checks to pay for stuff.		
9	Q Okay. But still, the check had to come from		
10	the manager, correct?		
11	A From what?		
12	Q From the community association manager.		
13	A I don't know. I presume.		
14	Q How many years did the association negligently		
15	fail to oversee and properly conduct annual meetings and		
16	elections?		
17	A Well, at least since 2016, that I can think		
18	of.		
19	Q How about how many years did the		
20	association negligently fail to oversee and properly		
21	conduct budgeting?		
22	A I don't recall.		
23	Q How about how many years did they fail to		
24	oversee and properly conduct daily operations and		
25	maintenance?		



1	A Not sure I understand that question. How many
2	years? I don't think it's a matter of years. I think
3	it's a matter of incidents.
4	Q Okay. What incidents can you recall where the
5	association negligently failed to oversee and conduct
6	the daily operations and maintenance of the association?
7	A With maintenance, the sidewalks and the dock
8	are the two issues that come to mind.
9	Q Do you believe that the community association
10	management company had any responsibility for that?
11	A I'm sorry. Will you ask that again?
12	Q Sure.
13	A Do I believe
14	Q That the community association management
15	company had any responsibility for overseeing the daily
16	operations and maintenance of the association?
17	A Yes.
18	Q So what part did the community association
19	manager have in problems relating to the daily
20	operations or maintenance of the association?
21	A Well, daily operations would be just what
22	daily operations are. Addressing members who come in to
23	ask questions or need something. You know, daily
24	operations is daily operations. Maintenance I know

25

that the association manager -- I wouldn't call them

inspections, but is just observant of what is going on in the community, brings it to the attention of the maintenance contracted person, or you know, whoever was supposed to be handling things, or the board.

- Do you believe that it was the responsibility 0 of the community association manager to inspect the dock and the sidewalks?
  - Α I don't know.

5

6

8

9

10

11

121

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 l

20 l

21

23 l

24

- 0 Do you remember ever --
- Α We had contracts for landscaping and maintenance and all kinds of things. So was it the association manager's duty? No. It would've been the people who were under the contract.
  - The people who what?
- Like the landscaping company, they should have been the ones who were inspecting landscaping and making reports to the association manager or the board. Whoever the maintenance contract was with for common areas, that would be the organization that should have been looking at and relaying information to the manager.
- Do you recall the -- well, who was the company 0 that had maintenance -- who was the maintenance company that did maintenance throughout the community?
  - Α I don't recall.
- 25 Do you recall there being one ever?



```
1
              I thought that there was for a very long time.
   I don't know that -- I don't know if it stopped.
   don't know, you know. In the early days, yes.
             Okay. Do you recall that the community
        0
   association contract with the HOA required them to do
   inspections of the property?
 7
             I do not recall.
 8
        0
             Okay. Can you say for sure that that's what
   it says or what it doesn't say?
10
        Α
             I cannot say. I don't know.
11
             Okay. In regards to the sidewalks, do you
12
   remember there being many discussions regarding the
   sidewalks in board meetings?
13
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
             Do you remember there being multiple attempts
   to find a vendor who would even come out and inspect
16
   them?
17 l
18
             I do not.
             Do you remember there being issues with
19
   finding anyone to do the work?
201
             I do not.
21
        Α
             Do you know who finally oversaw the sidewalk
22
        0
23
   project?
             I do not.
24
        Α
25
             So you just don't recall any of that ever
        Q
```



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

being discussed in a meeting?

2

5

6

10

11 l

12

13 l

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 l

24

25

A I recall the sidewalks discussion and whose responsibility it was to maintain them. I believe I was probably off the board by the time it got further than that.

Q Okay. The complaint says that Dave Gordon and John Dick were improperly directors since at least 2017 and directly controlled the entirety of the association. Do you agree with that?

A I would replace Mr. Dick's name with Mr. Smith's name, and the years would be different.

Q Yeah, because Steve Smith had nothing to do with the board in 2017 or 2018, correct?

A Correct. He was brought on in December of '18, I believe.

Q And we already talked about whether you had any evidence of self-dealing or unjust enrichment, and you told me about that. What debts did the association pay that were not actual debts of the association?

A I don't have anything to do with that.

Q Is there some stuff in this amended complaint that might have come from a different person, since the Jane and Joe Doe aren't plaintiffs anymore?

A I do not know:

O Okay. Is the association on the brink of



## 1 insolvency? I don't know. 2 Okay. So it says it in here. Do you have any 3 evidence that the association is on the brink of insolvency? 5 I A I do not. 6 Okay. Do you believe that the association is 8 on the brink of insolvency? Α I don't know. 9 Have you looked at the budget? The last one? 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. Do you recall how much was left over in 12 0 13 surplus? 14 Α I do not. 15 Okay. Do you have any evidence that there's Q going to be a special assessment? No. 17 Α Okay. Has the association canceled every 18 19 annual election for the last five years? A No. 20 21 Do you know why the other allegations were dropped out of this complaint? I'd have to read the complaint to know what 23 Α was kept and what was not. 24 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. All right. I have some 25



1	documents to screen share now. Matthew, I could
2	do you want to do it by screen share or I could e-
3	mail them over and you could scroll through them
4	yourself if you want, if you've got it looks like
5	that's working pretty well, but I'll do it however
6	you guys wish.
7	MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm fine via you sharing it at
8	this point. Depends on Lynn's preference.
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't care.
10	MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, it's either we would look
11	at it on the screen together or I would just send it
12	over to you and you would take a look, scrolling the
13	way you've done, the way that you did the first set
14	that we looked at. I will
15	MR. BERNSTEIN: That's fine with me. We'll try
16	that.
17	MS. ENGLERT: All right. Yeah. To me, that's
18	usually easier. I'm going start with the documents
19	that you sent over as part of the duces tecum, which
20	I think she has already.
21	THE WITNESS: This is what Matt sent to me this
22	morning?
23	MS. ENGLERT: I assume so. Is that right,
24	Matt?
25	MR BERNSTEIN: Correct



1 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. 2 MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes. MS. ENGLERT: Okay. Let me know when you've 3 4 got it up. 5 THE WITNESS: Can you give me a minute to make it searchable? 6 7 MS. ENGLERT: Oh, well, yeah, take your time. I 8 mean, we're going to scroll through them, but you do 9 whatever you need to do to be comfortable. 10 THE WITNESS: Is it possible we could take a 11 short break? I would like to get something -- some 12 water. MS. ENGLERT: Sure. 13 COURT REPORTER: All right. Let me get you 14 guys off record. 15 (OFF RECORD) 16 COURT REPORTER: All right. Back on record. 17 BY MS. ENGLERT: 18 Okay. So do you have the documents up now 19 that are part of your duces tecum ---20 21 Α I do. 22 -- response? Okay. We're going to start with the first page, which says at the top, Lynn Sandford 23 posted to North Shore at Lake Hart Neighbors. What is 24 25 North Shore at Lake Hart Neighbors?



It's a Facebook group page. 1 2 How many different Facebook groups do you post to regarding North Shore? 3 Just this one. 4 Α 5 Okay. And who is the person who started this 6 Facebook group? 7 Α I'm not sure. I know the two administrators are Angie Detwiler and Kelly Clavijo. 9 Okay. Have there been any other administrators for this Facebook page besides those two that you were aware of? I don't know. Α 12 Okay. Do you know when it started? 13 I don't know. 14 Α 15 Why do you only post to this one? There are no other community social media 16 Α 17 pages of which I'm aware. Okay. So you're not aware, you're not aware 18 of the one that one that belongs to the HOA that's been in use for probably like ten years. 20 I'm aware of it, but you can't post to that. 21 Α 22 You can read what the community posts, but you can't --23 there's no, to the best of my understanding, there's no open dialogue. I don't know what the right wording is. 24 25 Okay. When was North Shore at Lake Hart Q

1	Neighbors started?		
2	A I don't know.		
3	Q Okay. Did you request that Kelly Clavijo and		
4	Angie Detwiler start this page?		
5	A No.		
6	Q Do you know why this page was started?		
7	A I do not. I know there was a previous page, I		
8	believe, run by another resident. I can't think of his		
9	name. And I know he shut it down, and the next thing I		
10	know is I got an invitation to this.		
11	Q Okay. Did you ever post on one that was		
12	moderated by Joann Smith or Janice Cherenzia?		
13	A No.		
14	Q Did they help you did they provide you with		
15	any documents that you used to be the basis for the		
16	lawsuit that Mr. Burtoff is representing you in?		
17	A No.		
18	Q How about Rose Meister? Did she provide you		
19	with any documentation?		
20	A No.		
21	Q Do you know if Rose Meister provided anybody		
22	documentation that helped form the basis for the		
23	lawsuit?		
24	A I do not know.		
25	Q Okay. How about Janice Cherenzia or or Joanne		



## 1 Smith? 2 I do not know. 3 Okay. All right. I want to go to the fourth 4 paragraph. One, two, three, four. Okay. 5 Okay. It says you were a whistleblower at a 6 Q 7 public board meeting. Which one was that? 8 The August 27, 2019 meeting. 9 Okay. What did you do to initiate the recall 10 procedure? What do you mean, what did I do? 11 In your own words, you said you initiated the 12 0 13 l recall procedure. How did you do that? 14 I spoke with the attorney who's helping us with the recall. She gave us instructions on what to 16 I talked with community neighbors and asked if they would help. And then started -- I got the recall 17 petition form from the state. I don't remember exact 18 19 chronology, but that was pretty much it. I sent out a postcard to the community, saying that we were going to 20 be doing a recall, please join us. I don't recall exactly what the postcard said at this point. 22 23 What else did you do? Q. Went door to door, getting signatures for the 24

recall.

25

When we had enough signatures, we filed the

1 petition on the HOA. 2 Did you do it twice? 3 Α Yes. 4 Okay. Why did you do it twice? 5 The first petition, we had all of the current 6 board members and all of the proposed replacement 7 members on one form. And then I believe, I don't remember who, but somebody resigned and that was going to pretty much negate the whole petition for everybody 10 to be on one page. So it was suggested that we have one 11 board member per form, so that if anybody else resigned, it didn't negate the rest of the forms. And we went back and got those who had already signed to re-sign and 131 14 continued moving forward to get new signatures on the 15 l new format. Okay. Who was on the leadership team who 16 assisted with the strategy for the recall, beside 17 181 yourself? 19 I'm sorry. The leadership team, what's that? 20 Who was the leadership team of the recall effort? 21 The members that were listed for the recall? 22 Who was that? Dr. Mathison, Kurt Kuhl, Kelly Clavijo, 231 Will -- Will. Oh my gosh. What's Will's last name? I 24 25 can't even think of his last name at the moment.



1.0		
1	Tom Garland.	
2	Q	Were they the block captains?
3	А	No.
4	Q	Who were the block captains?
5	А	I honestly don't know. I didn't coordinate
6	the block	captains.
7	Q	Who was in charge of coordinating them?
8	А	I don't remember. I don't know.
9	Q	Okay. You it says after you initiated the
10	recall ef	fort, other members of the community assisted.
11	Who were those? Is there anybody different than what	
12	who you'v	e told me?
13	A	Yeah. Gosh, probably well, whoever the
14	block captains were, and I don't know who they were.	
15	There were probably 30 or 50 block captains. I mean,	
16	there were some, I believe, that handled two or three	
17	streets.	Some only handled one street. Yeah. I don't
18	know.	
19	Q	Did you collect any recall ballots at your
20	house?	
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Do you remember how many different people did
23	that?	
24	A	I do not.
25	Q	Did you compile the package? Were you the one

who sent it in? 1 Yes. Well, no. The attorney sent it in, but 2 Α 3 I compiled it. Yes. Okay. Did you recruit any of the people to go 4 door to door to solicit the homeowners? 5 I'm sorry, ask that again? 6 Sure. Did you recruit any of the people who 7 went door to door? 8 I think I recruited all of them. Well, no. So 9 10 I recruited the core team, and then they recruited people. So yes I did, because all of the core team did 11 12 go door to door 13 Okav. How did the people who went door to door get the information that they said to people 15 regarding the board? I don't think we said anything really 16 regarding the board, other than we're here, we are 17 18 performing a recall. If you are of a mind, please sign 19 the petition so that we can recall the board. Would they go back to homes over and over and 20 0 over again if the person didn't sign the first couple of 21 22 times? Α 231 No. Did they harass anyone in the neighborhood to 24 25 sign?



Not that I'm aware of. Α 1 Did -- are you aware of any of them saying 2 Q things that were not true to homeowners? I'm not aware. I wasn't with people when they 4 5 talked to homeowners, so I don't know. Did you ensure that everybody got correct 6 7 information when they went out and said things to members of the community to get them to sign the recall 9 ballot? 10 I believe I did, yes. 11 Q Okay. So was it written down anywhere? No, I don't believe so. 12 Α So did you all have a meeting where you 13 verbally conveyed this information to people who were 14 going to go door to door? I did not Well, the core team did. We had a 16 17 meeting. I know we discussed very carefully that this was strictly an invitation to recall the board. I don't 18 19 -- I know that we were not -- I know that intentionally we made sure that we were not going to say anything 20 disparaging, other than facts, you know, like you can 21 22 look at the budget. You can look at how they raised the dues. You can look at, you know, whatever. I don't 24 recall the specific conversation, but it was an 25 invitation to participate.

- 4		
1	Q Did you train them to say that there wouldn't	
2	be an election unless this recall ballot got done?	
3	A No.	
4	Q So you say that you trained them to make sure	
5	that nothing disparaging was said about any of the board	
6	members?	
7	A I wouldn't say trained. I would say we all	
8	had a conversation and we all concurred that we were not	
9	going to say anything about any individual board	
10	members.	
11	Q Who is we all?	
12	A That would be the people on the core team. Dr.	
13	Mathison, Kuhl Cole, Kelly Clavijo, Will Arwood. His	
14	last name is Arwood.	
15	Q I was going to say, I knew who you were	
16	talking about, but I couldn't think of his last name	
17	either.	
18	A And Tom Garland and me.	
19	Q Okay. So after so you recall having a	
20	meeting with those individuals and then they had	
21	whatever meetings they had with other people?	
22	A Yes.	
23	Q Okay. So you really don't have any idea what	
24	was said, beyond what you said to those individuals in	
25	that meeting?	

I believe we were all in agreement. I don't 1 believe any of them would have deviated from that in their other conversations. So if some --But that's just what I believe. 5 Okay. So if somebody did go out and deviate from that, you wouldn't be aware of who they were or what they said? 8 9 Α Correct. Okay. Do you think -- why did you make a 10 11 conscious decision to not say anything disparaging, in 12 the core group at least? 13 Well, this all occurred after the September 14 24th meeting in which you and Steve and Dave basically 15 threatened me. And I said -- I asked what it would take 16 not to sue me. And here we are. So I have been 17 extremely careful not to say anything disparaging to 18 anyone. Okay. Did -- was it decided that it would be 19 said that the recall was official HOA business when you went door to door? I'm sorry, ask that again. 22 Sure. Was it -- did you guys determine that 23 Q 24 you would say it was official HOA business when you went

25 door to door for the recall ballots?

1	A Did we say that? No.	
2	Q Okay. Do you believe it was official HOA	
3	business?	
4	A I'm not sure I	
5	MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to form.	
6	A Yeah. I'm not sure I understand what you mean	
7	by official HOA business. I mean, it was not so the	
8	HOA, and I'd have to get counsel on this. Some people	
9	believe the HOA is the board and some people believe the	
10	HOA is the membership.	
11	Q Were gift cards offered to people for	
12	participating in the recall?	
13	A No.	
14	Q Were gift cards offered to people for any	
15	activity that you were in charge of, at least?	
16	A No.	
17	Q How about raffles?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Tell me about that.	
20	A We held a I don't know what the right word	
21	for it is. We set up a cookout, and because of the	
22	pandemic, we thought it would be cute to offer toilet	
23	paper and what else was in the there? I can't	
24	remember what else was in there, but you know, the great	
25	toilet paper hoarding of the pandemic. So we thought	

it'd be cute. And if you came down, we gave you a ticket. Whether you signed the recall or not, if you just showed up, you got to have hamburgers and hot dogs and you got raffle tickets. And then we drew numbers. It had nothing to do with whether anyone signed or not.

Okay. At any point, did you offer to either discount or allow people who assisted to not pay their HOA dues?

When I did a GoFundMe request to help pay for 10 the attorney, at the time it was to respond to your filing with the Fifth District Court of Appeals, so we needed a different kind of an attorney. We had all 121 pretty much run out of our own personal funding for that. So I did do a GoFundMe page, and I did offer that -- mistakenly, I admit it was a mistake -- that I offered that anybody who contributed, that we would be able to -- that the new board would be able to pretty much give it back to them in their dues. I wasn't aware at the time that I could not do that.

> Have you retracted that in any way? Q

Α Have I retracted that? No. I have made a personal commitment, but I have not announced that nor done anything about it yet.

What's the personal commitment? Q

Α I will be paying everybody back out of my own



1

2

3

5

6

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 l

23

24

25

```
personal funds.
 2
              Is there a reason why you haven't at least
   gone on record saying that you were mistaken in
   promising people a break from their dues for giving to
 5
   your GoFundMe?
 6
             No, there is not a reason.
        Α
 7
             Why was it a mistake to do that?
        0
 8
        Α
              I was not aware when I did that that the HOA
   could not do that. And I have since learned that the
10 HOA really can't do that, which means that I need to pay
   these people back personally.
11
              Did you use your personal funding to pay Bruce
12
        0
   Burtoff?
13
14
        Α
             No.
15
        Q
             Okay. Did you use the GoFundMe proceeds to
16
   pay him?
17
             No. Oh, I'm sorry. Ask the first question
        Α
18
   again?
19
             Yeah. Did you use your personal funds to pay
   Bruce Burtoff?
20
21
             Yes.
        Α
22
             Okay.
        Q
              I thought you were asking about the GoFundMe
23
        Α
24
   funds.
25
             Okay. Yeah. Has any GoFundMe funds paid
        Q
```



## 1 Bruce Burtoff? 2 Α No. And you put down a retainer for him? 3 Yes. 4 Α 5 Do you know if Jane and Joe Doe did? 6 I do not know. Α 7 Do you have any idea how he found Jane and Joe Q 8 Doe? 9 I do not. Α Did you refer him any people to talk to, to 10 Q potentially be plaintiffs in the lawsuit? 11 12 I don't recall, but it's possible. I mean, we talked about a lot of people in the community, but I 14 wouldn't call it a referral for him to talk to them. 15 Why didn't you want to find out who they were? They wanted to be anonymous. He told me that 16 Α there were going to be other people on. I said fine. 17 And then he told that they were willing, but they wanted 18 to remain anonymous, and I figured it was none of my 19 20 business who they were. 21 Okay. Would you be surprised to know that some of the people who went door to door said things 22 that were not true about the board and the finances of 23 North Shore? 24 25 I would be very surprised. Α



1	Q Okay. Would it upset you if that occurred?
2	
	A Yes.
3	Q Do you have did you direct them to go
4	anywhere specific for information, whether it be the
5	website of the community, the website
6	takebacknorthshore.com, the Facebook page North Shore at
7	Lake Hart Neighbors?
8	A North Shore at Lake Hart, that's the that's
9	the one that we use right now, right? Yeah. I'm sorry.
10	So start over. I'm sorry. Ask it again.
11	Q Yeah. So there were many people out in the
12	neighborhood saying things about the association to get
13	recall ballots. Was there a place that you directed
14	them to get their information regarding the association
15	from?
16	A I did not. I mean, I know that when documents
17	became public, that the we would direct people to the
18	takebacknorthshore.com website to go read the documents
19	for themselves. Yes.
20	Q Okay.
21	A But I did not but when you asked me about
22	going door to door? No, I did not do that.
23	Q Are you aware of takebacknorthshore com?
24	A I'm aware of the website, yes.
25	Q Do you know who made the website?

1	А	I do not.
2	Q	Did you provide any content for the website?
3	А	Yes, I have.
4	Q	What content did you provide?
5	А	The public documents from the court.
6	Q	Who did you provide it to?
7	А	I'm sorry. From the court and DBPR.
8	Q	Who did you provide it to?
9	А	The court team. I would send it I'd send
10	an e-mail	to Dr. Mathison, Kelly, Will, Kurt, and Tom.
11	Q	Okay. So which one of them is the one that's
12	coding the	e website?
13	А	I don't know.
14	Q	You never talked about where that website came
15	from with	anyone?
16	А	No.
17	Q	Do you know who owns the domain?
18	А	I do not.
19	Q	Do you know where the idea came from?
20	А	The core team discussed it. I don't recall
21	who brough	nt it up. I don't recall who I don't no.
22	Q	So while you were discussing it, you didn't
23	discuss wh	no was actually physically going to make the
24	website?	
25	А	No.



1	Q	And you didn't discuss who was going to buy
2	the domai:	n name?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Did somebody use their own money to pay for
5	that?	
6	А	Well, it wasn't mine. I don't know. I
7	presume th	hey used their own money.
8	Q	Okay. Have you read everything on that
9	website?	
10	A	Well, I haven't been on there recently, so I
11	don't know if anything has been uploaded that I did not	
12	provide.	So, no. I don't know.
13	Q	When was the last time you were on there?
14	А	Probably after after DBPR abated the
15	recalls.	So, what was that? That would've been
16	gosh, I de	on't even remember. It was in 2021.
17	Q	Do you think the DBPR made a mistake when they
18	abated the recalls?	
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Any other mistakes that you think they made?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	What would those be?
23	А	I think the wording of the original order was
24	poor, but	not inaccurate, just poor. I don't think the
25	recall sho	ould have been abated under the rules of

1	recall.
2	Q Anything else?
3	A Not that I can think of.
4	Q So you agree that sometimes they make
5	mistakes?
6	A Everybody does, yes.
7	Q Okay. You just don't agree that they made a
8	mistake in the original ruling, just in the rulings that
9	went against your position?
10	A No. The original ruling was in favor of the
11	residents, but I think the arbitrator worded it poorly.
12	Q So no, my point is, is you think that that
13	was correct. You just think that the things against
14	your position were incorrect.
15	A What was against my position? I don't know
16	it. I don't understand the question.
17	Q The abatement of the recall.
18	A It's not because it was against my position.
19	It's because the rules of recall read very clearly. DBPR
20	has only two things to consider in a recall. Did we get
21	the numbers, and and I don't remember the second
22	thing, but there's only two things they're supposed to
23	look at, and both things were successful. So abating
24	that case, trying to tie that case to the election
25	arbitration, they're they are not dispositive of each

1 other. 2 Are you aware of any false information on takebacknorthshore.com that you saw at any of the times 4 you were on it? 5 I am not aware. 6 Okay. Do you have -- if the information that Q 7 you provided to the team to be posted on takebacknorthshore.com, did that get done through emails or how did you provide it? 10 Α I want to -- nope. Because it's all official records, whoever's doing it went to the court website or 11 DBPR or however they got it, and uploaded it themselves. 13 Well, you said that you provided --14 I provided -- so I provided the information that the documents were now public to the core team. And did that happen by e-mail, by texting, 16 Q 17 how? 18 Α I'm sure it was both. I'm sure it was text and e-mail. Who receives the e-mail 20 team@takebacknorthshore.com? 21 I don't know. 22 Α 23 Do you receive any of those e-mails? 24 Α No. 25 Are you aware of any false information that's Q



1	on the website?
2	A No.
3	
	Q Okay. Did you proofread any of the any of
4	it?
5	A Proofread any of what?
6	Q Any of the information that's on there? Like
7	there's a lot of words, there's a lot of narrative. It's
8	not just all legal documents.
9	A No. Because I don't have anything to do with
10	that other than, "Hey, documents are available."
11	Q And you just tell the whole team, and somehow
12	it happens, it gets up on there, but you don't know how
13	that happens?
14	A Right. Correct.
15	Q The postcard that you sent out, where did you
16	get the addresses from?
17	A The property appraiser's website. I
18	downloaded it.
19	Q How did you do that?
20	A So you go onto the property it's been so
21	long. You go onto the property appraiser's website. You
22	can put in the North Shore at Lake Hart. It came up
23	with, like, five, or six, or seven, because it divvies
24	it up by, like, the parcel sections or whatever. And
25	when you go into phase one, it gives you all the

```
1
   addresses that were built in phase one, and phase two,
2
   and phase three. So I downloaded all of that into an
3 Excel spreadsheet.
             Okay. And do you still have that Excel
4
5
   spreadsheet?
             Yes.
6
        Α
             Is that on -- on what -- is it on a thumb
   drive?
8
9
             Flash drive, yes.
             And is it on the one that you -- where you
10
11 have all the evidence for the Burtoff case? Or is it in
12 some -- on another one?
13
             It's on the -- it's on the recall flash drive.
             Okay. So you have a recall flash drive, also?
14
        Q
15
        Α
             Yes.
             Okay. How many flash drives do you have
16
17
   regarding North Shore?
             Three, election arbitration, Burtoff, recall.
18
19
             Okay. Do you have another one regarding this
        0
20
   lawsuit?
             I'm sorry. Yes, it's in -- yeah, it's in
21
        Α
  there now because I was referring to the documents. So
23 that's four. I'm sorry. Yes.
             Okay. Yeah, no problem. Just trying to make
24
25 sure we're clear. Okay. Did texts get sent out to
```

```
1
   people about the recall as well?
2
        Α
             Yes.
3
             Where did those telephone numbers come from?
             Either I already had them or the directory
4
        Α
5
   from the North Shore website that was in -- that was up
6
   at the time. It was an open directory.
7
             So who physically went in and got those
        Q
   telephone numbers?
9
             I did.
        Α
10
             Okay. Do you have a download or something of
11
   those, also?
             No, unfortunately, that couldn't be
12
   downloaded, so I had to go through manually one by one,
13
   and capture the information.
14
             So those texts came from your phone?
15
             I believe, yes.
16
        Α
17
             Who's your cell phone provider?
        Q
             AT&T.
18
        A
             How long has AT&T been your cell phone
19
   provider?
201
21
        Α
             Well over 20 years.
22
             Okay. And what's the current -- the phone
   number that you would've used to text?
              (407) 557-6616.
24
        Α
25
             Do you have any explanation how people were
        Q
```



1 texted at telephone numbers that were not public? 2 Α No. Okay. Are you aware of police officers and 3 people in the military who have private telephone numbers who had their telephone number texted? 5 6 Well, I'm the only one who did it and -- that I'm aware of. And I know that I have had other conversations with police officers and military personnel who had given me their numbers previously. So they were already in my phone. Who were those? 11 Mike Johnson is one. I can't think of other 12 13 names at the moment. That's the police officer that 14 jumps to mind. So you still have a group text of all of the 15 telephone numbers that you were able to gather from the 17 membership of North Shore? Wait, a what? 18 19 0 A group text. 20 I never did a group text. Α So you did them one, by one, by one? 21 0 Yes, ma'am. 22 Α 23 How many different times? Q 24 Α I don't know. I'd have to go back and look. I 25 would say less than -- I would say less than 50.



1	you know, some of the some of the texts that I sent
2	were through Facebook Messenger because I did not have
3	phone numbers, but I had had conversations with people.
4	And so I may have used Facebook Messenger for those,
5	too. But I would say, in total, less than 50, probably
6	less than 30. But I don't know without looking.
7	Q Okay. And I we I want to make sure that
8	I understand what you're saying. You are you saying
9	you texted less than somewhere between 30 to 50
10	numbers?
11	A Probably.
12	Q Okay. How many times did you send texts?
L 3	A If they did not respond, only the one time. If
L 4	they responded, we may have had some kind of
15	conversation. I don't recall.
16	Q So when somebody did not respond to you, you
۱7	dropped them off?
18	A Yes. But, again, it wasn't a group. It was
1.9	individual. I simply would not have gone to them a
20	second time.
21	Q Okay. And then Facebook Messenger, did you do
22	that through your private personal Facebook page?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Did anybody else do it through their Facebook
, 5	nage?



1 Α I have no idea. 2 Or is any Facebook Messengers sent out through 3 North Shore at Lake Hart Neighbors? Not that I'm aware of, and not by me. 4 Α 5 Okay. So the messages that you sent out 6 through Facebook Messenger were about the association and the recall effort? About the recall. 8 9 Did you put information in there about the 10 association? For example, that we over -- the HOA 11 overspent on the dock, or any of the allegations that 12 have been made against the board members? 13 I don't know. I recall -- when I was putting 14 out e-mails about the recall, all it said is, "We're 15 conducting a recall. Please consider participating." And gave them the link to the website to go get the 17 form. Okay. The addresses that you pulled off the 18 property appraiser, did those go directly from your spreadsheet onto the postcards? 20 I hired a mailing service. 21 No. 22 Okay. But you gave them the addresses? 23 Α Yes. Okay. Do you have any explanation why some of 24 25 | the addresses on the postcards didn't match with the

1	property appraiser?
2	A I didn't know they didn't.
3	Q Did you ever get any rosters from the
4	association, from the management company?
5	A No.
6	Q And how many telephone numbers? So when you
7	went to the directory, where did the directory come from
8	that you got the telephone numbers from?
9	A On the North Shore website.
0	Q Okay. And which one was that?
. 1	A Whichever website we had under Artemis.
2	Q Okay. And so there was just, like, 30 to 50
.3	numbers on there? That was it?
. 4	A To the best of my recollection, yes. I know
.5	that there were more e-mail addresses on there than
6	there were phone numbers, but I don't remember all the
.7	specifics at the right now, but I captured the
.8	information that I could from that directory.
9	Q Did you send out e-mails, also?
20	A I don't recall, but I probably did.
21	Q And what e-mail address would that have come
22	from?
23	A Lsanford58@outlook.com.
24	Q Is that the only e-mail address that you use?
5	A No. I have my insurance e-mail address. I



1 actually have two insurance e-mail addresses, which are 2 used solely for insurance. I know I have made mistakes 3 with you in the past, and accidentally sent e-mails from 4 my insurance e-mail address, but I always move them into my personal e-mail address box because I can't -- you 5 6 know, my -- my professional e-mail insurance is protected, so anything that accidentally comes from there, I have to move it into my personal box. And then I have -- I won't say it's defunct, but I have an old e-10 mail address for an invention that I did. I haven't 11 used that e-mail address in years, and when I did, or when I do, it is strictly for that invention. 12 13 Okay. The e-mail address nslh2019election@gmail.com, do you receive those e-14 15 mails? 16 Α No. 17 Who receives those e-mails? I don't know. That's new to me. 18 Α 19 Okay. The postcard that you had sent out --Q 20 Yes. Α Who created the content of that? 21 Q I did. 22 Α 23 Okay. And then you provided it to a printing Q and mailing company? 25 Α Yes.



1 Q Who was that company? 2 MDI. 3 In DeLand? I don't -- honestly, I don't know where 5 they're located. It was all done via e-mail. 6 Okay. Did you recruit people to stand at the 7 entrances of the gates of the neighborhoods and also 8 solicit people for recall ballots? 9 I wouldn't use the word recruit. We discussed 10 that it was something to do, and some of the street 11 captains, and some of the core team all said, "That's a good idea. Let's do it." 13 Okay. And all the information that was 141 provided to the volunteers, was it vetted? What information was provided to the 15 volunteers? 16 17 I'm asking you. 18 I remember handing out the recall ballot and a fact sheet. A fact sheet? 20 I think. I don't know. I'd have to go back 21 Α 22 and look. 23 0 Okay. I know that we had a list of reasons why we 24 25 were doing the recall. I don't remember that right now



1 off the top of my head. I'm sure I still have it. 2 The fact sheet, was that something that you 3 put together? I don't remember. I'm sure I had some hand in 5 I don't remember if I did it or it was a coordinated effort with the core team. 6 Okay. And would that be something that you still had a copy of? 9 I'm sure I do. Okay. Did anyone tell the volunteers to tell 10 homeowners that the annual budgets had to be voted on by 11 12 the homeowners? 13 I don't recall that. Okay. Besides promising people that they 14 would not have to pay dues, or that they get a -- that they get a discount on their dues, if they helped with 16 the GoFundMe, did volunteers tell homeowners that the 17 dues would be rolled back to the 2020 amount if they 18 voted for the recall? 19 20 Not that I -- I mean, not -- I think it's -- I 21 think it was always an -- I think it was a discussion that a new board could look at the budget and possibly 22 roll back the dues. I don't believe it was a factual 23 statement. I don't -- I don't recall that. 24

25

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

So do you or do you not recall there being

```
1
   discussions within the community that dues would be
 2 rolled back if the board was recalled?
 3
             There were discussions that that was a
        Α
 4 possibility.
             Were homeowners told that the board should be
 5
 6
  recalled because there were contracts issued without
 7
   obtaining bids?
 8
             I don't recall that.
 9
             Okay. How about that the dock was rebuilt
10 without obtaining bids?
11
             I don't recall that.
             Are you aware of whether there were bids for
12
13 the dock?
             I do -- I am not aware.
14
        Α
15
             So you don't know one way or the other? I'm
16 sorry, that's a no?
17
             I'm sorry. Yes, that's a no.
18
        0
             It's all right. You've been doing great,
19 really.
20
             I finally -- I finally did it wrong.
        Α
21
             Were there -- was there a list of homeowners
22 that volunteers were told not to go to to solicit recall
23 ballots?
24
             Was there a list of homeowners? I wouldn't
        Α
25 say there was a list. I know we had a discussion, and I
```

know that there -- if, like, there was not going to be 1 2 any reason to knock on the doors of Dave Gordon, or Steve Smith, or John Dick, or whoever the other board 3 members were. 4 5 Are you aware that recall people actually went to some of those board members? 7 No. I was not aware. Okay. The cookout that you talked about, who 8 0 spoke at that on behalf of the core team? 10 I don't believe there was any speech. believe that everybody was just having conversations and 11 discussing things, but I know I didn't because I was 12 13 very busy sitting at a table, taking recalls. Okay. Was the fact sheet circulated at that 14 meeting? I don't know. I don't recall. It may have 16 17 been there available. I was not handing it out to anyone, no, but it may have been just there on the 18 table. I don't recall. 19 20 Okay. This letter, which is the first page --I think it's the first two pages of the production, why 21 22 did you include that? 23 I'm sorry. Let's go back. What? 24 Okay. Yeah. So we're looking at this 25 document. We're looking at the documents that were



```
1
   provided by your counsel to me today.
 2
        Α
              Okay.
 3
             And you have them up on your computer.
 4
        Α
             Yes.
 5
              Why did you include this one in the
 6
   production?
 7
        Α
              Which one?
 8
              The first -- this letter that we're talking
 9
   about.
10
              Because my instruction were that anytime I
11 talked about the HOA or any board members, I was to
12 include it.
             Okay. Are there some -- are there documents
13
14 that you left out of the production that you -- where
15 you discussed the board or the HOA?
16
        Α
              No.
17
             Okay.
        0
             Not that I'm aware of.
18
19
             Let's go to the third page, please. Were
20 there some replies?
21
        Α
             Okay.
              Did you cut and paste these?
22
23
        Α
             No.
                  When I -- when I -- so when you download
24 from Facebook, it does it as an HTML web page. And so
25 all I did was file, print, and save it as a PDF.
```



1	Q Okay. But you cut out all of the discussions.
2	You just put your comment?
3	A I think when I did the download, I asked for
4	I think it I think one of the subcategories, when
5	it gives you everything that it downloaded, it says Your
6	Comments. And since the instructions were for anything
7	that I had said, I went to that subset of Your Comments,
8	and this is what it gave me.
9	Q So was where were these comments made?
10	A Honestly, I couldn't tell you. It says
11	Stephanie Redmond. It says Michael Shea. I don't know
12	those people, like, in a Facebook friends kind of way,
13	so it would have to have been on the North Shore
14	Neighbors page, I believe.
15	Q Okay. So and everyone is just kind of on
16	its own. I guess there are a few that are in a string.
17	So basically you didn't download what you were
18	commenting on, you just downloaded some comments that
19	you made.
20	A That was my understanding of what I had to do,
21	yes.
22	Q Okay. And the only comments you made in all
23	that time were just these few?
24	A To the best of my knowledge. This is what
25	came up when I did the Facebook download.

1 Q Okay. Let's go to the fourth page. 2 Α Fourth page. Oops. 3 It's from September 27th of 2020. 4 Α Hold on. Okay What is this? Oh, sorry. It's the fifth 5 6 page, it looks like. 7 So somebody, and I don't recall who because they deleted it, but I had actually captured this, file 9 Sandford v Dave Gordon, et al case. So I don't know 10 where this came from, but I remember seeing it and thinking, "Wow, somebody captured it properly." So I kept it. Then it got taken down. So I put this back up 12 13 just because I thought it was accurate. So when you write --14 And it answered a lot of questions that people 15 16 were asking. When it write -- when you wrote that it was 17 18 taken down by the author for personal reasons, did you 19 know that, or did you not know that? 20 I did know that. I don't know why I knew Α 21 that. I reached out either to the admins to say, "Why 22 was this taken down?" And their response was, "It -- the 23 author took it down for personal reasons." Or it may be 24 that I knew who it was. And I can't think of who it was

25 right now, but it's possible I knew that person, and

1 sent them a message, and they said they took it down for 2 personal reasons. I don't remember. 3 0 Okay. Do you believe that board members had to be named because that was the only way to have them 5 testify under oath? 6 I'm sorry. Show me where that is in here. 7 It's the second bullet point. Second bullet point. 8 9 MR. BERNSTEIN: What page are you on? MS. ENGLERT: It's the fifth page of your 10 11 production. It's at the top. It says Lynn Sandford uploaded a file in the group, and it's dated 12 13 September 27, 2020. MR. BERNSTEIN: Thank you. 14 MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, no problem. 15 16 Α Okay, so now ask me your question again, 17 please. Did you believe that the board members 18 19 had to be named because that was the only way that they 20 would testify under oath? I believe, yes. 21 22 So you don't think anybody could testify under oath unless they're a party in a lawsuit? 24 Α No, because you can subpoena people. 25 Q Right, so then why does this say the only way



```
1
   they would testify under oath is if they were parties to
 2
   the lawsuit.
 3
        Α
              That's a good question. I don't know.
 4
        0
             And why --
 5
        Α
             And I captured this from somebody else.
 6
        0
             But you posted it?
 7
        Α
             Yes.
 8
             The -- it says current and previous board
 9 members had to be named. Why did you not specify who
10 was named and who wasn't named?
             Because it's in the filing itself.
11
12
             But the people who weren't named who were
13 board members during that time, why did you not explain
14 the -- why people were left out?
15
             I didn't embellish on what the person had
        Α
   previously posted. So again, you know, I took what
17
   somebody else had done, and I reposted it. I didn't
   rewrite it, or correct it, or edit it.
18
19
             Okay. So, and this post was about the lawsuit
20
   that Bruce Burtoff filed, right?
21
        Α
             Yes.
22
             Okay. And that's the first complaint, not the
23 l
   second one, right?
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
        0
             Okay. So basically, this person is lauding
```



1 the lawsuit, saying that it was well done and well 2 Correct? written. 3 Α I don't know if I would characterize it that 4 way. 5 Was there anything that you think should have been added to this e-mail? I understand you posted 6 somebody else's, but if you were going to add onto it, what would you add? I don't think I would add anything. 9 probably would've taken stuff out, and I probably should 11 have. And what would --12 Q I should have probably -- I should have 13 14 probably ended at the second paragraph. Where it says, "Here are the facts." 15 Where it says, "Looks like some folks are 16 Α No. 17 still fighting for us. The Orange County case number, perhaps directly, and perhaps indirectly, someone 19 recently spoke with one of the plaintiffs in the case." 20 That should have -- I probably should have only reposted 21 that. 22 0 Okay. Why the parties were named as they 23 were? 24 I probably -- yeah, I probably would've taken 25 the rest of it out if I was editing.



1 0 Okay. Why would you say that now? 2 Α Well, because when you bring up things that are in here, it's not my words. It's somebody else's 3 words. And, you know, hindsight being 20/20, I think those first two sentences say all that needs to be said. 5 People can go look at the case, and read it for themselves. 8 Okay. Page 6, which is the -- should be the 9 next page. 10 Α Yes. There's a bunch of small thumbnails on it. Do 11 0 you know -- do you know what those are? 13 Yeah. Those are the -- that's simply the Α This was the recall petition filing. 141 filing. 15 Okay. The text below, did you -- the actual text, is that something that you wrote? 17 Α Let's see. Yes. Okay. And you already testified, but I just 18 19 want to make sure that we're on the same page. street captains that you mentioned here, you don't know 20 l who those are, actually? 21 22 I don't. Α 23 Okay. Did you ever -- so you never had a Q meeting with all the street captains? 25 Α I never did.

1 Okay. I'm numbering the pages as I go to make 2 it easier. Okay. So then let's go to page 8. 3 Is that my electronic page 8 or --4 It says -- it's Lynn Sandford posting on North 0 5 Shore Neighbors again. 6 Α Okay. 7 Did you download those the same as you had done the other ones that look like this? 8 9 Yes. Α 10 Okay. And it doesn't -- are these posts that 11 you made on the wall? 12 Α On the wall? They're not comments. They're not comments to 13 somebody else, it's something you posted directly on the 14 15 page? Correct. 16 A 17 Okay. Were there comments made to them? Oh, I don't recall. It's possible. I don't 18 19 believe these have been removed, so they're probably 20 still on that page. 21 Would you go back and forth with comments on 22 these or you didn't comment anymore after you posted? 23 Α No. I would not comment anymore. 24 Okay. And the core team, is that --Q 25 If I did, it would've shown up here as like Α

- 1	
1	another comment.
2	Q Okay. The core team is that Mathison, Kelly
3	Clavijo, Kurt Kuhl, Will Arwood, and Tom Garland?
4	A And me.
5	Q Okay. Did anybody join or leave that core
6	team at any point?
7	A Well, I mean, people got busy and dropped off
8	and weren't responding to communications, then they'd
9	come back and respond to communications, so back and
10	forth, yeah, but just like permanently leave? Not
11	really until after the recall got abated and everything
12	came pretty much down to the election arbitration case.
13	There hasn't been any core team activity that I'm aware
14	of.
15	Q Okay. Anyone who was on the team that you
16	haven't mentioned?
17	A Not that I can think of.
18	Q Is there anyone that you know who went door to
19	door that you're aware of that is not on the core team?
20	A Well, the team the street captains.
21	Q Yeah. Do you know of any that maybe there
22	do you know of any just off the top of your head that
23	aren't people you've mentioned?
24	A I don't.



25

Okay. So nobody shared any of that

1 information and nobody came up and talked to you that 2 was a street captain or any of that? 3 Α They may have, but I didn't know they were a street captain when they talked to me. 4 Okay. So you have no idea really what people 5 were out there saying when they were trying to get these recall ballots signed, correct? Α Correct. 8 Okay. Let's go to the 12th page. 9 pretty long one from March 25, 2021, and you posted it 10 in North Shore Community Neighbors. 11 North Shore Community Neighbors? Isn't that 12 Α 13 the same --Yeah, no, I'm just trying to help you find the 14 Q page, but it's the 12th page in here. They're just not numbered. I'm trying to help you get to it. 16 17 Okay. Oh, 12. The 12th page. Yeah, if they were numbered pages. 18 Q There has been a lot of activity regarding? 19 Α 20 Q Yes. 21 Α Okay. Toward the bottom it says, "We do not believe 22 the arbitrator is following the statute or administrative rules for recall." 24 25



Α

Yes.

1	Q We talked about that already a little bit. Is
2	there anything you want to add about how you believe the
3	arbitrator made errors?
4	A No.
5	Q Okay. Okay. The last, and then I just get to
6	the end, the last two pages. It's a long it's a
7	post, again, from you on September 17, 2021.
8	A Okay.
9	Q About halfway down the first page, it's the
10	second bullet point, it says, "The damages recovered
11	from the true defendants." Who are the true defendants
12	that you're talking about?
13	A The HOA membership.
14	Q The defendants, not the plaintiffs.
15	A Correct. I believe that the membership are
16	the true defendants in this case, even though I'm only
17	the only one named, I'm fighting for the membership.
18	I'm fighting for the residents.
19	Q Well, it says the true defendants in
20	parentheses "The individual board members."
21	A I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
22	Q Yeah, I know
23	A I got the plaintiff mixed up.
24	Q Yeah.
25	A Okay. The individual board members, not the

1	HOA.
2	Q Correct. So who are the true, which you put
3	in quotes, defendants?
4	A This was based on the first complaint. The
5	true defendants being Dave Gordon, Steve Smith, John
6	Dick, Dave Bauer, whoever was named in the first filing.
7	Q Okay. Do you have any plans to add back the
8	people who were dismissed?
9	A Well, I would like to add back Steve Smith.
10	I'll have to ask Bruce why he was taken off.
11	Q Do you think Paul Snyder should have been a
12	defendant?
13	A I doubt I don't believe so, no.
14	Q How about Lisa Solick?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Why her?
17	A She's not a valid board member. She is not a
18	deeded homeowner.
19	Q So how did that cause damage to the
20	association?
21	A Having someone who should not have a vote
22	voting, I believe causes damage to the membership.
23	Q How?
24	A I don't know how to put it into words. I
25	don't know how to put that into words. I'm sorry. I

1 don't know how to put that into words. 2 Was she involved in any of the things 3 discussed in the first complaint? I don't believe so, because she was not a 4 Α 5 board member in the first complaint. Okay. When the second complaint was done, I 6 7 quess you haven't read that one yet, so you don't know, you can't say whether she was involved. Why do you think Paul Snyder should not be a defendant in the 10 lawsuit? Again, I know he is a deeded owner. He's a 11 valid board member to a certain extent. I, again, like 12 l 13 Rob Taub, I don't know what he has or has not done or how he has or has not voted on specific items. Therefore 141 I don't know whether he should or not. But, you know, benefit of the doubt, I'd say probably not. 161 17 Q Okay. He's probably -- again, I don't have the words 18 Α for why. I don't know how to express why. 19 20 Q He's fairly new on the board. Is that fair? Yes. 21 Α 22 Okay. Are you aware --23 He is fairly new to participating in the 24 community at all. I've never heard his name before on a



committee.

25 l

I've never seen him active in the past.

```
1
             So you weren't aware that he was on the
 2
   compliance committee?
 3
             No, I was not aware.
             Okay. Are you aware that he received a letter
 4
        Q
5
  from Mr. Burtoff saying that he was going to be included
   in the lawsuit?
 7
            No. I was not aware.
             Okay. How about Lisa Solick? Were you aware
8
 9
   of that?
        Α
             No.
10
             Was that something that was -- well, no,
11
12 strike that. Do you believe that any homeowners of the
13 association ever defamed any of the board members?
             Any member of the association?
14
15
        0
             Yeah.
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
16
17
             I don't know how to answer that.
             Do you think it's defamatory to say that board
18
19 members stole money from the association?
20
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
             You can answer.
21
22
        Α
             Yeah, I know. I don't know. Is it defamatory
23 to say -- well, that all depends on whether it's true or
   not. It's defamatory if you make an accusation that's
25 not true.
```



- 1		
1	Q	Do you believe it's defamatory to say that
2	board men	mbers stole golf from the golf course?
3	А	Again, that would have to
4	Q	Assuming it's not true.
5	А	If it's not true, then it's defamatory.
6	Q	How about saying that they stole merchandise
7	from the	golf course, assuming it's not true?
8	A	If it's not true, it's defamatory.
9	Q	Does saying things like that about board
10	members o	damage the association when it's not true?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Why don't you think so?
13	А	Because the association is an entity, not a
14	person.	You can damage a person.
15	Q	You don't think that a corporation can be
16	damaged }	by things said about it?
17	А	About the corporation, not about the
18	individua	als.
19	Q	Okay. Who gets e-mails at
20	electnewl	noaboardagain@gmail.com.
21	А	No clue. Never
22	Q	Have you ever heard of that e-mail address?
23	А	Wasn't that Dave Gordon's e-mail address when
24	they were	e suing the former board back in 2013, 2014?
25	Q	I'll show you some exhibits where it appears



in a minute. So, no. So you don't have any knowledge 1 of this e-mail address? 2 3 It sounds familiar. I can't place it. I Α can't -- it's not mine, so I don't know. 5 Okay. Have you participated in helping prepare any e-mails from that e-mail address? 7 Again, it sounds familiar. But see, I'm placing it -- you said no. I'm placing it with the email address Mr. Gordon used back in 2013, 2014. So I don't know. I don't know. 10 Okay. And for stuff that you've sent out to 11 the community personally, have you only used your 12 13 personal e-mail address, the one you just gave me a few minutes ago? 14 15 I believe, yes. Okay. Have other people on the team prepared 16 17 e-mails to send out to the community? I don't know. 18 Α 19 Could that be done without you seeing them? 20 Α Yes. I asked you whether Lisa Mason had ever 21 Q provided you a list of any of the homeowners, whether 23 their addresses, their e-mails, or their telephone 24 numbers. Did anyone else at any of the management



25 companies who were at North Shore ever provide that?

1	A No.
2	Q Did you talked about how Lisa provided the
3	GL codes. Did she provide you any other information at
4	any point that helped you compile stuff for the lawsuit
5	that Mr. Burtoff represents you in?
6	A Yes. Upon request, I asked her for a copy of
7	the invoice with Mr. Smith's instructions to code it
8	labor to a different GL code. I asked her for the
9	screenshots of the texts that she had. And I asked her
10	for copies of the e-mails of the invoices that Mr.
11	Gordon's personal assistant was submitting for payment
12	to the HOA.
13	Q Okay. Did you ask anyone else who worked for
14	any of the management companies for any information to
15	help you compile information for the lawsuit?
16	A I may have asked Amber, who was here at the
17	time. I don't recall specifically what I asked for, but
18	I may have asked her for something. I may have asked
19	Tracy I can't remember Tracy's last name for
20	something. I don't recall asking anybody else.
21	Q What did you ask Amber for?
22	A I don't recall.
23	Q Are you sure you asked her for some documents?
24	A No, it just would make sense because I would
25	usually go to Amber first.

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	But I'm not
3	Q	Did you ever have any access to any laptops
4	that belo	nged to a management company, whether it be
5	Aegis, Ar	temis, any of those?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Okay. Did you have any conversations with
8	Lisa Maso	n after she was no longer the CAM of North
9	Shore?	
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	How many times?
12	А	Oh, I don't know.
13	Q	And when's the last time you spoke with her?
14	А	Last week.
15	Q	What'd you guys talk about?
16	A	Her mother.
17	Q	Have you spoken about the HOA at all since
18	she's lef	t?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	What have you spoken about?
21	А	Mostly giving her an update on the status of
22	the recal	l and the status of the election arbitration.
23	Q	Why?
24	А	Because it's interesting because she knows the
25	community	and I know her, and you know, she's you



1	know, gen	eral conversation. How's the arbitration
2	going? H	ow's the recall going?
3	Q	Have you talked to her about coming back to be
4	the CAM o	f North Shore at some point in the future?
5	А	I've told her I would love for that to be the
6	case.	
7	Q	Why would you love that?
8	A	Because I think she was an excellent CAM.
9	Q	But you don't believe she had any
LO	responsib	ility for any of the problems that were listed
L1	in the co	mplaint that you filed with Mr. Burtoff,
12	correct?	
L3	А	Correct.
L 4	Q	How is it that you don't think that she had
L 5	any respon	nsibility for anything that happened at North
16	Shore?	
۱7	А	I don't know how to answer that.
18	Q	Okay. Would you say that you're personal
19	friends w	ith Lisa?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Did you try to sell her insurance while she
22	was still	employed as the CAM of North Shore?
23	A	She inquired of me about insurance for her and
24	her signi:	ficant other.
5	0	Did you actually sell her some?

1		
1	А	No.
2	Q	Okay. Did you disclose that to the board or
3	the member	rship?
4	A	I don't recall. I don't recall if it was I
5	don't reca	all when it was. Whether I was still a board
6	member or	if it was right at the end. I don't recall.
7	Q	Okay. Would you have records to show that?
8	А	Records to show what?
9	Q	When you guys discussed insurance for her and
10	her partne	er?
11	А	No. It was all verbal.
12	Q	Okay. How often would you say you speak with
13	her?	
14	А	Once a month, maybe.
15	Q	Did you take a look at the laptop that she
16	took from	North Shore instead of returning it after she
17	no longer	worked there?
18	А	No.
19	Q	Were you aware that that happened?
20	А	No.
21	Q	The board meeting at August 27, 2019, you made
22	a statemen	nt at that meeting. Was it in writing?
23	А	I had it I had notes in writing, yes.
24	Q	Okay. Do you still have them?
25	А	No.

1 Q Why did you get rid of them? 2 I never had them after I typed them up. I 3 never saved it. I typed it up, I printed it, and I closed and I did not save. And I got rid of the -- what 5 I had in my hand right after that meeting. I just 6 didn't need it anymore. 7 Q So you printed it out and had it at the meeting and then you immediately threw it away? 9 Yeah. It's out in the landfill somewhere. 10 And you erased it off your computer? 11 I never erased it. I never saved it. typed it up, printed it. You know how when you hit 13 close and you say, do you want to save this document? 14 said no. Why didn't you save it? 15 I didn't -- I don't know. There's a lot of 16 Α 17 stuff I type up that I don't save. How did you compile the statement? 18 19 I don't remember the statement exactly. Α 20 know that the minutes from that meeting, I thought Tracy 21 captured it very well. I know that within a matter of 22 days prior to that meeting, I had a conversation with some landscapers that led me to part of my statement. 23 I

25

had discovered the situation with Mr. Smith directing

the CAM to miscode the invoice. Before that meeting,

there were just several things over the past probably four, five, six months that led up to my being very frustrated. Having tried to talk to Mr. Gordon about a couple of things, having tried to talk to Mr. Smith about a couple of things, and basically being dismissed, led to whatever my thoughts were at that point that morning that was what I thought I'm going to the board tonight with this.

- Q Okay. So you wrote it that day?
- A That morning, yes.
- Q Okay. I want to make sure that we get all the reasons why that you can recall. I know the miscoding, conversation with landscaper, anything else?
- A Are you able to remind me of what I specifically said?
- Q Oh, executive -- acting as an unauthorized executive committee.
- A Over the past several months, every single closed board meeting it was Mr. Smith and Mr. Gordon -- Mr. Smith and Mr. Gordon, they had decided this -- they had decided that, and they just needed us to vote on it. There was -- and when I started asking questions and I wanted to look into things, I was told that I didn't need to worry about it.
  - Q What questions were you trying to look into?



1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

1	A The hiring of Tracy. The hiring of Access
2	Management. I actually tried to understand what was
3	going on with the Burman swale issue. There were things
4	that I had been asking questions about on, you know,
5	what was going on that we couldn't get current financial
6	statements. And I don't speak this way. I am quoting
7	Mr. Gordon when he said to me, "We need to stay out of
8	each other's shit." In other words, don't ask questions
9	except if it's about ARB and communications.
10	Q Anything else?
11	A That's all I can think of at the moment.
12	Q Okay. So in regards to the one time that you
13	believe something was miscoded on a ledger, is that your
14	opinion as a lay person?
15	A No. I reached out to a couple of CPAs,
16	without identifying the specifics or Mr. Smith, and I
17	said, "In what situation would you code a labor charge
18	to a website GL code?" And, independent of each other,
19	they said, "You would not."
20	Q So that's what you're basing all of this on?
21	A That one, yes.
22	MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection.
23	Q Okay. What conversation with the landscaper



Α

25

created an issue for you?

I was walking, a crew of landscapers were

there. The board had recently discussed the maintenance 1 of the pond behind marsh -- Shallow Marsh, I think it is or marsh -- I don't know. One of those streets in Marsh 3 l Pines. And there was a crew at the corner of North 5 Shore Golf Club Boulevard and the Autumn Creek entrance, and I just stopped and said, "Hey, I'm Lynn Sandford, I'm a board member. Have you guys gone over to the pond in Marsh Pine?" One of the guys spoke fairly decent English, understood me, I understood him, and we talked 9 I about the pond for a minute. And then he said, "Hey, is there any way you can help get us paid for some work we 11 did on Mr. Gordon's property?" I said, "What work?" And 12 they said they had moved a tree and they hadn't been 13 paid. I then went to the office after, that same day, 14 and I asked Lisa what that was about, and she said, "Yeah, the guys did some work for Dave and it was on his 16 property so he has to pay them. We're not going to pay them." And I said, "Oh, okay." 181 What's the problem with that? 19

The problem is they were looking for the HOA to pay them for work that had been done on Mr. Gordon's property.

How can you take that -- did they say, "I want 24 the HOA to pay me," or did they say, "Do you know when 25 we are going to get paid?"



20

21

22

23

1	A They asked if I could help them get paid.
2	Q Do you have any idea what whether this
3	person was in management or knew anything about it
4	besides what they asked you?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. These were the maintenance people that
7	worked for the golf course, right?
8	A I don't know. They were the landscaping crew
9	that was working for the HOA. Now, if that's the same
10	crew that works for the golf course, I don't know.
11	Q You don't recall how landscaping was done at
12	North Shore while you were a board member?
13	A Yeah, I know that there was a contract done
14	with the golf course. I know that at some point, and I
15	don't remember when, that that contract kind of fell
16	apart and we were going away from the golf course, so I
17	don't know if the guys that I spoke with at the time
18	that I spoke with them, if it was the golf course crew
19	working for the HOA or whether it was I don't
20	remember. I'm sorry.
21	Q Okay. Do you have anything more concrete than
22	what you're telling me for making the statement that you
23	made at the HOA meeting on August 27, 2019?
24	A Such as? I don't understand. Be more

407.423.9900

25

Anything more than conjecture?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Object to the form. 1 2 I don't think having -- I'm sorry? 3 He objected to the form. You can answer. I don't believe it's conjecture. I have the 4 5 -- I have at least one invoice with instructions to miscode it. I have --6 7 According to an accountant who had not all the facts, correct? 8 I beg your pardon? 9 According to an accountant who you said some 10 generalities to, correct? 11 12 Α No. 13 Who were the accountants? I don't recall her name and I didn't speak 14 with her. I spoke with Lisa and I requested a copy of the invoice from Lisa. 17 You said you went to some accountants and asked about in generalities. 18 l Oh, okay. All right. 19 20 Q Who were they? 21 А Do I have to answer that? 22 0 Yeah. So Brian Friedel was one. 23 24 Who was the other? Q 25 It was -- I called H&R Block. I don't А

1 remember who --Just like a random H&R Block? 2 I called H&R Block and asked to speak with a 3 Α 4 CPA and they gave me whoever they had. Okay. And Brian Friedel is one of the 5 plaintiffs with you in another lawsuit against the association, right? 8 Α Yes. 9 Okay. Do you recall there being discussions regarding the hiring of Tracy where you got to give your 10 11 input? The decision to hire Tracy was made before I 12 13 complained that it had not been discussed openly with the board, and Dave and Steve backtracked very quickly 14 and said, "Well, we'll send you her resume. You can talk to her. You can do this, you can do that." The 161 decision had already been made. 17 You don't recall voting on what her salary and 18 what her contract would be, and there was back and forth 19 20 about how that would happen? Yes. That was all after the decision had been 21 made. It was being shoved down our throats. 22 I How can a decision be made without you when 23 0 you just admit that you voted on her salary and

contract?

25 l

1	A After they after the back and forth on
2	they announced that they were hiring Tracy. I said,
3	"Wait a second. When did we discuss this? When did we
4	discuss the need? When did we this? When did we that?"
5	So all of that you are now talking about happened after
6	the decision to hire her had been made. At that point,
7	it was a done deal and we discussed salary position. She
8	was also to be hired, and I quote, as a project manager.
9	Q What's the problem with that?
10	A She is a CAM and it became clear that she was
11	there to be a CAM.
12	Q Are you aware that CAMs do project management
13	at HOAs all the time?
14	A Is there a reason why an HOA like ours needs
15	two CAMs or a project manager when we have a management
16	company that's supposed to be doing project management?
17	Q Are you aware that that happens sometimes,
18	too, when Access had personnel?
19	A She wasn't a personnel member of Access until
20	we hired her.
21	Q So you're okay if a management company CAM
22	does project management at North Shore, is that fair?
23	A If it is part of the contract for the
24	management company to provide a project manager.



25

MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY
TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

This swale, when is the last time that

1 actually was an issue that you can recall? 2 Had to have been 2018. Had to have been. I 3 don't recall. 4 Okay. So you just said that that was part of 5 your problem in August of 2019. Is it fair to say that that had not even been an issue for at least a year-anda-half, probably? 7 8 No, that's not fair. I think that it was 9 still an issue, an ongoing issue. 10 Do you still think it's an ongoing issue? I don't know. I know that in 2019 it was 11 still something that we were discussing in board 13 meetings. Okay. And you said that you -- I mean, we've 14 15 talked a little bit about your problems with the 16 financials, but you admit you don't even know if North 17 l Shore was audited every year. I'd have to go back and look and see if I have 18 audit reports from each year. I mean, I presume yes, 19 because you're supposed to, but I don't know for a fact. 20 Well, assuming that North Shore was audited 21 every year as it's supposed to be, would that make you 22 I 23 l feel better about the financials? 24 Α No.

Q

Why?

25

1	A Because historically North Shore has provided
2	an updated financial report on a monthly basis and there
3	were six, seven months that would go by with no updated
4	financials and nothing but excuses from Mr. Smith that
5	things had gotten messed up, that things were not ready,
6	that things were not updated. It was just repeated
7	excuses why updated financials were not available.
8	Q Do you recall there being problems with the
9	management company Aegis slash that changed to Artemis
10	during the time that they merged?
11	A I recall there were claims of problems.
12	Q Did you investigate any of them?
13	A I never got to see any of the actual problems,
14	no.
15	Q Okay. So you don't remember there being
16	problems with the compliance system or the ARB system or
17	the financials or getting the bank accounts moved over
18	or any of that?
19	A Not under Artemis. I remember that under
20	Access coming in. Wasn't Penny Sexton under Access?
21	Because that's when there were problems with the
22	compliance and the ARB systems.
23	Q You don't remember there being problems with
24	getting the bank accounts moved over from all the way to
25	First Service times?

I wasn't on the board at that time. I don't 1 Α -- so, no, I don't know. 2 Okay. Is it fair to say that the board 3 0 meeting minutes are probably better records of what 5 happened while you were a board member than your memory? 6 Α Probably. 7 You've had a chance to review board meeting minutes and approve them or disapprove them at -- when 9 they were brought up before the board, correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 Do you remember the board meeting minutes 12 being behind for a time? 13 Α Yes. Was that Lisa Mason's fault, or was that board 14 15 members' faults? No, that was your fault. 16 Oh, how is it my fault? 17 My understanding was that you were telling 18 Α 19 Lisa not to produce minutes. I specifically asked. 20 Q Okay. So Lisa Mason said that to you? 21 I believe that, yes. Α 22 And why would that be happening? 23 Α I can't recall the reason why, but there was a 24 reason why. 25 Q Okay. All right. In your statement -- Okay.

1 Is there anything else that caused you to make the statement that you made on August 27, 2019? 2 Executive committee, financials -- nope, I 3 can't recall at this time. I believe that's it. That's 5 all I can recall today. Okay. What -- what business did you believe 6 7 that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon conducted outside of board meetings? 8 9 Well, the most prominent things were the 10 hiring of Tracy, and the hiring of Access Management, and the firing of Lisa Mason. 11 Okay, so we talked about Tracy already. Is it 12 0 not your understanding that Lisa Mason declined to sign her contract with Access, the new management company? 14 15 That is partially my understanding. What's the rest of your understanding? 16 17 That they were offering a contract that we had not agreed for her to be offered. 181 19 Q How is that firing her? That seems like 20 hiring her. 21 No, that's separate. That's separate. So is it your opinion that Access wanted to hire her, or not? 23 24 No, it is my opinion that -- or it is my 25 knowledge that when Access was being shoved down our



1	throats, I expressed concern and said as long as it		
2	includes Lisa Mason, and very happily Steve and Dave		
3	agreed, "Yes, it has to include Lisa Mason," and it has		
4	it is my belief and my opinion, happens when the		
5	subsequent month went by and it was became very clear		
6	to me that Steve and Dave did not want Lisa there.		
7	Q Did Access offer her a contract or not?		
8	A Yes, they offered a contract. No, it was not		
9	what we agreed, as a board, would be the content. One		
10	of which was a nondisclosure agreement, we all agreed		
11	that that would not be part of the contract.		
12	Q But you understand that she was going to be an		
13	employee at the management company, correct?		
14	A Correct.		
15	Q And that some things a management company		
16	might not want to budge on because that's just how they		
17	do things, correct?		
18	A That's correct, and that was going to be a		
19	deal breaker for hiring Access.		
20	Q The when did Lisa bring to the board that		
21	she wanted to sign the contract, but she couldn't		
22	because she didn't want that one provision in it in		
23	there?		
24	A I don't recall the date.		



Q

25

Do you recall it happening?

7	7		
1	A Vaguely.		
2	Q Who was present?		
3	A I don't recall.		
4	Q Okay. The problems with Access, we talked		
5	about them a little bit. I asked you if you were oh,		
6	no, sorry, strike that. With Artemis and Aegis when it		
7	moved over, do you recall any of the problems that the		
8	association was having with Artemis/Aegis, as they		
9	merged together and started to provide services?		
10	A Not specifically. I remember some grumblings.		
11	I don't remember specifics today.		
12	Q Okay. Do you remember the principal of either		
13	company coming to a board meeting and discussing the		
14	problems?		
15	A I recall the principals coming to meetings. I		
16	don't recall them discussing the problems. I remember		
17	vaguely, again, the growing pains of a merger,		
18	discussing that, but nothing seemed to not be able to be		
19	overcome. It was just a, you know, standard thing that		
20	happens when you merge companies, is how it was		
21	presented.		
22	Q Do you remember Dave Berman coming way before		
23	anyone knew there was going to be a merger, and		
24	apologizing, and discussing the issues of the company		

25 with the board?

1	A Vaguely. I remember him coming. Was I at
2	that meeting that you're discussing, that you're
3	describing? Because I know I missed a meeting that Dave
4	Berman came to, but I was at another meeting that he did
5	come to, and I'm not recalling specifics of that
6	meeting.
7	Q Can you say with 100 percent certainty as you
8	sit here today, that Access and Artemis were providing
9	good service pursuant to the contract, to the HOA?
10	A Artemis and Aegis?
11	Q Aegis, yeah, sorry.
12	A I can't say either way with 100 percent
13	certainty, no.
14	Q Okay. Do you think it was prudent to base
15	that have one of the bases be the change in
16	management companies, when you stand up in front of the
17	entire HOA community and say that individuals violated
18	their fiduciary duty?
19	A I'm sorry, clarify that. What are you asking
20	me?
21	Q Do you think it's prudent to stand up in front
22	of the HOA and say that members of the board violated
23	their fiduciary duty, based upon stuff that you barely
24	even can recall?

25

Well, I'm sure I could --

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

A

MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form. 1 2 -- I knew it at the time. Α 3 So you think you knew it at the time, you just don't know it now? 4 5 I'm not recalling it right now. 6 0 Okay. 7 I'm not recalling specifics right now. 8 Okay. Any other specific business that you Q 9 believe that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon conducted outside the board meeting, that you have not yet told 101 11 me? Not that I can recall at this moment. 12 Α 13 What is an unauthorized executive committee 14 mean? 15 It means the two of them were discussing and making decisions that were basically done deals before 17 they brought it to the board. There was very little discussion, other than, "Well, Steve and I have already 18 threshed this out, or Steve has already this, or Dave 19 20 l has already that, just vote on it." And I'll openly admit, I was a good little sheep, and did my good little 21 just vote for it duty until I stated getting 23 uncomfortable, which is when I started asking questions. 24 And as far as actions that actually occurred,



25

that you might be uncomfortable with, besides the hiring

of Access and the hiring of Tracy, like actual things that happened, is there anything else?

A Steve came to several meetings with proposed motions, closed meetings, mind you, with proposed motions, so that we would all just rubber stamp whatever he and Dave had discussed and decided we needed to make motions on. Again, no open discussion, no debate.

Q Well, I'm confused because you just testified a little while ago that you had many -- that you had many questions about Tracy, you brought it up, and you talked about it. And so were there discussions or weren't there?

A So there was discussion, it was — the way it's sounding at this moment is not proper characterization. It was I was objecting to them just deciding who we were going to hire, and then the discussion ensued. I objected to they decided we were going to hire Access, and then it followed with discussion. By then, it was a done deal. It was a done deal, they just needed the rest of us to vote.

- Q Is micromanaging the staff a breach of fiduciary duty?
  - A No, I think that's separate.
- Q Well, you mentioned it in the speech that you 25 gave. Why did you mention that?



2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Because I don't think it's appropriate for any 1 board member to spend six to eight hours a day in the office, overseeing what the management staff is doing. They're trained, they're -- or they're licensed to do 5 their job. 6 Do you believe that there was adequate staff 7 when Lisa Mason was the CAM? I believe this community functioned very well 8 Α with a single CAM and an assistant for a very long time. 9 Do I think certain things happened in communities where 10 you do need to add, such as when they added on the 11 gates? Do I think we needed somebody who'd go around 12 13 repeatedly fixing the gates that kept breaking? Probably. Not full time. So yes, I believe we were 14 15 staffed adequately. Even though the -- you also alleged that the 16 dock wasn't being maintained appropriately, that the 17 landscaping wasn't maintained appropriately, that 18 19 compliance wasn't being done appropriately, you felt 20 that there still was adequate staff? 21 А Yes. What actions do you believe were taken 22 23 by -- well, we'll take them one by one. What did Steve



А

24

25

MILESTONE REPORTING COMPANY
TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

Smith do to micromanage the staff?

By his own words, he would tell us how he was

1	managing, and I can't remember the girl's name, the		
2	accounting person that he was working with at Aegis.		
3	She's an accountant, she should be able to receive		
4	documents, put them in the system, spit out, do whatever		
5	accountants do, or accounting clerks, whatever she was,		
6	and do her job.		
7	Q Do you recall the accounting person who was		
8	dealing with North Shore would you recall her being		
9	fired for not doing her job appropriately?		
10	A Vaguely, now that you mention it. And then I		
11	remember Steve saying we can get her back through		
12	Access. He wanted to work with that person.		
13	Q Yeah, I believe you might be thinking about		
14	Michelle Sapienza?		
15	A It might have been, I don't recall the name.		
16	Q Okay. Yeah, she quit Access to leave because		
17	of being unhappy, I believe, but how did Dave Gordon		
18	micromanage		
19	MR. BERNSTEIN: Somebody else? Is there		
20	somebody else on the phone?		
21	BY MS. ENGLERT:		
22	Q Dave Gordon's here, yes, as a board member		
23	as a representative. How did Dave Gordon micromanage?		
24	A You would have to ask the staff that question.		
25	Q Well, you said it to everyone, so what made		



you say it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Conversations with staff, where they felt that they were being micromanaged by Steve and Dave.

Okay. And was that with your friend, Lisa?

No.

With who? 0

That was with Carlos, that was with Tanya, that was with who -- somebody we had for an extremely short period of time. I can't remember her name.

0 Okay.

That was with Tracy, Tracy Durham told me that. Oh, there's her name, that popped up. Tracy Durham.

Okay. Why did you ask Dave and Steve to resign?

If I recall correctly, it was because they had been acting as an unauthorized executive committee, because of Steve -- I mean, yes, do you have the one invoice as my evidence? Yes. Do I suspect that if he did it once, he did it other times? Yes. So that was the reason for that. Dave, not disclosing that you were both his personal attorney and his -- and the HOA attorney, when in fact, over many years of people specifically asking him and him saying that you were not 25 his attorney except prior to his being a board member,

when clearly you were, it's on a bunch of court documents that you are representing him as an individual 3 on different cases. The fact that he didn't disclose to 4 the community that his personal assistant is also the 5 assistant for an HOA vendor, for their billing purposes. 6 I mean, is any of it technically illegal? No. unseemly, is it -- does it violate the policies that Dave and Steve wanted us to have for vendor policies and 9 conflict of interest policies? Absolutely.

But you don't think it was a conflict to talk to personnel who worked for the HOA regarding selling them your services?

- Not when they approached me, no.
- Okay. Let's talk about the meeting that 15 occurred after that to close --

Α Maybe if I -- let me back up. Had I sold Lisa a policy of any kind, I probably would have disclosed 18 that. However, you're also talking about certain HIPAA laws on medical and life, that not only don't have to be disclosed, but cannot be disclosed. Now had I sold her a policy, I probably would have disclosed that I had sold a policy. I wouldn't have been able to say whether it was medical or whether it was life, but that, you know, yes.

> Have you kept all of the confidential Q.



1

10

11

12

13

14

16

20 l

21

22

23

24

25

information that you learned as a board member 1 confidential, or have you shared some of it? 2 3 May I have an example? 4 No. I ask the questions. 5 I can't -- well, that's fine. I just -- I can't think of anything that I've disclosed that was 7 confidential board information. 8 Q Would you have made commission if you sold that insurance policy to Lisa? Α Yes. 10 Were you aware that Lisa was being paid 11 directly to manage The Verandas, the condo association that's next to North Shore, the HOA? 13 l I can't state with specificity what I did or 14 15 didn't know. I know that we all knew, and had discussed 16 on a number of occasions, that Lisa was going to be performing CAM duties for The Verandas, that there was 18 compensation involved in that, but whether Verandas paid 19 the HOA and the HOA paid Lisa, or whether The Verandas 20 paid her directly, I don't know any of that. Okay. Are you aware of her losing any 21 professional licenses before she came to work at North 23 l

Shore?

Α I am not aware.

Are there any parties you believe should be 0



24

25

1 part of this lawsuit that I'm -- that are not parties? 2 Well, again, I haven't looked at that one, the 3 amended one --4 Okay, wait, let me -- I'm going to stop you, not to be rude but just to make sure we're not on the 5 wrong track. Okay, so we're -- the lawsuit that we're 7 here for, North Shore versus Lisa Mason and Lynn Sandford, is there any --8 9 Α Oh. -- indispensable parties that you believe 10 should be added to this lawsuit? 11 Indispensable parties? 12 Is there somebody that you believe should be 13 0 14 in this lawsuit who isn't? 15 Α As a plaintiff or as a defendant? Period, anyone. 16 Q 17 Α No. Okay. The damages that are being alleged 18 against you and Lisa, do you think that anybody else 20 created any wrongful acts besides those two? Well, we didn't create any wrongful acts 21 Α 22 either, so no. 23 Okay. Do you believe how -- that your removal from the North Shore board of directors was done 24 25 I incorrectly or wrongfully?



Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

19			
1	A Yes.		
2	Q Why?		
3	A Because under statute, you could the board		
4	can remove an officer. Only the membership can remove a		
5	director.		
6	Q Is that what the bylaws of North Shore say?		
7	A No. That's what the statutes say.		
8	Q So you believe that the bylaws, as drafted		
9	many years ago, are incorrect?		
10	A I don't know what the Florida statute was in		
11	2003, when those bylaws were drafted, so I couldn't tell		
12	you whether they were incorrect or not. I know that		
13	Florida statute, that over the years, many times when		
14	Florida statute changes, HOAs have to I don't know		
15	what the right word is allow for or whatever, that if		
16	their governing documents are in conflict with the		
17	statute, they have to allow for one or the other. For		
18	example, if the statute says, "Unless the governing		
19	documents state otherwise, then your governing documents		
20	stand." But if the statute doesn't say that, then the		
21	statute is the one you have to go by.		
22	Q And do you have any legal experience?		
23	A No. What kind of legal experience?		
24	Q Any.		
25	A I'm not an attorney, no.		

```
Okay. Now the -- there was a board meeting
 1
 2
   after your statement that you gave, and you told me now
 3
   everything that you have, that you say backs up the
   statements that you made, right? We didn't miss
 5
   anything?
 6
              That I can recall today, yes.
        Α
 7
              You realize it would be important to know that
        Q
 8
   today, right?
              It's important -- I don't understand the
 9
        Α
   question.
10
              Today is the day where you're supposed to
11
   answer that question.
12
13
        Α
             Okay.
14
              You understand that, right?
        0
15
        Α
             To the best of my ability, I have answered
16
   that question.
17
        0
             Okay. Did you agree, at that meeting, to make
   an apology and step down from the board?
18
             I did not.
19
             Okay. So you don't -- you did not say that.
20
   What do you recall saying at that meeting?
21
              I recall saying, "I would certainly ponder
22
23
   your request," and I needed to see it in writing.
24
             Okay.
        Q
             And the reason I remember that is because when
25
        Α
```



Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

I approached an attorney immediately after, I reiterated the entire conversation to that attorney, so I've been able to refer back to that. My exact words were, "I will certainly ponder your request. I need to see it in writing." So how -- did you, like, write down what

happened afterward, or you just remember calling somebody right away and they wrote down what happened?

Called her in my car on my way home.

And how do you know that that person wrote down everything that you said?

Α I don't.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Do you believe you were threatened in that meeting?

Yes. Α

0 How so?

In order to avoid this lawsuit, I had to agree to publicly apologize. I had to agree to step down from the board. I had to agree to never speak badly of any board member past, present, or future again. I had to agree to not run for the board. I had to -- I had to agree to a bunch of things that I can't recall specifically at this moment. I can pull up that agreement that you did eventually send to me, but that wasn't going to happen, so here we are.

407.423.9900

1 Q Do you --And it was a threat because if I didn't do all 2 А 3 of that, you were going to sue me. And here we are, you're suing me, so you carried out on the threat. 4 5 You don't think a settlement agreement to avoid a law -- so do you think every lawsuit's a threat 7 then, basically? No. I think extorting someone to bring about 8 Α your desired result is a threat, yes. 9 So you think every settlement of a lawsuit is 10 11 extortion? Α No. 12 Okay. How did you participate in the annual 13 meeting that followed, in October of 2020 -- 2019. 14 15 What do you mean how did I participate? How did you participate? Did you go to it? 16 17 Showed up, yes. Α Did you --18 0 19 Α I sat at the board table. 20 Q Did you collect proxies? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q. Did other people assist you in collecting 23 proxies? 24 Α No. 25 Do you know if there were other people Q.

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

	200400 Bandrotd Bynn 01-20-2022 - VOB. 1 Page 104			
1	collecting proxies?			
2	А	Yes.		
3	Q	Who was collecting proxies?		
4	A I don't know, a whole bunch of people.			
5	Q Okay. Did you hire Alan Taylor to attend that			
6	meeting?			
7	A	I did not.		
8	Q	How about the parliamentarian?		
9	A	I did.		
10	Q	Okay. How did you find him?		
11	А	I sent e-mails to a number of		
12	parliamentarians, inquiring as to their services. I got			
13	responses from four, I discussed the situation, I got			
14	quotes fr	om three, and I settled on Mr. Alman.		
15	Q	Okay. Who hired Alan Taylor?		
16	А	I don't know.		
17	Q	Did you ever find out?		
18	А	No.		
19	Q	Do you agree that the ballots were never		
20	opened at	that meeting?		
21	А	They were not opened at that meeting.		
22	Q	Do you think that they were		
23	A	The ballot		
24	Q	opened another time?		
25	A	I'm sorry, back up. Would you re-ask the		



## MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

1	question please?		
2	Q Sure, the ballots for the ballots that got put		
3	in the ballot box		
4	A Yes.		
5	Q would you agree they were not opened at		
6	that meeting?		
7	A No, my understanding was that you had people,		
8	ballot counters, that were opening them and counting		
9	them in another room. Is that not correct? Am I		
10	recalling incorrectly?		
11	Q So you believe that the ballots were opened		
12	without anyone seeing?		
13	A No, I just believe that I I don't know.		
14	Q Okay.		
15	A My understanding is that there were ballot		
16	counters in another room that were opening and counting		
17	ballots. That was my understanding at that time. Did I		
18	see it? No.		
19	Q How about now? Do you think those ballots		
20	were actually opened?		
21	A I still believe, yes, that they were being		
22	counted.		
23	Q Do you remember that there was another attempt		
24	at an annual meeting, with all the ballots brought, and		
25	there was no quorum?		

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

1	A No begans I did not attend the good		
	A No, because I did not attend the second		
2	attempt at an annual meeting.		
3	Q Okay. Why not?		
4	A I was protesting the second attempt.		
5	Q Okay. How about the next the annual		
6	meeting for the next year, when there wasn't a quorum?		
7	Did you have anything to do with telling people not to		
8	come and have a quorum?		
9	A I encouraged was this before I don't		
10	remember, was this before or after the arbitration order		
11	of May 15th?		
12	Q The last election that was had at North Shore.		
13	A What date was that?		
14	Q It would have been in 2021, in March.		
15	A Okay. Okay. So yes, I would have encouraged		
16	people not to participate in any election that was not		
17	in full compliance with the DBPR order.		
18	Q Why did you do that?		
19	A Because the elections were for two seats, and		
20	not in compliance with the DBPR order.		
21	Q How many other people encouraged people not to		
22	participate?		
23	A I do not know.		
24	Q Did you encourage people not to participate on		
25	social media?		

Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS

1 Did I encourage -- I don't understand the 2 question. 3 Okay, you said you encouraged people not to participate. How did you do that? 5 Oh, you mean how did I accomplish that? Yeah. 0 6 7 I believe I said -- I may have had one post on 8 | social media, I don't recall. I know that people were 9 calling me and asking me, and I know that -- I'm trying 10 to think. I don't think I -- I may have sent an e-mail. 11 I don't recall, I'd have to look. Okay, and where would you have to look for 12 Q 13 that? On my flash drive. 14 15 Okay. Who else was encouraging people not to Q 16 participate in the HOA election? 17 I don't recall. I don't know. 18 Okay. So it's your position that unless the election is for all five seats, that there shouldn't be 201 one? 21 Α Correct. Did you tell Maria O'Donnell, or Rose Meister, 22 23 or anyone else involved in a lawsuit with the HOA, that if your board took over, that you'd drop the lawsuit? 24 Well, I haven't spoken to Rose Meister since 25 Α

2009, so definitely not Rose. I have spoken with Maria, but no, I couldn't have. I know that there was a conversation, and I said, "Unfortunately the HOA is not a party to your lawsuit, so the HOA can't do anything about your lawsuit."

Q Okay. Maria O'Donnell has gone -- or sorry,
Rose Meister put on social media that she helped your

Rose Meister put on social media that she helped your group get information together for the lawsuit. Do you have any idea what she's talking about?

A Nope.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ENGLERT: Okay. I have a -- some documents that I'm going to show you, that are not the ones that your attorney prepared. Matt, do you want me to e-mail them to you, and then you can e-mail them to her, and then she could just look at them the way we did the other ones?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Sure.

MS. ENGLERT: Okay, we could take like maybe a five-minute break, that way you'll have time to get them, and we can have a restroom break. I don't have terribly much more.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

MS. ENGLERT: Is that good?

MR. BERNSTEIN: Sounds good.

COURT REPORTER: All right, let me get you guys



```
off record.
 1
 2
                (OFF THE RECORD)
 3
                (Proceedings continued on Volume II.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

www.MILESTONEREPORTING.com

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

CORPORATE ORLANDO, FL 32801 JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256 TAMPA, FL 33602

## 407.423.9900 Fax 407.841.2779 Toll Free 855-MYDEPOS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 1 ORIGINAL 2 IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO.: 2019-CA-013714-0 4 5 NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART ORTING COMPANY HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC., 7 PLAINTIFF, 8 TECHNOLOGY TODAY 9 VS. 10 11 LISA MASON AND LYNN SANDFORD, DEFENDANTS. 12 13 VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF LYNN SANDFORD - VOLUME II 14 ۵. Ш JANUARY 20, 2022 15 DATE: 2 16 REPORTER: MICHELLE VASQUEZ OMORROW'S 17 PLACE: ALL PARTIES APPEARED VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE MILESTONE 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
APPEARANCES
 1
 2
   ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF, NORTH SHORE AT LAKE HART
   HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, INC.:
   Jennifer A. Englert, Esquire
 5 l
 6 Orlando Law Group, PL
   12301 Lake Underhill Road
   Suite 213
 8 I
   Orlando, Florida 32828
10
   Telephone No.: (407) 512-4394
   Facsimile No.: (407) 982-7250
11
   E-mail: jenglert@theorlandolawgroup.com
12
13
   (Appeared via videoconference)
14
15 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, LYNN SANDFORD:
16 Matthew B. Bernstein, Esquire
17 Vernis & Bowling of Central Florida, P.A.
18
   1450 South Woodland Boulevard
19 Floor 4
20 l
   Deland, Florida 32720
21
   Telephone No.: (386) 734-2505
22 Facsimile No.: (386) 734-3441
   E-mail: mbernstein@florida-law.com
23 L
24
   (Appeared via videoconference)
25
```



	200.00 00.0000 2, 01 20 2022 02. 11	
1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT	173
4	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BERNSTEIN	213
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT	230
6		
7	EXHIBITS	
8	Exhibit	Page
9	Plaintiff's	
10	1 - Facebook post and comments	223
11	2 - Copy of Email	223
12		
13	Defendant's	
14	3 - Complaint	223
15	4 - August 27, 2019, Minutes	229
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
- 1		



```
1
             (Proceedings continued from Volume I.)
 2
            COURT REPORTER: All right, back on the record.
 3
             BY MS. ENGLERT:
 4
             Okay, this first page, it's up on the screen
 5
   but I believe you have it as well. It's
   electnewhoaboardagain@gmail.com. We talked about it a
 7
   little bit earlier. Does this help refresh your
   recollection regarding this e-mail address?
 9
             It does not, I'm sorry. I don't recall that
10
   address.
11
        0
             Okay, do you have -- do you recognize this e-
   mail at all?
12
13
             Yes.
14
             Okay. Who put this e-mail together?
15
             The core team discussed it and came up with
   this collaborative effort.
16
17
             And again, just for clarity, that core team is
   the people that we've already talked about a couple
18
19
   different times?
20
             Yes, Dr. Mathison, Kurt Kuhl --
21
             Kurt Kuhl.
        0
22
             Kelly Clavijo, Tom Garland, and myself.
23
             Okay. The document that is attached, that
24
   starts, "Dear neighbor" ---
25
        Α
             Okay.
```

```
1
        Q
              Do you want to take a look at that? Because
 2
   I'm going to ask you some questions about it.
 3
        Α
              Yeah, so let me read it. Hold on.
 4
              Sure. It's pretty long. You do not have to
   read it all, but I can -- if that's what you would like
 5
 6
   to do.
 7
        Α
             Yeah, I would only because I need to refresh
   my memory with it, so please.
 8
 9
        Q
             Okay.
10
             Can you scroll a little bit for me? I don't
11
   have one.
12
        Q
             Oh, I -- oh, I -- you don't have it in front
13
   of you?
14
        Α
             No. You sent it to Matt, right?
15
            MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, could you send it to her,
16
       it's six pages --
17
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, and I'm just --
18
            MS. ENGLERT: -- long.
19
            MR. BERNSTEIN: I -- you actually just sent me
20
       back what I published to you before. You didn't
21
       send me that.
            MS. ENGLERT: No, it's -- if you click on the
22
23
       link, it's two different things.
24
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, maybe I'm -- hold on.
25
            MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, if you click on the link,
```

```
1
       there's two. One is --
            MR. BERNSTEIN: I -- yeah. Okay. That was my
 2
 3
       bad. I just --
 4
            MS. ENGLERT: Yeah, no problem.
 5
            MR. BERNSTEIN: -- opened the attachment in
 6
       time. Yeah.
 7
            MS. ENGLERT: Yeah. It's six pages long, so
       scrolling is not -- I mean, we could do it that way,
 8
       but it would probably be much easier.
10
            THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on for me.
                                                  Let me
11
       know when you've sent it, Matt.
12
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Yeah, will do.
13
            COURT REPORTER: Would you like me to go off
14
       record momentarily?
15
            MR. BERNSTEIN: No, it's okay. I just sent
       those to her, so Lynn, you should have those.
16
            THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on. Let me go do a
17
18
       refresh and see if I can make it come in sooner.
19
       There we go. It just came in. Hold on. Ooh,
20
       that's teeny tiny. Okay. I have it now.
21
             BY MS. ENGLERT:
22
             Okay. Do you want to scroll through it and
   read it or you want me to just start asking questions?
24
             Hold on, give me just a second. I'm on the
        Α
25 one that was apparently the attachment.
```

1 Q Yes. 2 Α All right. Hold on just a second. 3 Yeah, no problem. Q 4 Α Okay. 5 All right. I can start asking questions about 6 it? 7 Yes, ma'am. 8 Okay. Is this the fact sheet that you 9 referred to? 10 A No. 11 Okay. How did this document get put together? I know we discussed it with the core team. believe I drafted the body, and I believe other -- and I don't know who, but I believe the other core team members put the bullets together. I'm just looking through the bullets. Hold on. Yeah, I did not -- I beq 17 your pardon. I did not put the bullets together. I'm sorry. All of a sudden I have hiccups. 19 0 Whose computer was this typed on? 20 I don't know. Well, the base letter from the 21 "Dear neighbor" down to the starting of the list, I know that I drafted the initial draft and sent it to the core 22 23 team. 24 Q Okay. 25 I don't know who did the rest of it.

```
1
   don't know if it was one person or if it was a
   collaboration of the other core team members. Yeah.
 3
   That's lengthy.
 4
             And you have no idea who sent out the e-mail?
 5
             I don't know who's in control of that e-mail
 6
   address.
 7
        0
             Who would know?
 8
             I guess the person who owns it. I don't know.
        Α
 9
             What e-mail addresses was this e-mail sent to?
10
             I don't know.
             Did you compile a list of e-mail addresses
11
   that you were using?
13
             The only e-mail address -- the only e-mail
   addresses I had were from the directory in the North
14
   Shore website, and then any that I had just because they
16
   live here and they're friends.
17
             Did you share that list with other people?
18
             I don't recall.
19
             Did you share the text message -- the text
   numbers that you got together with other people?
21
             Did I share the phone numbers?
22
             Yeah. The 30 to 50 phone numbers you put
23 l
   together?
24
        Α
             No. No, I would not have shared that.
25
             Okay. How about the addresses? Did you share
        Q
```

the list of addresses with anyone besides MBI? 2 Α Yes. When I -- when we were preparing the tracking sheet for who had signed recalls, I shared that 3 4 with the other core team and I believe they probably shared it with street captains, but I don't know that. 5 Okay. So there was a check off sheet that you 6 7 had somewhere? 8 Yes, so that we could keep count. 9 Do you have those? 10 Α Yes. 11 Okay. Is that on the thumb drive or you have the actual hard copies? There's no hard copy of the tracking sheet. I 13 have all of the original recall petitions. And then the 14 tracking is on the thumb drive for the recall. You have the original -- you have copies of 16 17 the originals? 18 No, I have the original recall ballot. 19 0 You mean from the first one that was done 20 improperly? 21 Yes. I'm pretty sure I have those as well. 22 Okay. The original ones didn't get sent on as part of the package? Correct. They -- I just -- I have not 24 Α 25 destroyed them. I don't know if you're allowed to or

```
not, so I didn't, but they're in a separate folder from
   the recall ballots that were used for the petition
 3
   submission.
 4
          Okay. So do you have checkoff sheets that are
   physically on pieces of paper for the recall?
 5
 6
             No, it's electronic only. It's an Excel
   spreadsheet.
             Okay. And it's on your thumb drive?
 8
        0
             Yes, ma'am
             Okay. Did Lisa Mason or any CAM provide any
10
11
   information for this letter with your neighbor?
12
        Α
             Not to me.
13
             You said something earlier about Tracy Durham
   providing you some information that helped you kind of
14
15
   compile your thoughts about what went on in the
   association. What information did she provide to you?
16
             It was just a conversation that she felt that
17
        Α
   they were being micromanaged.
19
            Okay. So it was about micromanaging, nothing
        0
20
   else?
21
        Α
             Correct.
22
             Was John Baker ever part of the core team?
             Yes. Yes. Before he moved out of the
23
        Α
24
   community, yes.
25
             Okay. So what part of all of this helped you?
```

1 Α I'm sorry? 2 What part did John Baker help you with, of all 3 the stuff we've gone through? I don't recall. Nothing jumps out that's 4 specific. Different people had different pieces. We 5 all just kind of shared them together. John was really more instrumental in providing initial guidance, 7 procedural guidance, historical guidance. John and I really had lived here the longest, so from an historical 10 perspective, you know, just verbally sharing with the group how things had been through the history of the 12 community and that kind of thing. John helped me a lot with the history of the board seats. He and I reviewed 14 that document a lot, that I had put together. 15 All right. So this letter, is there anything 16 -- well, do you need to take a look at it to say whether 17 there's anything in here that you do not agree with? I'm sorry. Is that a question? What did you 18 Α 19 just say? 20 Is there anything in this letter that you do not agree with? 21 22 I would have to really go at it line by line. 23 I'm sorry. 24 All right. Well, I'm going to ask you a bunch 25 of questions about it, so we'll have our chance.

meeting -- the town hall meeting that took place that's 1 mentioned here. How do you know the questions weren't 3 addressed that were asked? Because you said so at the end of the meeting, 4 5 that we couldn't get to all of the questions. 6 Were there some that needed some additional 7 looking into? 8 Α My understanding from comments on social media were that people had submitted questions that did not 10 get answered. 11 So you believe everything that's on social 12 media? Not everything. I believe that. 13 14 Okay. How do you know what to believe and what not to believe? When someone says, "I asked a question that 16 17 did not get answered," I believe them. If somebody is speculating, then it's speculation. The fifth paragraph says your fellow residents 19 have been researching and documentation is in hand. 20 Which fellow residents? 21 l 22 The core team. Okay. And then -- well, was John Baker part 23 of that core team? 24 I don't remember when John moved out. I 25



1 really don't remember when he moved out, so I don't remember if he was part of this or -- well, wait a 3 second. Let's think it through. So this October 7th, was that 2019? 4 5 0 Yes. 6 So the town hall was before the October 22nd 7 meeting, correct? 8 We're talking -- the town hall meeting was 9 October 7th. Right, which was before the October 22nd 10 11 election meeting. Am I correct? 12 Yes. 0 Okay. So John Baker was a part of the core 13 14 team at that time. Okay. And he continued -- didn't he continue 15 16 to comment on social media and make his own posts, even after he moved out of that community? 17 18 Α Yes. 19 What's the documentation that was in hand? I believe that that's referring to the 20 financial reports that had been requested, like I know 21 that a couple of people on the core team had made formal records requests. I made a formal records request for 23 financial statements. And since I'm not remembering 25 what questions were not answered, I know that in that

discussion, in preparing this, different people had different documentation. 2 Okay. Let's go under management companies. 3 The first bullet point says, "Mr. Gordon made a comment 4 at a public board meeting that the prior management company was going down the tubes." So was it discussed or was it not discussed in board meetings, the problems with Artemis and Aegis? 8 I don't -- I don't have a specific 9 10 recollection of a board meeting where we discussed specific issues. And I didn't write this sentence, so I 11 don't know who made this code or what it was about. Okay. And you already testified that you 13 Q didn't remember when either Dave Berman or Domingo 15 l Sanchez came in and talked about the problems with 16 Access and Artemis, right? See, you're using the word problems. 17 Δ remember them coming in and discussing the merger and the growing pains of a merger. I don't remember the 19 20 word problems. Was -- for bullet point number 4, was the 21 second CAM -- it's -- I don't really understand what 22 this is referring to, but was a second cam ever hired at North Shore? 24 25 Α I believe that refers to Tracy.

1 Do you believe that she was hired as a full-2 time person with full benefits? 3 Do I believe she was hired -- do I believe Tracy was hired as a full-time employee with full 5 benefits? Yes. 6 0 How long did she work there? 7 I don't recall. Α 8 0 Was she replaced? 9 Was Tracy replaced? I don't recall. I was Α not on the board when she left. All right. Do you remember there being two 11 CAMs after Tracy didn't work there any longer? I do not recall. 13 Α 14 0 Okay. I have not been to the office since before 15 16 Tracy left. Okay. If you'll -- the second page, five 17 bullet points down. 18 Which one? 19 Α 20 It's --- so the second page of this letter, five bullet points down, it says the board has disparaged former CAM. 22 23 Α Yes. It says that all the meeting minutes were 25 available, right.

1 Α That's what it says. 2 Is that your recollection or was that not your 3 recollection? 4 That's not my writing. I don't know. 5 I'm asking what your recollection was. 6 Α Oh. Says, "minutes of the ARB and other 7 committees were available on community website and/or available to members who requested them." But that's only referring to ARB and other committees, not to board 10 meetings. 11 Well, the first line is about meeting minutes. 12 Right. But then the second sentence only refers to minutes of ARB and other committees. 13 14 Do you have any idea where any of these people got any of the information in this letter? 16 Α No. 17 Did anybody make sure that what's in this letter was correct? 18 I don't know how to answer that. I did not. 19 Α Was it anyone's job that you were aware of, to 20 21 make sure that everything in this letter was correct? 22 I am not aware. 23 Do you think that's a problem? I don't know. 24 Α 25 Do you believe that the 2019 budget was not

1 put together by the budget committee? 2 Do I believe that? Yes. I believe that. 3 Based upon what? 4 Mr. Smith didn't even know who was on the 5 finance committee, which he admitted at the town hall to the community at large. 7 Was that said in the context, because there hadn't been a new -- a recent meeting. Anybody could or 9 could not be on it anymore? 10 I have no idea why he said it. Do you -- but that's enough of a basis for you 11 to believe that the budget was completely put together 13 by him? 14 Α Yes. Did you -- do you know the people who have 15 been historically on the finance committee? 16 17 I know some. I don't know all. 18 Did you ask any of the ones that you knew 19 whether there had been -- whether they helped put 20 together the budget for 2019? 21 I did not. 22 Why not? I wasn't involved in that part of this. 23 Α 24 But you've testified a lot today about how you 25 had problems with the financial documents. So based



upon that, you didn't make an effort to find out how 1 budgeting was done and whether Steve Smith did this all 2 on his own? 3 I didn't get that far in my investigation 4 5 before everything started -- I don't know what the right word for it is. Become challenging or I was removed 7 from the board, or you know, so, no. 8 Q The landscape contract that was redone. you have any personal evidence that bids were not taken 9 10 for it? 11 Well, it'd be more of a lack of evidence, is I never received any document that showed bids were received from X, Y, and Z or -- I don't recall bids 13 being discussed in a board meeting. 14 15 Just because you don't recall it doesn't mean it didn't happen, right? 16 17 I don't have an answer for that. Okay. And you said you had no recollection of 18 0 Carla Daley ever discussing the landscaping project or 19 the people who came out and bid, or them going around 20 the community on golf carts looking or any of that, 21 22 right? I have no recollection of ever being in the 23 presence or in a meeting with Carla Daley. Okay. Does that mean it didn't happen? 25 Q

1 To the best of my recollection, it did not Α 2 happen. 3 But just because you can't remember it doesn't mean it didn't happen, correct? 5 I don't believe she was a whatever she was while I was a board member. And I did not attend any board meetings after I was not a board member. 8 Q Why didn't you? 9 Α I don't know. 10 Why haven't you attended board meetings since you were no longer on the board? 11 12 I just have not. I don't have a reason. Do people from the core team attend and then 13 share notes about what occurred? 14 15 Sometimes. Not every time. Did any lawyer help prepare this letter? 16 Not that I'm aware of. 17 Α Okay. There's some discussion in there about 18 19 SLAPP suits and Florida statute 720.304. Do you know 20 who put together all of the information regarding laws and whether laws were violated? 22 I'm sorry, can you point to that in here for 23 l me? 24 It's on -- I think it's the fourth page of the 25 letter, toward the bottom. It talks about SLAPP actions

1 and Florida statute 720.304. 2 Hold on, please. Oh, legal services. Legal 3 services? No. 4 Sorry. No, the next page, all the way at the 0 5 bottom. 6 Α The next page after legal services? 7 0 Correct. 8 Okay. Hold on. Amenities --9 It's before amenities, the paragraph before. 10 Okay, hold on. Ah, there, I see it. Okay. 11 "These cases were filed by Ms. Ingram on behalf of the HOA." I did not have anything to do with this. 13 Do you know who -- do you know who makes the 0 comments, the legal comments, on behalf of the core 14 15 group? I do not. I don't know who did that. 16 Α 17 Okay. Now let's go into amenities. There's Q -- did you think there was a problem that the buildings got removed from the buildings and ground committee? 19 The buildings got removed? What building? 20 Α Yeah. It's this letter. Look at the first 21 bullet point. It starts, "The community has a buildings 22 23 and grounds committee." Oh, wait. That's not the first bullet. Okay. 24 25 First bullet on the next page. Okay.

1 0 Yeah. Yeah. 2 "That has researched in many programs and 3 policies to promote a better community, yet Mr. Gordon announced at the town hall meeting that there is only a 4 grounds committee now." Okay. I didn't prepare this. I 6 don't know. 7 Do you have a problem with them removing the word "buildings" from the buildings and ground 9 committee? I don't know that the word problem is 10 appropriate. I don't know why there would be a 11 necessity to remove the word buildings when we have buildings. 13 14 What buildings do the HOA own? 15 The rec center. Do we not own that? I'm sorry. I'm not allowed to ask questions. 17 Well, the letter says that it's not, so it's -- well, the letter is kind of all over the place as to what the problems were. It's hard to determine what 19 20 problem was being discussed here that would be 21 malfeasance by the board. But is there anything in this 22 section that you believe the board did wrong from your 23 standpoint? Okay. Hold on. Former management -- "Former 24

25 management employees have informed members that

consultants have been contacted to examine those" --1 2 This is all unknown to me, Jennifer. I'm sorry. Okay. No, that's fine. I don't understand it 3 either, so I wanted to know if you understood. Under elections, there's some mention about what Michael Laster said regarding the meeting and when it would be. 7 Is it your position that the HOA board decides when the meeting is, or that Access decides when the meeting is? 9 Α Okay. I'm sorry. I was reading. Ask me 10 again, please. 11 Sure. Under elections, there's mention that Michael Laster -- well, I think that's wrong, but said that the meeting was being moved. Is it your position 13 that it is up to the HOA when the meeting is, or it's up 14 to Access? 15 No, it's up to the board. I believe this is 16 Α 17 referring to Mr. Lassiter or Laster, whatever his name is, announcing something that he had been told by the 18 board, I would presume. Do you understand that Access has to make sure 20 21 l they have adequate staff and that they have time to send out the mailers, and that's all stuff that they do, not 22 23 what the board does?

24 A Yeah.

Q Do you understand that they have a say in when



25

```
1
   the election happens, and in fact it has to happen when
 2
   they say?
 3
             No, I did not know.
        Α
             Have you ever been part of discussions as a
 4
 5
   board member about the Access needing to have specific
 6
   people present to help work the election and also have
 7
   enough time to send out the mailers?
 8
        Α
             Yes. I recall that.
 9
                    The last bullet point is regarding an
10
   error, regarding how many people were put on the ballot
11
   in 2018. Do you remember that?
12
             Hold on. The last bullet?
13
             On the same page.
        0
             Okay.
                    I'm sorry. So ask your question again?
14
             Look at that -- okay, so there was an error
15
   and only one person was put on for 2018 instead of two.
16
   Do you recall that?
17
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
             And who was the person who told the board and
20
   set up the election package?
             Who was the person that told the board what?
21
             Who was the person who let the board know how
22
23
   many people were up for election?
             In 2018 or 2019?
24
25
             This is 2019. It says right there.
```

1 Α I --- okay. I believe I'm the one who pointed 2 out to the board the error. 3 Do you recall it being Lisa Mason's error? Q No, I do not. 5 Whose error was it? б Whoever the management company prior to Lisa 7 was initiated the wrong error. Lisa inherited it incorrectly. It never got corrected until I caught it in 2019. 9 So you believe she was not the CAM in 2018? 10 11 Yes. But again, the history of the board stems back to before -- the errors on the history of the 12 board stem back to before Lisa was CAM. When Sarah 13 14 Crawford in 2018 announced that her term was up, Lisa didn't have a correct history, so Sarah was incorrect that her term was up. It wasn't. It was up -- it was 16 17 still to go for another year, but nobody caught that in '18. That's when Mr. Smith came on the board, and it 18 was in '19 that things had just been in my head, so confusing, and so -- just -- I knew something was wrong, 20 I just didn't know what. And that's when I went back 21 and looked at each of the years since the 2014 reset election, so that I could know in my own head what was 231 correct and what was not. I brought that to your 25 attention. You found a couple of things I had made a

1 mistake on and we got that straightened out. And then I thought we had what was a clear history of the board. Did the CAM in 2018 who ran the 2018 election 3 0 make the error? 4 5 Α No. 6 Who made the error? Whoever the CAM -- and I don't remember who we 7 Α had before 2014. Do you -- I don't remember who the --8 9 You think the 2017 CAM made the error? 0 No, I think the 2013 CAM made the error and it 10 11 just carried forward, and nobody ever caught it and 12 nobody ever corrected it. 13 Okay. That's your recollection? 14 That is my recollection. And then when the 2014 reset election occurred, it carried that error 15 over, and it shouldn't have, from 2014. Whoever was the 16 17 CAM in 2014, I believe had the responsibility because we had a reset. We had five new board members: two at three years, two at two years and one at one 19 20 That CAM in 2014 should have initiated an year. 21 accurate and correct board history log. 22 Okay. The next page should be a postcard, an address on a postcard. 23 Hold on. No, that's way down. That's page 24 25 11. Okay. I've got it.



1 Q All right. Yeah. So it's for North Shore 2 Home Owners at the top? 3 Α No. 4 All right. I'm looking at this. Find this. 5 Oh, hold on. Hold on. 6 Q It should -- I think you might be on the wrong package, but -- okay, perfect. So these are -- this is 8 what you sent to MBI to be sent out? 9 Α Yes, ma'am Okay. So every one of these addresses, you 10 11 pulled off the property appraiser? 12 Α Yes, ma'am. So every one of these addresses should match 13 exactly with what's on the property appraiser? 14 15 Α No. Why would they not? 16 17 А So it -- so I'm not sure how MBI does it, but they sent back to me -- so they took my list, they put it into their system. Their system will shorten things. 191 20 It will abbreviate things. It'll do stuff for their 21 labeling system. So no, it's not going to match exactly what I downloaded from the property appraiser's office. 22 l So I have the list that I downloaded, that I sent to MBI, but I do not have the list as it ended up with what 25 MBI used to send out the postcards.

Okay. Do you know if MBI changes the name of 1 2 the addressee? I don't believe they -- I don't know. I don't 3 believe they should or could, because I provided them the name from the property appraisers. Well, I'm trying 6 to think. If they were -- huh? 7 Who did you speak to at MBI about this to know 8 this information? 9 I'd have to look up the guy's name. I don't Α 10 recall. Okay. Let's go to the postcard itself. Who 11 put that together? 13 Α T did. Okay. On that, there says e-mail N, that e-14 15 mail address, NSLH2019election@gmail.com. I asked you earlier if you knew what that e-mail was and you told me no. What is that e-mail? 17 That's a good question. I mean, clearly I 18 used it, so somebody set it up. I'd have to go back to 19 I 20 that time and -- I don't know. And if -- and honestly, if I'm the one who at that up, which I don't recall, but if I did, I took it down after this was all done because 22 it's not something that I would've kept. I don't use that. It's certainly not current, but I'd have to go 25 back and look. I don't recall doing this.

So you're not sure if you put it up, but if 1 Q 2 you did, you would've taken it down? After this was all over, I wouldn't have 3 needed that e-mail address anymore. Correct. 4 5 What does this being all over mean to you? 6 Α After I sent the postcard out, I would've been 7 done with it because I would've put the message out it wasn't -- oh wait, wait, wait, wait. I'm sorry. That's after I put the postcard out. I don't know. I can go look and see if there's an e-mail address for 10 that that I have access to. I don't recall it. I'm 11 12 sorry. 13 0 Okay. 14 I can --15 If it wasn't you, would it be the members of 16 the core team? -- I would believe, yes. I would think it 17 Α would be one of them. 18 19 Okay. Are these thumb drives that you put 20 together? You did them for yourself, right? Yes, ma'am. Or for my attorneys. I didn't do it on thumb drives until my attorneys on the different 22 23 cases asked for the records. 24 Okay. So it's all stuff that's still on your Q 25 computer?

1 It's all off my computer and on thumb Α 2 drives. 3 Okay. So you erased everything on your Q computer and put it on a thumb drive? 4 5 I didn't use the word -- I didn't use the term 6 erase. I moved it from the computer to the thumb drive 7 so it's no longer on the computer. It's on thumb 8 drives. 9 Do you have duplicate thumb drives in case 0 10 something happens to one? 11 Α No. Are the thumb drives in the possession of your 12 13 attorney? 14 No. I have them. 15 Okay. Failed parking and towing policies. Did you have anything to do with the parking and towing policies being developed? 18 I believe I voted on them, yes. Did you have people's vehicles towed as a 19 board member? 20 21 Yes, I did. 22 Was John Baker's daughter one of them? Q 23 Α No. 24 You don't recall that happening? 25 I recall it happening. I was not the board Α

member who had it done. 1 2 Who was it? I don't know. 3 4 Then how do you know -- then how do you even 5 know it happened? 6 Α Because John Baker called me and said, "My 7 daughter's car was towed. Who's the towing company?" And I told him. Okay. What are the ridiculous violations and 9 0 1.0 fines? 11 I have always believed that if the HOA is not going to power wash the common area sidewalks and keep the mold off the sidewalks, it's hard for the HOA to 14 violate a homeowner for the same thing. So I find that ridiculous. The fines, which I was verbal with the 16 board about, we were violating our own governing 17 documents with the amount of the fines and that you can't do immediate fines. You have to do the notices, 14 day notice, 14 day second notice before you can issue 20 a fine, and I objected to the immediate fines. 21 Wasn't it the CAM's job to make sure that common area sidewalks got power washed? 22 23 Α I specifically remember conversations about how we could accomplish it and the board never

approved it.

25

1	Q So you remember actual Lisa Mason coming to
2	the board with bids for power washing and the board said
3	no?
4	A No. I remember the board discussing solutions
5	and we never resolved it, so there were no motions that
6	ever solutions were offered. The board never voted
7	on them that I recall.
8	Q I'm talking about power washing the sidewalk.
9	The solution to power wash the sidewalk is to power wash
10	the sidewalk. So is it your testimony that the board
11	was brought for and the common area so you said
12	that you believe the board was in that because the
13	common area sidewalks were not being power washed, that
14	nobody could violate anybody for not power washing their
1.5	sidewalk. Right?
۱6	A I correct. That's my belief.
17	Q So who you remember Lisa Mason coming to
18	the board with bids to power wash the sidewalk of the
.9	common areas and they said no?
20	A I do not recall that.
21	Q So is it the CAM's responsibility under the
22	contract to make sure that the power that the common
23	areas get taken care of?
24	A Again, not the CAM. The CAM brings the issue
- 1	

25 to the board. The board decides or requests bids. We

never did. 1 2 Okay. The next page -- I'm not sure what this 3 is exactly. It's like a half page flyer kind of thing. I did not do this. 5 Okay. Was it attached to the front of the recall ballots? 6 7 None of the ones -- I did it. I attached Α nothing to any of the recall ballots. 9 Okay. Did some -- some people received this attached to recall ballots. Do you know how that would've happened? 11 12 Α I do not. 13 Do you know where any of this information came 14 from? 1.5 Α I do not. Was this December 5th, was that for the picnic 16 that you talked about? 17 Α 18 Yes. 19 Okay. So this was basically the invitation to 20 the picnic? I had nothing to do with this. I'm -- this is -- I'm trying to think if I've ever even seen it before. 22 l 231 I know we were going -- what I thought it was just -no, it was December 5th. But I didn't do any -- the 25 only thing we did was the Facebook invitation was the

only thing I knew about. 2 Okay. Do you think that the people in the HOA 3 get to vote on whether the dock was going to be maintained or not? 4 5 The membership get to vote on whether the dock 6 is maintained or not? No, 7 Yeah. It says the board was spending your money without asking your opinion. 8 9 -- that was on replacing the dock, not maintaining the dock. And --10 11 What do you think replacing is? Replacing is taking up the existing boards and 12 putting down new docks and new railings and everything that they did. And maintaining would have been an 14 annual or bi-annual inspection and if a board or two 16 here or there needed to be replaced, that's maintenance and that would've been an ongoing thing. But when --17 18 0 According to you. 19 Α -- okay. Do you understand that the community documents 20 21 require the dock to be there as an amenity? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. It says, "That's just the tip of the iceberg." What was the rest of the iceberg that was 24

25 discussed at that picnic?

1 I -- again, I was sitting at a table talking Α 2 with individuals coming up asking for a recall ballot. I was not out amongst the people conversing. 3 Did any of them come up to you and say, "Well, 4 5 what -- you were on the board, Lynn. Can you tell me 6 about the transparency?" 7 Α No. 0 Did any of them --9 Α Nobody asked me anything like that. -- okay. How about them asking you about the dock? Did they ask you about the dock? 11 12 Nobody asked me anything except about a recall ballot. 1.3 14 Do you believe that Lisa Mason's an honest and Q credible person? 15 I do. 16 Α 17 Why do you believe that GL Brothers, that was the vendor that you said Deb Lapin was doing invoices 18 for, had no certificate of insurance or 1099 or 19 20 anything? No, I didn't say he didn't have any 1099 or 21 22 certificate of insurance. The certificate of insurance was in a name different from the 10 -- from the W-9. And 23 when I was inquiring about the invoicing and why was 25 Dave's assistant doing the invoicing and -- I said --

1 and I specifically asked if they were registered per the 2 vendor policy. And both -- that was one of the things I asked Amber. And she said no. And then I asked Lisa. 3 And then I asked Amber if they had submitted anything 5 like their business license or anything else, because 6 one of Ms. Lapin's e-mails said that they were getting 7 paid under the guy's name and not under the business name. And Deb said, "Well, I'll send you this." And then Amber said she never got the business license or the business -- the only thing she got was the business 10 insurance certificate. 11 12 Were you -- you talked about going to Mr. Gulino's house to review some things for ARB. Wasn't 13 14 that because you were the liaison between the board and the ARB? 15 16 I was the liaison between the board and the 17 ARB. And it was at Mr. Gordon's direction that I was 18 inspecting his house.

Didn't the board liaison for both the ARB and compliance at times go and inspect because things were going to come before the board?

I never did, other than at Mr. Gordon's request.

24 Right. Because he's the president of the 25 board and sometimes things are going to come before the

19

20

22

231

25 beforehand?

Q Okay. And you didn't ask to see it

1 Α No, ma'am. 2 Knowing that it was -- some of the things were file -- I mean, I talked to you about what was in it. 3 You agreed with everything that was in it? 4 5 I haven't read the amended complaint. 6 0 Okay. Do you have any problem with Mr. 7 Burtoff not consulting with you before he filed the 8 complaint? 9 I think that's my fault, not his. 10 Do you think he had an obligation to do so? 11 MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form. I think he probably aid. 13 0 Okay. I think he did consult with me and I didn't 14 15 ask the right questions. Okay. Do you believe that the lawsuit still 16 17 has merit? 18 Α Yes. Can you tell me why the damages sought went 19 20 down so much between the first lawsuit and the second -the second, the amended complaint? I don't know what the new amended complaint 22 23 amount is. 24 So you filed the lawsuit and you don't know 25 what the actual damage amount should be?

1 Α Correct. Do you think that's appropriate? 2 0 3 Α In this particular situation, yes. 4 How so? I'm not the one who sought to file a 5 6 complaint 7 So who did? 8 Mr. Burtoff. He needed plaintiffs. He sought plaintiffs. He asked if anybody had any records. 10 So he solicited you to file to be a plaintiff 11 in this lawsuit? A No. He was talking apparently with someone 12 else who said to me that I was going to be a defendant 13 in the lawsuit. I don't remember the chronology of events, but I remember talking to Matt about it, and I 15 16 remember eventually getting to talk to Mr. Burtoff. And then I asked Mr. Burtoff and Matt to confer and the 17 answer came back that it would be in the better interest 18 of the membership if I were a plaintiff than if I were a 191 20 defendant. Did you look for -- did you solicit Mr. 21 22 Burtoff or did he come to you? I solicited Mr. Burtoff. 23 Α But you knew he was looking for plaintiffs for 24 25 the lawsuit?

I know that there had been discussion among 1 Α 2 community members. I don't know if somebody approached him first or if he was just part of a bigger discussion and it ended up being that he was going to be the 4 attorney to file the complaint. I don't know. 6 0 Who told you -- who told you that you were 7 going to be a defendant in the lawsuit? 8 Α I'm trying to remember who called me. It 9 might have been Dr. Mathison, but I don't recall specifically. I'm sorry. 10 11 Okay. But somebody called and told you you were going to be a defendant in a lawsuit filed by Bruce 13 Burtoff? 14 Again, I don't know that it was Dr. Mathison. 1.5 I believe --16 I just said someone. Yeah. -- that he -- whoever called me said a bunch 17 A of us are talking about suing the board. Because you 19 were a board member, your name came up to be one of the 20 board members named in the lawsuit. And I said, "Okay." And then after I thought about it and you guys had kicked me off the board, I realized that I was going to 22 23 be a better plaintiff than I was a defendant. And I reached back out and said, "Who can put me in contact 24 25 with Mr. Burtoff?" And somehow I got ---

1	Q And you were told
2	A and somehow I got his contact information
3	and I called him and said, "How about if I'm a plaintiff
4	instead of a defendant?" And we talked, and then again,
5	I connected him to Matt. He and Matt conferred and then
6	at the end of the day, I'm the plaintiff. And then
7	whoever the other plaintiffs were all of a sudden became
8	anonymous.
9	Q Were they anonymous before you got involved?
10	A Oh yeah. Well, I don't know. Before I was
11	involved, I don't know who all Mr. Burtoff spoke with
12	Q All right. You were told he was looking,
13	though, for plaintiffs for this lawsuit?
14	A Yeah. Well, no. I'm sorry. Let's back that
15	up and get it correct. Apparently a number of members
16	of the community were looking for a way to sue the
17	board, engaged Mr. Burtoff in that discussion, and then
18	because he's an attorney, he said he would take it on.
19	That was my understanding.
20	Q And you decided because you were going to be a
21	defendant, to just be a plaintiff instead?
22	A Not decided. I thought it would be better for
23	the membership since I was no longer a board member, to
24	be part of the membership.

25

The meeting that we talked about after your

statement in August of 2019, where you said that there 1 was a settlement discussion, do you remember saying --2 asking what would it take to not sue you? 3 4 Α Yeah. 5 Do you remember being the one who actually 6 brought up the lawsuit issue? 7 Α Yes. 8 Okay. You had testified that everybody was threatening you, but in fact, aren't you the one who 10 said, "What will it take not to sue me bringing that 11 up?" 12 I brought that up because the tone and the insinuations were that -- and based on the history of 13 suing members of this community, I knew that that's what 14 was coming so I decided to head it off at the pass and 15 l 16 just boil the water out of the pot and ask. 17 0 So you --Clearly in my head, it was their intention to 18 sue me if I didn't do what they wanted me to do, so I 19 20 said, "What's it going to take for you not to sue me?" -- and then --21 And without --22 Α 23 -- a letter was done with some things that the board would require since you asked, "What would it take 25 to not have a lawsuit?"



```
-- they verbalized it in that meeting without
 1
   hesitation, clearly pre-prepared and it's the same thing
 2
   that they've done before.
 3
             Okay. So all of these are assumptions on your
 4
 5
   part? You -- and you say you did not --
 6
             All of what are assumptions? All of what are
 7
   assumptions? I don't -- clarify, please.
 8
        0
             You would not make us -- you deny saying that
   you would make a simple apology?
10
             I'm sorry, say that again.
             Do you admit that you said maybe you misspoke
11
   or said some wrong things when you made your statement
   in front of the whole community at that board meeting in
13
   August of 2019?
14
             I don't recall that. I may have.
1.5
             Okay. Do you believe as you sit here today
16
   that maybe you misspoke or said a few wrong things?
17
             It's possible.
18
        Α
             You're -- you're not sure one way or the
19
20
   other?
             I'm not sure.
21
             Okay. Do -- and you don't remember saying
22
23
   that you would apologize for what you said wrong?
24
             I absolutely did not say that.
        Α
25
             Okay. You don't believe that you said that
```

1 maybe you misspoke? 2 It's possible. Α Okay. Had you misspoke with anything that you 3 said, do you think that anybody was owed an apology? 4 5 Α No. 6 0 Okay. So it was okay to do that and not 7 apologize or set the record straight in any way? 8 Α I think setting the record straight is different from apologizing. 9 10 How would you set -- well, then, do you think that anything should be -- that the record should be set 11 straight regarding anything that you said on that board meeting in August of 2019? 13 14 Okay. So even though I typed up my thoughts, it was -- I did not read specifically from my notes. The notes no longer exist. There's, to the best of my 16 knowledge, no recording of that meeting. I thought that 17 what Tracy's minutes captured were accurate and fair. So 18 19 if in that September 24th meeting, I said that I may 20 have misspoke, I was nervous that night. I wasn't going

21 strictly by what I had typed up. So is it possible I

22

23

24

25

misspoke? Yes. If I did, should I set the record

straight? Yes. Does that involve an apology? Not

necessarily.

Q So is it your testimony that you don't know

what you said so you don't know whether you could set 2 the record straight or not? Α 3 Correct. MS. ENGLERT: All right. I -- that's all I 4 have, I believe. Give me one -- I have one other 5 6 question, a quick clarification. 7 BY MS. ENGLERT: 8 I know you said John Baker has kind of been in the core group and not been in the core group. When's the last time you spoke with him? Oh, John? 11 A 12 0 Yeah. 13 Α I spoke with him this week. We're still friends. 14 1.5 Okay. When's the last time that he assisted 16 you with anything regarding any of the lawsuits or any of the stuff that you post on social media? 17 I That would've been back when we filed the 18 Α election arbitration. Up until John was removed from 19 201 the case, we were conferring with John. 21 MS. ENGLERT: Okay. That's all I have. 22 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 23 Okay. I have some follow up questions for 24 25 you. When -- the case that you're the plaintiff in



```
against the several directors that you were asked
 1
   extensively about, that's a separate case from this one
 2
   in which you're being sued by the association, correct?
 3
 4
        Α
              Correct.
 5
              All right. Is it your understanding as a
 6
   plaintiff in that case that that case pertains to a lot
 7
   more issues than this lawsuit against you?
 8
        Α
             Yes, sir.
 9
              Okay. Are you represented by counsel in that
   other case?
10
        Α
11
             Yes.
             Who is your counsel in that other case?
12
        0
             Bruce Burtoff.
13
        Α
             Am I your counsel in that case?
14
1.5
        Α
              No.
              Is Bruce Burtoff present today for this
16
   deposition?
17
18
        Α
             No.
             Okay You talked or you were asked a lot
19
20
   about that October 27th -- actually, let me just make
   sure I get the date right here.
21
             October 22nd?
22
        Α
23
             Yeah. October 27 -- no, I'm talking about --
   never mind. The August 27, 2019 board meeting.
24
25
        Α
             August 27th? Yep.
```

1 2019. Okay. Q 2 Α Yes. Yes. 3 All right. You said that you don't exactly 4 recall what you said at that meeting, is that true? 5 Correct. That's true. 6 0 All right. But you indicated previously that the -- during today's deposition, that the meeting minutes, you believe, accurately reflected what you 9 said? 10 Α Yes. All right. Do you think if I showed you those 11 meeting minutes, that it would refresh your recollection then as to what you said? 13 Not beyond what's in the minutes. 14 Α 15 All right. But the --I remember- -16 Α 17 Q -- but you would be able to --18 Α -- I'm sorry? 19 -- but you would be able to -- would you 20 recognize the meeting minutes if I showed them to you of that meeting? 22 Α Yes. Yes. 23 All right. I'm going to share my screen here. All right. Can you see what I put up on the screen? 25 Α No.

1 Q All right. 2 It's blank. Α 3 North -- you don't see the board of directors meeting minutes here? 4 5 No. My screen isn't --6 MS. ENGLERT: I don't see it either. 7 THE WITNESS: There it is. 8 MS. ENGLERT: There it is. 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 MR. BERNSTEIN: Isn't technology great? 11 THE WITNESS: Got it. 12 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 13 Okay. All right. It's a four-page document. Q 14 States board of directors meeting at the top, and it --15 again, it goes on for four pages. 16 Α Right there. 17 And it ends -- correct. All right. Do you 0 18 recognize these as the meeting minutes of that meeting? 19 Α I do. 20 All right. This second to last paragraph here 21 states, "Ms. Lynn Stanford made a statement expressing her feelings regarding the actions of both Mr. Dave 22 23 Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith, contending that both board members have conducted association business outside of a 25 board meeting, acted as an unauthorized executive

committee, micromanaged the management staff, and asked 2 for the resignation of both members' seats. Both Mr. Dave Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith rejected the request." Did I read that correctly? 4 5 Yes. 6 And to your recollection, is this an accurate 7 reflection of the statements that you made at that board 8 meeting? 9 Α I believe it is. Okay. Now, according to this statement, you 10 did not make any statements about anybody else other 11 than Mr. Dave Gordon and Mr. Steve Smith. Is that true to your recollection? 13 14 That is my recollection. 15 All right. And to your recollection, did you make any statements about the association itself as having performed any misconduct? 17 I don't recall saying anything about the 18 association. 19 20 All right. But then according to this 21 paragraph, there's nothing about you saying anything about the association itself. Is that true? 22 23 A Correct. All right. And now this, the framework of 24 25 this statement here says that you made a statement

```
expressing your feelings. Do you agree that the
   statements you made about Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith were
 2
 3
   your feelings?
 4
        Α
             Yes.
 5
             All right. Were you expressing them as your
 6
   own opinions or as facts?
 7
             They were my opinions based on information I
        Α
   had -- facts that I had.
 9
             Okay. Regarding those statements of opinions
   that you believe were based on fact, did you make those
10
   statements in good faith?
11
12
        Α
             Yes, sir.
            MS. ENGLERT: Object to the form.
13
             Sorry. Did you say yes?
14
        0
1.5
        Α
             Yes, sir.
             Okay. Were you a board member at the time
16
   that you made these statements?
17
             I was.
18
        Α
19
             Was this at a general membership meeting or at
20
   a meeting of the board of directors?
             It was an open board meeting. Members were
21
22
   present.
23
             Okay. All right. Now, Mr. Gordon and Mr.
   Smith were board members at the time as well, correct?
24
25
        Α
             Correct.
```

1 Q Okay. And all these statements that you made 2 about Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith in this regard were 3 during that open board meeting, correct? 4 Α Correct. In other words, none of those statements were 5 6 given after the meeting had been adjourned? 7 Α Correct. Or before the meeting was even opened? 8 9 Correct. Okay. To your knowledge, did you -- were you 10 given an opportunity to speak at that meeting to make 11 12 these statements? 13 Α Yes. In other words, it's not like you 14 Okay. 0 15 interrupted something and demanded to be heard. You were allowed to have an opportunity to speak at that 16 meeting, correct? 17 Yes. Correct. 18 Okay. Do you believe that you did your due 19 diligence in researching the bases of these statements? 20 21 Α I do. Okay. Specifically as to the conduction of 22 association business outside of a board meeting, what due diligence did you do in making that statement? 24 25 That statement came about from the subsequent Α

information surrounding the hiring of Access Management and Tracy Durham.

All right. Although you may have said it earlier, can you clarify for me what exactly you mean by that? What did you find?

Α So Mr. Dick and I were both very surprised when Mr. Smith and Mr. Gordon announced that they were going to be hiring Tracy Durham. And we both said, "We weren't aware of this." I put up a bigger stink than John did. And Steve and Dave said, "Well, we can send you her resume and you can talk to her and you can this, and you can that." And I asked to see her resume. not going to say that I didn't make some mistakes. I should have put a stop to it right then and there, but I 15 l didn't. We moved forward and then we hired Tracy as a project manager. My understanding was as a project manager. So the fact that there was this person who had been allegedly vetted prior to our even knowing that she was being considered was an indication that Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith were taking actions outside of board discussion or need or approval. Same thing with Access Management.

- Okay. Anything else?
- 24 Not that I can think of at this moment.
- 25 Okay. Now, then the next part of that



2

3

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

17

20

21

22

23

executive committee. What was the due diligence that you performed in that or that you found?

That was surrounding -- I'm sorry. That's Δ what I was answering was the unauthorized executive 6 committee of making decisions and bringing it -- oh, 7 well, prior to that, Mr. Smith would come to meetings 8 with pre-prepared motions that clearly would have taken discussion and things that I was not aware were even going to be business discussions and there was no 10 discussion. It was basically a Steve looked into this 11 12 or Dave looked into that, and here's the motion, and let's vote on it. 13

Q Okay. And then the micromanaging of the management staff, what due diligence did you do before you made that statement?

A That came from different staff that, you know, Steve would spend four, five, six hours a day looking over every single thing that they were doing, telling them to change the way that they were doing things. In Tracy's case, it was more specific to Mr. Gordon and Mr. Smith telling her what their expectations were and, you know, kind of -- the words were micromanaging her to do what they wanted and not the freedom to do her job.

Q Okay. Have you actually spoke with management

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
staff yourself?
 1
 2
              I'm sorry.
        Α
 3
             Have you actually spoke with management staff
   yourself?
 4
 5
        Α
              Yes.
 6
        Q
             Okay. So it's not like you just thought that
   you were observing something and then made that
 8
   statement without confirming it with the people
 9
   themselves, correct?
10
             Correct.
             All right. Now, there was a discussion
11
   earlier regarding this accounting issue where I think it
   was Mr. Gordon asked that some accounting entry be coded
13
   as something else. Is that accurate?
15
             Mr. Smith. That was Mr. Smith.
             Mr. Smith. Okay. My apologies. And you said
16
   that you actually spoke with two accountants to confirm
17
   or to verify whether your concern was valid. Is that
19
   correct?
20
        Α
             Correct. Yes.
21
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. All right. This would
22
       be exhibit -- well, what exhibit number are we on?
23
            COURT REPORTER: There hasn't been any exhibits
       attached.
24
25
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Oh, okay. Well, then this will
```

1 be --MS. ENGLERT: We'll just do 1 for the first 2 3 package, 2 for the second package, so this could be 3. I think it makes more sense if you're fine with 4 5 that. 6 MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. That's fine. This 7 will be -- yeah, that's fine with me. This will be 8 3 then. (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 1 MARKED FOR 9 IDENTIFICATION) 10 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2 MARKED FOR 11 12 IDENTIFICATION) (DEFENSE EXHIBIT 3 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 13 BY MR. BERNSTEIN: 14 15 Okay. All right. Ms. Sandford, do you recall receiving and reviewing a copy of the complaint that was 16 filed against you in this case by the association? 17 18 Α Yes. If I showed you a copy of that complaint, 19 20 would you recognize it? 21 Α Probably. Okay. All right. Let me know when you can 22 see the complaint up on the screen. Α I can see it. 24 25 All right. Perfect. All right. Okay. So I

want to go down to -- all right. So now earlier today, you testified about where you got the contact 3 information for the members of the association. Do you 4 remember that? 5 Yes. 6 All right. And according to the complaint 7 specifically, allegation number five here, after Mason's termination as CAM for North Shore, you in conjunction 8 and coordination with Mason unlawfully obtained protected information maintained in the course of North 10 Shore's business, that was located on a protected computer owned by North Shore. And then you used that 12 13 information allegedly to contact the members of North 14 Shore to organize a recall. Is that allegation 15 incorrect? 16 Α That allegation --17 MS. ENGLERT: Object to the form. 18 Okay. In what way is that allegation 19 incorrect? 20 Well, I didn't get anything from Lisa Mason. I 21 didn't access or nor did she give me access, nor did she get me copies of anything from any computer. I don't know what protected information is. The only information I have is information I'm allowed to have as 24 25 a board member.

1	Q Which is what?				
2	A I'm sorry?				
3	Q What type what information are you entitled				
4	to have as a board member?				
5	A Draft minutes, closed meeting minutes, the				
6	financial documents without going through a formal				
7	records request, copies of invoices if I am looking for				
8	something. Copies of, you know, anything that a board				
9	member would need to perform duties as a board member is				
10	my understanding.				
11	Q All right. Now, with regards to the contact				
12	information for the board or for, excuse me, the				
13	members at large, where did you get that information?				
14	A So				
15	MS. ENGLERT: That's been asked and answered.				
16	Q You can answer.				
17	A So the North Shore had a website and it was				
18	when we were under, you know, Aegis, Artemis, whatever				
19	it was. Whatever it was before the current management				
20	company. And in there, there was a directory of				
21	members. And in that directory, if people wanted to				
22	include their phone number and their e-mail, they could.				
23	If they didn't want to it was not a required format.				
24	It was, you know, but it was an open directory for				

members.

1 0 Okay. So any member of the association could access that list? 2 3 Α Yes. Okay. So it was public information limited to 4 5 the members of the association? 6 Α That's correct, to the best of my knowledge. 7 All right. Could you obtain the contact information from any other source? 8 9 Α No. 10 All right. What about the property appraiser website? 1.1 12 Well, that's where I downloaded the addresses. From the directory on the North Shore website, I only 13 got e-mail addresses and phone numbers. From the property appraiser, I downloaded the names and addresses. 17 Okay. And is it your understanding that the information you obtained from the property appraiser's website is public information? 19 20 Α Yeah. Yes. I don't have any special access. 21 All right. And then you also had previously obtained contact information that you had on your phone 22 as given to you by members? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. So those were -- that information was

voluntarily given to you by those members, correct? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 So you don't know anything about another purported computer owned by North Shore that Lisa Mason 5 supposedly took? 6 Α I do not. 7 Okay. All right. As to allegation number 15, it states that at that August 27, 2019 meeting, that you said that the current board of directors was not following the law, specifically Florida Statute 720, 10 that members Steven Smith and David Gordon were making 11 unlawful unilateral decisions without input from the membership or the board members. Do you specifically 13 recall referencing Florida Statute 720? 14 I believe I did as it relates to executive 15 committees. So it's possible I referenced it. 16 Okay. But your statements as to who was 17 0 potentially violating that statute was limited to David Gordon and Steve Smith, correct? 19 20 Α Correct. All right. So not the entire board of 21 22 directors? 23 Α No. Okay. Now, allegation 16 states that you 24 25 indicated to the board that you would apologize to the

membership, step down voluntarily as director, and 2 assist the board in any further action needed of her. Is 3 that a correct allegation? That is false. No, that is incorrect. 4 5 All right. And what actually happened? 6 Α In that meeting, they were hostile and not happy with me for making that statement. And it became clear to me that they were -- I needed an attorney 9 present. And I said do I need an attorney present? I think I said that. And then knowing the history of suing former or suing residents, I just said, "What's it 11 going to take for you not to sue me?" And Mr. Gordon 13 did not hesitate and started reading down his litany of what it would take. And then Ms. Englert interjected a 14 1.5 few things. And at the end, I said I will certainly ponder your request. And I walked out of the meeting. 16 Okay. All right. So at no point during that 17 meeting did you promise that you would apologize to the 19 membership, step down, and assist the board in any 20 further actions? Not that I recall at all. 21 22 Did you make those promises any time after 23 that meeting to date? 24 Α No. 25 Okay. At the August 27th meeting where you



```
made the statements that we discussed, did you have any
 1
   reason to believe that those statements were false at
 2
   that time?
 3
 4
        Α
              No.
 5
              And did you make those statements for the sole
 6
   purpose of ill will towards Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith?
 7
        Α
              No.
 8
              Is it your opinion that the statements that
 9
   you made at that time were true?
10
        Α
              Yes.
11
             MR. BERNSTEIN: All right. This complaint will
12
       be attached as Exhibit 4.
13
              (DEFENSE EXHIBIT 4 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
14
              BY MR. BERNSTEIN:
15
             Ms. Stanford, are you a lawyer?
        Q
16
        Α
              No.
17
              Have you ever been a lawyer?
        Q
18
        Α
              No.
              Did you go to law school?
19
20
        Α
              No.
21
              Regarding the lawsuit that you are a plaintiff
22
   to, for which Bruce Burtoff represents you, do you rely
   and defer to Mr. Burtoff with regards to any of the
23
   legal claims asserted in that lawsuit?
25
        A
              I'm sorry. Do I what and defer?
```

Yeah, do you rely on and defer to him with 1 Q 2 regards to that lawsuit? Yes, I do. 3 Α Were you prepared to testify regarding the 4 nature of that lawsuit today for this deposition in this 5 6 different case? 7 Α No. MR. BERNSTEIN: Okay. I've got nothing further 8 9 for you. Any follow ups, Jennifer? 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. ENGLERT: 11 100 percent, yes. Okay. The summary of what 12 13 you said in the August 2019 board meeting in front of the community was two sentences, correct? 14 I'm sorry, say that -- ask it again. 1.5 Sure. The summary from the board meeting 16 minutes that you just looked at was two sentences long, 17 correct? 18 19 It looked about two sentences, yes. It might 20 have been three. How long did you speak during that meeting? 21 I don't know. 22 Α 23 0 Was it more than two minutes? 24 I don't know. Α 25 Q. How long was your page of the notes that you

How long was your page of notes? 1 made? 2 Maybe ten lines: A 3 Q Really? 4 Α Really. 5 Okay. Is everything you said in those meeting 6 minutes? 7 A No. 8 Do you remember everything that you said? 9 Α No. 10 Is it fair to say you cannot recall everything 11 that you said? 12 That's what I just answered. I cannot recall everything I just said or everything I said at that 13 14 meeting. 15 Do you recall saying that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon violated the law? 16 I don't recall those words, but it's possible. 17 Α You don't recall saying that they violated 18 chapter 720? I thought you just admitted that to your 19 20 lawyer. I believe I referenced 720 when it referred to 21 the unauthorized executive committee. I don't know that 22 231 I used the word violated. I may have, but I don't recall specifically saying violated. They could have --I could have. I don't recall. 25

1	Q Did you publish these feelings that you gave					
2	in the board meeting multiple other times in multiple					
3	other ways, including on social media and some of the					
4	letters we looked at today and some of the posts we					
5	looked at today?					
6	A I'm sorry. Can you break that down for me?					
7	Q Sure. Do you think it's a fact that Steve					
8	Smith and Dave Gordon were an executive committee that					
9	was unauthorized by the board?					
10	A I believe they were acting as such, yes.					
11	Q Do you think it's a fact that they					
12	micromanaged?					
13	A I believe the staff that told me they were,					
14	yes.					
15	Q Okay. Let's start with that one. Did you					
16	have you let people know in many other scenarios, on					
17	social media, in letters, in verbal conversations, that					
18	you believe that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon					
19	micromanaged staff after the meeting?					
20	A I don't believe so. I don't believe so.					
21	Q You don't think you okay. How about that					
22	they were an unauthorized executive committee, have you					
23	ever said that any other time in any other venue or any					
24	other way besides that meeting?					
25	A It's possible, I don't recall. I can't think					

of a specific incident where I did, but it doesn't mean 2 I didn't. 3 Okay. What other bad acts did you say that you can recall at least that you said in that board 5 meeting? 6 Α The financial statements. I was -- I do remember not being specific in my comments at that 7 meeting. And I remember that my reason for not being specific was my understanding of the statute surrounding the information that I had found out. Like, I believe the statute specifically states that I can't 11 specifically identify the bad acts in an open meeting. I don't know. You know, that was how many years ago. But 13 I recall that I had to take the action I took publicly, 14 but I could not be specific, the way the statute read at the time. Again, you know, I'm not prepared for that. 17 All right. Did you think it was a fact that Steve Smith and Dave Gordon were doing business outside 18 -- board business outside of board meetings? 20 Α I believe so, yes. And have you published that either on social 21 media, a letter to the community, an e-mail, a text, or 22 23 anything else after the meeting on August of 2019? 24 Α After that meeting? 25 Q Yeah.

1	A Again, it's possible. I can't think of a				
2	specific incident where I did that exactly, but it's				
3	possible.				
4	Q Okay. You said you didn't demand to be heard,				
5	but were you on the agenda for that meeting to make the				
6	comments that you made or any comments?				
7	A No. At the end of the meeting, Mr. Gordon				
8	said, is there anything else, and I said, I have a				
9	statement, and he said, okay, go ahead.				
10	Q Do you have any idea how your texts that you				
11	sent out went to unpublished numbers of people who lived				
12	in the community?				
13	A Well, clearly either I already had them from				
14	previous communications or they had their number in the				
15	directory.				
16	Q Would you defer to their testimony regarding				
17	whether they had their number in the directory and				
18	whether they had you had had their number before?				
19	A No, I would testify to the information I got				
20	out of the directory.				
21	Q Okay. But you have all that on your thumb				
22	drive, right?				
23	A Yes, ma'am.				
24	Q Okay. Are you aware of Lisa Mason downloading				
25	lists of information regarding contact for North Shore				

## 1 residents? 2 No, ma'am. 3 Okay. So that would be news to you? That's news to me. 4 Do you have ill will toward Dave Gordon? 5 6 Define ill will, please. 7 It was used by your attorney previously. What does it mean to you? 8 Ill will, to me, is where you don't like 9 Α someone, for lack of a better word, or that you would 10 11 intentionally set out to harm them. And no, I do not have ill will toward Mr. Gordon or Mr. Smith. 12 13 When is the last time that you spoke with 14 Bruce Burtoff? 15 A week ago. Was it in person or by phone? 16 17 Α By phone. Are you aware of what Tracy Durham's 18 background was? Do you remember? 19 20 I don't remember. Okay. Do you believe that hiring her was a 21 22 mistake? 23 No, I believe the way in which she was hired 24 was a mistake. 25 Q You in this lawsuit, the one that we're here

1 about, you have an affirmative defense saying that the board cannot bring this lawsuit against you because they have unclean hands basically for bad acts that they were involved in. So what bad acts were they involved in 5 that is not included in your Bruce Burtoff suit? 6 Well, again, since I haven't read the amended 7 complaint in the Burtoff suit, and since we have exhausted the issues today on these unauthorized executive committee, the financial reports, the changing 10 of coding from a management labor to an unrelated GL 11 code, for the nondisclosure of different contracts for different purposes, for personal use versus for HOA use, 12 nondisclosure of Mr. Gordon's personal assistant being 13 14 the invoicing clerk for a vendor that was used both personally by Mr. Gordon and professionally by the HOA. I can't think of everything, right off the top of my 16 17 head, but I think that captures most of it. 18 So are you going to tell Bruce Burtoff to reduce the counts in the lawsuits and just focus on 19 20 those few things? 21 Α No. 22 Why not? 23 What do you mean why not? I don't know what Α -- how --24 25 0 I've asked you all the things that you think

that the board members did wrong in this deposition, and 1 2 you just gave me a list. However, in your affirmative defenses in this case, you say that this cannot be 3 brought, the suit cannot be brought because a variety of 5 things that the board did wrong. So you have put your 6 name on a lawsuit where the board -- you say the board 7 did many things wrong. And that is the first complaint. I realize you didn't release the amended one. You put your name on it, though, and you've also testified to 9 some things today. So I'm trying to get all the things that you think the board did wrong. Some of them you 11 did not testify to today that are in the lawsuit. So I'm wondering why you wouldn't reduce the number of 13 things in the Bruce Burtoff lawsuit to just the things 14 you testified to today. 15

- A I don't have an answer for that today.
- Q Okay. We've gone through some -- actually, strike that. Is it fair to say that you've alleged the board conducted some malfeasance in the letters that we looked at today?
- 21 A Is it fair to say what?
- Q That in the letters we looked at today, the
  post that you made on social media and the dear
  homeowner's letter that we looked at, that you accused
  the board of a variety of acts that you consider to be



16

17

19

20

```
1
   bad acts.
2
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
3
             I believe this board has not acted in the best
 4
   interest of this community.
5
             And is that why you brought the lawsuit with
6
   Mr. Burtoff?
7
        Α
             Yes.
             And is that some of the things that you're
   going to use as your defense in this lawsuit that you're
   being deposed here for today?
11
            MR. BERNSTEIN: Objection, form.
             I'm sorry. Re-ask.
12
        Α
13
             Yeah. Is that going to be part of your
14
   defense in this lawsuit?
             Is what going to be part of my defense?
15
             The actions that the board took that you
16
   believe were not in the best interest of the community?
18
        Α
             Yes.
            MS. ENGLERT: Okay. That's all I have. Do you
19
20
       want her to read or wave?
            MR. BERNSTEIN: We will read.
21
22
            MS. ENGLERT: Okay. And we will order the
23
       transcript, please, Michelle.
            COURT REPORTER: All right. And would you like
24
25
       a copy, Mr. Bernstein?
```

```
MR. BERNSTEIN: Yes, please.
 1
 2
             COURT REPORTER: All right. I'm going to get
 3
        you guys off record.
 4
               (DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 3:45 P.M.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



MILESTONE | REPORTING COMPANY

TOMORROW'S TECHNOLOGY TODAY

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF OATH 2 3 STATE OF FLORIDA 4 COUNTY OF ORANGE 5 6 I, the undersigned, certify that the witness in the foregoing transcript personally appeared before me and 8 was duly sworn. 9 10 Identification: Produced Identification 11 12 13 lichulte V. 14 15 16 MICHELLE VASQUEZ 17 Court Reporter, Notary Public State of Florida 18 19 Commission Expires: 11/1/2025 20 Commission Number: HH 193257 21 22 23 24 25

## CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA) 3 COUNTY OF ORANGE) 4 5 I, MICHELLE VASQUEZ, Court Reporter and Notary 6 7 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did report the foregoing proceeding, and that said transcript is a true 10 record of the said proceeding. 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not of counsel for, 12 related to, or employed by any of the parties or 13 attorneys involved herein, nor am I financially 14 interested in said action. 15 16 17 Submitted on: February 2, 2022. 18 19 20 ichulle V. 21 22 23 MICHELLE VASQUEZ Court Reporter, Notary Public 24 25



1		E	ERRATA				
2							
3	PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON			
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16	I have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken						
17	in the captioned matter or the same has been read to						
18	me.I request that the following changes be entered upon						
L 9	the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my						
20	name to the Errata Sheet and authorize you to attach the						
21	changes to the original transcript.						
22							
23							
24	-						
25	Date		NAME				

